
UMISHEZI MUNICIPALITY



INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2011/2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TITLE | PAGE NO |
|--|---------|
| SECTION A: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 5 |
| CHAPTER 1- STRATEGIC DIRECTION | 6 |
| 1.1. INTRODUCTION | 6 |
| 1.2. FOCUS OF THE IDP REVIEW PROCESS | 7 |
| 1.3. UMTSHEZI VISION | 7 |
| 1.4. MISSION STATEMENT | 8 |
| 1.5. NATIONAL PRIORITIES | 8 |
| 1.6. PROVINCIAL PRIORITIES | 8 |
| 1.7. BACKLOG ANALYSIS, CHALLENGES, OBJECTIVES | 9 |
| 1.8. STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES | 17 |
| - PRIOTITY PROJECTS | 25 |
| - IDENTIFIED CAPITAL PROJECTS | 27 |
| 1.9. POWERS AND FUNCTIONS | 30 |
| 1.10. INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND | 30 |
| TRANSFORMATION | |
| 1.11. MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND INSTITUTIONAL | 31 |
| DEVELOPMENT | |
| | |
| SECTION B: SITUTIONAL ANALYSIS | 34 |
| CHAPTER 2 - UMTSHEZI STATUS QUO | 35 |
| 2.1. POPULATION SIZE | 35 |
| 2.1.1. POPULATION DISTRIBUTION | 35 |
| 2.2. POPULATION DISTRIBUTION PER WARD | 35 |
| 2.3. AGE BREAKDOWN | 36 |
| 2.4. INCOME PROFILE | 37 |
| 2.6. PHYSICAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILES: A | 41 |
| DISTRICT VIEW | |
| 2.7. UMTSHEZI ECONOMIC ANALYSIS | 42 |
| 2.7.1 FORMAL SECTOR | 42 |
| 2.7.2 INFORMAL SECTOR | 43 |
| 2.8. GEOGRAPHICAL DATA | 44 |
| 2.9. CONCLUSION | 45 |

| TITLE | PAGE NO |
|---|---------|
| SECTION C: DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES | 46 |
| CHAPTER 3 - NATIONAL KPAs AS DRIVERS OF | 47 |
| UMTSHEZI SERVICE DELIVERY | |
| 3.1. BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE | 47 |
| DEVELOPMENT | |
| 3.2. MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND INSTITUTIONAL | 54 |
| DEVELOPMENT | |
| 3.3. LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | 56 |
| 3.4. GOOD GOVERNANCE AND COMMUNITY | 60 |
| PARTICIPATION | |
| 3.5. FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGEMENT | 62 |
| 3.5.1 INTRODUCTION | 63 |
| 3.5.2 AUDITOR GENERAL AND AUDIT COMMITTEE | 63 |
| REPORT | |
| 3.5.3 FINANCIAL PLAN | 71 |
| 3.5.4 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENT | 72 |
| 3.5.5 INCOME/FINANCIAL RESOURCES | 73 |
| 3.5.6 EXPENDITURE | 74 |
| 3.5.7 FINANCE POLICIES | 76 |
| 3.5.8 FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL INVESTMENT PLAN | 76 |
| | |
| SECTION D: HIGH LEVEL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENTAL | 77 |
| FRAMEWORK | |
| CHAPTER 4 – SDF | 78 |
| CONCLUSION: 1. SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK | 78 |
| AND LUMS | |
| 2. IMPLICATIONS OD KZN PLANNING AND | 78 |
| DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2008 | |
| | |
| SECTION E: SECTOR INVOLVEMENT | 183 |
| | |
| SECTION F: IMPLEMENTATION PLAN | 188 |
| CHAPTER 5 - IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND MONITORING | 189 |
| (PROJECTS SCHEDULE PER KPA) | |

| TITLE | PAGE NO |
|---|---------|
| SECTION G: PROJECTS | 191 |
| CHAPTER 6 – UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY KPA | 192 |
| 1. BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT | 192 |
| 1.1. ELECTRICAL | 192 |
| 1.2. CIVIL | 193 |
| 1.3. HUMAN SETTLEMENTS | 194 |
| 1.4. CORPORATE | 197 |
| 1.5. FINANCE | 202 |
| 1.6. PLANNING | 202 |
| 2. MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT | 211 |
| 3. LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | 212 |
| 4. GOOD GOVERNANCE AND COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION | 214 |
| 5. FINANCIAL VABILITY | 215 |
| SECTION H: FINANCIAL PLAN AND SDBIP | 218 |
| SECTION I: ORGINSATIONAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | 262 |
| A) ORGANISATIONAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK | 264 |
| B) UMTSHEZI ORGANISATIONAL SCORECARD | 288 |
| SECTION J: ANNEXURES | 303 |
| ANNEXURE A: DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN | 304 |
| ANNEXURE B: TURN AROUND STRATEGY | 373 |
| ANNEXURE C: PROCESS PLAN 2011/2012 | 378 |
| SECTION K: APPENDICIES | 402 |
| 1. STATUS OF SECTOR PLANS | 403 |
| 2. LED STRATEGY AND PLAN | |
| 3. CONTACT DETAILS | 405 |

SECTION A:



CHAPTER 1

STRATEGIC DIRECTION

1.1. INTRODUCTION

Umtshezi Local Municipality is one of five (5) category B local municipalities comprising Uthukela District Municipality. The other local municipalities comprising the District Municipality include Indaka, Emnambithi/Ladysmith, Okhahlamba and Imbabazane Municipalities.

In response to the current socio economic analysis, Umtshezi Local Municipality has identified projects aimed at addressing the challenges experienced by local communities. The identified projects are in line with the municipality's vision, mission, objectives and strategies, resource framework and also comply with legal requirements of different sectoral departments or donors. This has been done in order to avoid duplication of activities and allow the Municipality to maximize the positive development impact that is derived from utilization of its scarce resources.

The Municipality strongly believes in public participation in is decision-making processes. As a result, a consultative process with all the wards under Umtshezi, radio talks and newspaper advertisements are used to get input from the public in order to ensure that the IDP 2011/12 is credible, transparent and accepted by all stakeholders. The comments received from the MEC for Local Government are an important indicator of the extent to which the municipality has managed to fulfill all requirements in terms of the Municipal Systems Act (MSA), Act No 32 of 2000. These have been addressed in a systematic and comprehensive way in order to ensure full compliance to all MSA requirements, resulting in an improved decision-making tool for the Umtshezi Local Municipality as well as credible IDP for 2011/12.

This IDP document therefore, presents yet another review of the Umtshezi Municipality Integrated Development Plan. It is prepared in accordance with the provisions of Chapter Five of the Municipal Systems Act and is based on the broad assessment of the current situation, self-assessment, comments received from the MEC for Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs and a public participation process, including interviews and workshops involving key stakeholders.

1.2. FOCUS OF THE IDP REVIEW PROCESS

This IDP is based on the municipal theme i.e. "TRANSFORMING FOR BETTER SERVICE DELIVERY". The theme places emphasis on what the Municipality plans to achieve, how this will be implemented, who will implement and what resources in terms of budgets, infrastructure and institutional capacity are available to fulfill that purpose, by when. Therefore, Section 34 of the Municipal Systems Act 2000 (MSA) provided a guide as to the important areas that this review should focus on in implementing its theme.

In order to ensure certain minimum quality standards of the IDP Process, and a proper co-ordination between and within spheres of government, the preparation of the Process Plan has been regulated in the MSA. The Process Plan further outlines the 2011/2012 IDP Review Action Plan with specific timeframes, KPA's and KPI's, budget and further indicates the involvement of ward committees, traditional leaders, and the community in general. Council has adopted the Process Plan, which, in essence is the IDP Review Process set in writing.

In this review the municipal theme is further translated into a time-based vision. The following section presents the Vision, mission, objectives, provincial priorities and national priorities that will ensure that the municipality is "TRANSFORMING FOR BETTER SERVICE DELIVERY"

1.3. UMTSHEZI VISION

"By 2020, Umtshezi Local Municipality will be the champion of a Sustainable Development and safe environment"

Based on the above vision statement, Umtshezi Local Municipality has formulated a consolidated, brief and a holistic mission statement, which describes how the vision will be achieved.

1.4. MISSION STATEMENT:

We as Umtshezi Municipality 'in-partnership' with other sector departments/stakeholders are committed to: -

- 1. Provide an enabling economic and social development environment.
- 2. Strive to provide housing with bulk infrastructure.
- 3. Establish and maintain community networks that promote healthy engagements, between the Municipality and our stakeholders.
- 4. Develop Umtshezi in a way that does not compromise future generations.
- 5. Work co-operatively with other spheres of Government and the Private Sector.
- 6. Continuously provide services in an equitable manner taking Batho Pele Principles into cognisance.

1.5 NATIONAL PRIORITIES

- 1. Creation of decent Work and Economic Growth.
- 2. Social and Economic Infrastructure
- 3. Rural development linked to Land Reform
- 4. Skills and Human Resource Base
- 5. Improving the Nations Health Profile
- 6. Fight against crime and Corruption
- 7. Cohesive and Sustainable Communities
- 8. International Co-operation
- 9. Sustainable Resource Management
- 10. Democratic Development State

1.6 PROVINCIAL PRIORITIES

- 1. Create an Image of New Administration
- 2. Caring and Humane Government
- 3. Good governance
- 4. Inclusive government
- 5. Present a practical, measurable programme of action for government with time frames
- 6. Use agriculture as main platform for integration
- 7. Household Food Security.

1.7. BACKLOG ANALYSIS, CHALLENGES AND OBJECTIVES

Umtshezi municipality conducted a series of decentralised community meetings in Weenen (Ezitendeni Hall), Wembezi Community Hall and Papkuilsfontein Hall. In these meetings it transpired that there are issues that affect the community residing in the municipal area. These issues are presented in a ranking order based on how many times they were mentioned in each meeting. Given that there is no other statistics available these will be used as the backlog analysis for the municipality and it will be the parameter from which projects will be planned.

| BACKLOG | BACKLOG DESCRIPTION | AFFECTED AREAS / WARDS | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| ROADS | Road Extension | C-section, Wembezi, Thembalihle, Esigodlweni, Ennersdale, KwaMshayazafe, Cornfields, Mabhalonini, eMngwenya, Brymbela, KwaMthweni, Ward 3 ezizeni, C-section | |
| | Speed Humps | Collita | |
| | Tarring of Roads | Collita, Town, Wembezi (All sections), | |
| | Colita Access Road | Ward 4 | |
| | Road Repairs – Forderville | Ward 4 | |
| | Road name signs - Forderville | Ward 4 | |
| | Roads - Ntokozweni | Ward 4 | |
| | New Formosa Road (Dpt of Transport) | Ward 3 | |
| | Construction of Wembezi Roads | Ward 1 and 2 | |
| | | | |
| | Pavement – Forderville | Ward 4 | |
| | Cornfields Access Road - Rehabilation | Ward 6 | |
| | Mimosadale Access Road | Ward 3 | |
| | Ezitendeni Tarring | Ward 5 | |
| | Madulaneni Access Road | Ward 7 | |
| | Mbhekane Causeway | Ward 7 | |
| | D-section Tarring | Ward 2 | |
| | Bridges / Causeway | KwaMshayazafe, eMgunyathi, Collita,Mabhaloni,Myezaneni (upgrade), Emgwenya, Freedom Centre | |
| | Stormwater & Sewarage | Overflow in Forderville, 9 th Avenue | |
| | Upgrade storm water drainage | Collita | |
| | Chievely Road Rehabilitation | Ward 6 | |
| | Ganahoek Road rehabilation | Ward 5 | |
| | Nkaseni Access Road - Rehabilation | Ward 5 | |
| | Msuluzi Road Rehabilation | Ward 5 | |
| | Matshesi Raod Rehabilation | Ward 3 | |
| | Wembezi D Section Road Rehabilation | Ward 2 | |
| | Brynmbella Road Rehabilation | Ward 3 | |

| | Phofini Road Rehabilation | Ward 7 |
|--------------------|--|---|
| | | Ward 5 |
| | Nontethe Road rehabilation | |
| | Esigodlweni Road Rehabilation | Ward 4 |
| | Msobotsheni | Ward 5 |
| | | |
| | Ngwazamahhashi | Ward 7 |
| | Mshayazafe | Ward 4 |
| | Thembalihle Ncunjane | Ward 4 Ward 5 |
| | Upgrading of roads (Tar Roads) | Ward 1 |
| | Renovation of a bridge (Freedom | Ward 1 |
| | Centre) | |
| | Upgrade of walkway bridge (Mahashini) | Ward 1 |
| | Upgrading of roads | Ward 2 |
| | | |
| | Potholes – Umtshezi area | All wards |
| | Robots at Intersection – | Ward 4 |
| | Papkuilsfontein Tarred Roads - Collita | Ward 4 |
| | Street names | All Wards |
| | ou out its interest in the second of the sec | 7.4.7.5.35 |
| | Speed Calming Devices | All Wards |
| | Roads - Mimosadale | Ward 3 |
| | Tarred Roads | Ward 1 |
| | Road Maintainance Wembezi | Ward 1 and 2 |
| | Roads - Cornfields | Ward 6 |
| | Roads – Sun Valley | Ward 5 |
| | Road Rehabilitation - Vumbu | Ward 5 |
| | Roads Rehabilitation - Ezitendeni | Ward 5 |
| | Roads - Busani Roads - Nontethe | Ward 7 Ward 5 |
| | | |
| | Roads Rehabilitation - Ganahoek Roads Rehabilitation – Kwanhliwe | Ward 5 Ward 7 |
| | . 13333 Hondoniadon Hwanimwo | |
| | Speed humps - Kwanobamba | Ward 5 |
| | Roads - Gomba | Ward |
| | Roads - Kwamadondo | Ward 5 |
| | Exchange Road - Ncunjane | Ward 5 |
| | Roads – madulaleni Phase 2 | Ward 7 |
| | Roads Construction Ezibindini | Ward 7 |
| | Roads Construction - Esiphethwini | Ward 7 |
| | | |
| | Pedestrian Bridge – Nomoya (Tugela River) | Ward 5 |
| Water & Sanitation | Provision of clean water | Mimosadale Phase Two, Mngwenya, Brynmbela, Haveland, Frere, KwaMthweni |
| | Toilets | Outside toilets in Forderville sub economic houses |
| | Water Tankers & Communal Tanks | Thembalihle, Esigodlweni, Cornfields |

| | Water Drainage – Forderville | Ward 4 | |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Toilets - Ntokozweni | Ward 4 | |
| | Storm water drainage – Paapkuils | Ward 4 | |
| | Storm water drainage – Paapkuiis | VValu 4 | |
| | Storm water drainage - Wembezi | Ward 1 | |
| | Toilets – Paapkuils | Ward 4 | |
| | Toilets for Hall - Thembalihle | Ward 4 | |
| | Toilets – Wembezi | Ward 1 | |
| | | | |
| | Water - Weenen | Ward 5/7 | |
| | Water - Wembezi | Ward 1 | |
| | Water - Cornfields | Ward 6 | |
| | Toilets – Cornfields | Ward 6 | |
| | Water – Sun valley, Busani | Ward 7 | |
| | Water - Nontenthe | Ward 5 | |
| | Toilets - Ezitendeni | Ward 5 | |
| | Water Ezitendeni | Ward 5 | |
| | Water and Sanitation - Kwanhliwe | Ward 7 | |
| | Water and Sanitation - Ngodini | Ward 5 | |
| | Water Tankering - Ngodini | Ward 5 | |
| | Water – Gomba | Ward 5 | |
| | Water - Kwamadondo | Ward 5 | |
| | Water - Emahlabathini | Ward 5 | |
| | Cattle Dam and Sanitation - | Ward 7 | |
| | Mgwamama | | |
| | Drinking Water - Mgwamama | Ward 7 | |
| | Water - madulaleni | Ward 7 | |
| | Toilets – Enhlangwin, Ezibindini | Ward7 | |
| | Livestock Dam – Ezibindini | Ward 7 | |
| | Water and Sanitation – Haviland | Ward 4 | |
| | Water and Sanitation - Nhlawe | Ward 5 | |
| | Water and Sanitation - Nomoya | Ward 5 | |
| | Wembezi C – Bulk Infrastructure Upgrade | Ward 2 | |
| | Upgrade of Weenen Water Canals - | Affected areas in Weenen | |
| Electricity | Electrification of households | Wembezi , Thembalihle, Esigodlweni,Cornfields, Brymbela, Haviland, Frere, nhlawe, Mgwamama, Kwanobamba phase 1 & 2 | |
| | Street lighting | All wards | |
| | Street light maintenance – Forderville | Ward 4 | |
| | Electricity Vending Machines - Paapkuils | Ward 4 | |
| | Electricity vending Machine – Mimosdale | Ward 3 | |
| | Electricity - Weenen | Ward 5/ 7 | |
| | Solar Systems – Cornfield Farms | Ward 6 | |
| | Electricity - Busani | Ward 7 | |
| | Electricity - Ezitendeni | Ward 5 | |
| | Solar / Electrification – Ekuthuleni and | Ward 5 | |
| | Gomba | | |
| | Solar - Mgwamama | Ward 7 | |
| | Solar - Ezibindile | Ward 7 | |

| Electricity - Ngwazamamahhashi | | Ward 7 | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 33/11-6.6KV New Transformer Bay at | Umtshezi | |
| | Sub 57 (John Ericson Sub) and | | |
| | change over to 11 KV system from the | | |
| | above station – Phase 1 and 2 | | |
| | Marshari Tayyashin Elastyisity | Ward 1 and 2 | |
| | Wembezi Township Electricity Upgrade | vvalu i aliu 2 | |
| | Reduce Non Technical Losses | Umtshezi | |
| | | | |
| | Establish new sub station around the Kwezi CRU Project | Ward 3 | |
| Social Services | Development Centre – Estcourt, or Weenen | Ward 3 or 7 | |
| | Crèches | Wembezi, Ennersdale, Cornfields, Brynmbela, | |
| | | Kwamatshezi, Phofini, Mahashini, | |
| | | Collita,Nontethe, Ekuthuleni, Esigodlweni, Frere, Mhlumba, Emadnlaneni | |
| | Occurrent to be III and Occube D | , | |
| | Community hall and Creche- B | Ward 2 | |
| | Creche – Vumbu, Ganahoek | Ward 5 | |
| | Upgrading of the Estcourt Prison | Ward 3 | |
| | Creche - Mkholombe | Ward 7 | |
| Creche - Emahhashini | | Ward 2 | |
| | Creche - Phofini | Ward 7 | |
| | Creche - Nomoya | Ward 5 | |
| | Community Hall - Engodini | Ward 5 | |
| | Creche - Emgwamama | Ward 7 | |
| | School construction | Esigodlweni, Ennersdale, KwaMshayazafe, eMngwenya, Chievely | |
| | School fencing | | |
| | Classes to grade 12 | KwaMshayazafe | |
| | Sanitation | eMngwenya, Chively | |
| | More classroom – Forderville School | Ward 4 | |
| | Primary schools - Paapkuils | Ward 4 | |
| | Creche - Paapkuils | Ward 4 | |
| | Library - Paapkuils | Ward 4 | |
| | Repairs and extension to Collita Hall – Collita | Ward 4 | |
| | Add classrooms to primary school – Collita | Ward 4 | |
| | Library - Collita | Ward 4 | |
| | Creche – Thembalihle | Ward 4 | |
| | High school - Thembalihle | Ward 4 | |
| | Primary school - Ezitendeni | Ward 5 | |
| | Crèche - Ezimbokodweni | Ward 5 | |
| | Crèche – Gomba | Ward 5 | |
| | School - Kwamadondo | Ward 5 Ward 5 | |
| | School - Emahlabathini | vvalu 3 | |

| | Primary School - Madulaleni | Ward 7 | |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | School - Enhlangwini | Ward 7 | |
| | Creche - Emaduleni | Ward 7 | |
| | Upgrading of Wembezi Hall | Ward 1 | |
| | Upgrading of Estcourt Town Hall | Ward 3 | |
| | CCTV Camera's | CBD | |
| Infrastructure | Cellphone network Aerial, | Cornfields, Ganahoek, Mgwamama, Mhlumba, eMngwenya and Vumbu | |
| | Re-roofing | Replacing of roofing with corrugated in Colita and Forderville | |
| | Community Hall | Ennersdale, Esigodlweni KwaMshayazafe, Mabhalonini, eMgunyathi, Frere, Ngodini, | |
| | Upgrading of the sanitation system for Forderville and Princess Park Sports fields | Ward 4 and 3 | |
| | Upgrade of the Forderville Cricket Field | Ward 4 | |
| | Taxi Rank Shelters | Weenen, Estcourt | |
| | Farmers Market / Market Stalls | Weenen market stalls, Estcourt market stalls, Umtshezi Farmers Market | |
| | Renovation of community Hall | Ward 1 | |
| | Bridge between Collita and Rdp Houses - Collita | Ward 4 | |
| | Aerial for cellphone networks – Weenen | Ward 5/7 | |
| | Swimming Pool- Wembezi | Ward 1 | |
| | Bus shelters - Wembezi | Ward 1 | |
| | Hall maintainance - Wembezi | Ward 1 | |
| | Aerial Networks - Cornfields | Ward 6 | |
| | Aerial Mask For cellphones - Ntabamnyama | Ward 7 | |
| | Cell phone Mast - Enhlangwini | Ward 7 | |
| | Installation of recording system at Council Chamber | Ward 3 | |
| | Stopshop - Cornfields | Ward 4 | |
| | Upgrade of land fill site - Mimosadale | Ward 3 | |
| | Upgrade and fencing of taxi rank | Estcourt | |
| | Upgrade of Weenen stadium | Ward 5 | |
| Land Acquisitions | Availability of land for development | Rensbergdrift | |
| | Land – Ntokozweni | Ward 4 | |
| | Land Acquisition - Nhlalakahle | Ward 4 | |
| | Lack of serviced land | Whole Umtshezi area | |
| | Land for self development - Collita | Ward 4 | |
| | Land for development - Thembalihle | Ward 4 | |

| | Relocation of Paradise Houses | Ward 4 |
|----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Community | | |
| Services & LED | Fields | Mthaniya, Thembalihle, Esigodlweni |
| | Ground marking machine | All Wards |
| | Lambert Park – improvement of | Lambert Park |
| | facilities | |
| | Sport equipment | All Wards |
| | Sport Field Upgrade (fencing, | All Wards |
| | drainage, etc.) | |
| | Children's playground C section, Nkwezela, B Section and VQ Section | Ward 1 and 2 |
| | Refuse Services : Refuse bins, | All Wards |
| | tractors, rear end compactor, high | |
| | pressure washer, skip loader, bull | |
| | dozer, borehole, fencing, trenches, | |
| | shelters, heavy duty grease gun, | |
| | vehicles | |
| | Parks & recreational facilities - Collita | Ward 4 |
| | Public transport | All wards |
| | Job creation | All wards |
| | Taxi Rank; i.e. toilets | Estcourt Taxi Rank |
| | SMME's Support | All Wards |
| | Co – operative Support | All Wards |
| | Relocation of the Weenen Sportsfield | Ward 7 |
| | Fire Brigade – Repair Fire Engine, | |
| | Replace Water Tanker, Replace | |
| | Landcruiser, Replace LDV, Replace | |
| | jaws of life, Replace breathing | |
| | apparatus compresser, replace the | |
| | following – breathing apparatus sets, | |
| | generators, floating pumps, fire hose, | |
| | bunker suits, two-way radio network upgrade, link-up to District | |
| | Municipality Two way radio network, | |
| | mobile radios, Hand held two way | |
| | radios. | |
| | Grass fire unit (skid unit), Television | |
| | and DVD player (training), | |
| | refurbishment of leaks and defects on | |
| | building, cleaning and re-painting of | |
| | fire station, Establishment, staff and | |
| | equipment (fire sub station in | |
| | Weenen), Fire fighters | |
| | accommodation at Estcourt Fire | |
| | Station. | |
| | Nursery upgrade | |
| | Repair ceiling – public health admin | |
| | Aerodrome – Runway tarring and | |
| | fencing | Kuanahamba Fatauut Warahari |
| | Cemeteries – land, development of new cemeteries | Kwanobamba, Estcourt, Wembezi |
| | Swimming Pools – repairs, fence replacement | Forderville and Hildene |
| | Topiacomont | |

| | Double and Mannes, treaters also have | All Manda | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Parks and Verges – tractors, slashers, | | All Wards | |
| | vehicles, equipment, fencing | All Wards | |
| | Community LED Projects | | |
| | Enterprise Centre/ Investment Agency | Decentralised | |
| | Mini Factories - Construction | Decentralised | |
| *************************************** | Emerging Farmers Support | All Wards | |
| | Community Centres | | |
| | Research and develop farmers market | Agricultural node | |
| | SMME Training and Development | All Wards | |
| | Support Land Reform Projects | All Wards | |
| | Food Processing Plant | Ward 7 | |
| | House Boat Construction | Ward 2 | |
| | Tourism Promotion / attraction | All wards | |
| | Shopping Malls in Estcourt, Weenen and Wembezi | Wards 1,2,3 and 7 | |
| | Extended Midlands Meander | Cross cutting | |
| | Aerodrome Development - | Ward 3 | |
| | Mimosadale | | |
| | Tourist Info centre and handicraft Sales outlet - Wembezi | Ward 1 or 2 | |
| | Tourist Corridor route | Cross cutting | |
| | Between Weenen and Giants Castle | , and the second | |
| | Extension of the existing Industrial Park in Estcourt | Ward 3 | |
| | Upgrading of Wembezi A and C Stadium | Ward 1 and 2 | |
| | Development of new cemetery – Weenen and Wembezi | Ward 2 and 5 | |
| | Fencing of Existing Cemeteries | All wards | |
| Fencing of new Community gardens Fencing of new houses | | All wards | |
| | | All wards | |
| | Small business development and workshops – Mini Factories | Ward 1 | |
| | Clinic - Esgodlweni | Ward 4 | |
| | Development of a Sports Field – | Ward 4 | |
| | Paapkuilsfontein Clinic - Paapkuilsfontein | Ward 4 | |
| | Sports Ground - Collita | Ward 4 | |
| | Clinic - Collita | Ward 4 | |
| | Fencing of Landfill site - Estcourt | Ward 3 | |
| | | | |
| | Clinic - Mimosadale | Ward 3 | |
| | Clinic – Thembalihle | Ward 4 | |
| | Sports field - Thembalihle | Ward 4 | |
| | Home based care centre - Wembezi | Ward 1 | |
| | Soccer fields - Msobotsheni | Ward 5 | |
| | Establishment of a pound (Inkomfe) | Ward 6 | |
| | Clinic - Enhlangwini | Ward 7 | |
| | Sports Field - Ezibindini | Ward 7 | |
| Tourism | Tourism Signage | All wards | |
| | Craft Centre | Decentralised | |
| | | | |

| | Brochures | Office |
|---------|--|--------------|
| | Projects to be identified by Tourism Plan | All wards |
| | Housing development – Wembezi A Phase 2 and C Phase 3 | Ward 1 and 2 |
| | Housing – Forderville | Ward 4 |
| | Changing asbestos roof – Forderville Housing - Rensburgdrift | Ward 4 |
| | Housing - Paapkuilsfontein | Ward 4 |
| | Asbestos Roof - Collita | Ward 4 |
| | Housing Development (Phase 2) – Collita | Ward 4 |
| | Rectification of existing Housing Units - Colita | Ward 4 |
| | Rectification of Old Housing Units – Wembezi A | Ward 1 and 2 |
| Housing | Housing Project – Thembalihle and Haviland | Ward 4 |
| | Housing Project – Wembezi C | Ward 1 |
| | Housing Project – Cornfields, Rama, Chievely and Frere | Ward 6 |
| | Housing Project - Ezitendeni | Ward 5 |
| | Housing Project – madulaleni, Mgwamama, Bambanani Machunu and Owl and Elephant | Ward 7 |
| | Housing Project - Ezibindini | Ward 7 |
| | Housing Development – Engodini, Nhlawe, Msobotsheni | Ward 5 |
| | Mimosadale Phase Two | Ward 3 |

WATER BACKLOGS FOR UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY AS SUPPLIED BY UTHUKELA MUNICIPALITY

| WARD | WARD CODE | HOUSES | BACKLOG % | BACLKOG |
|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| WARD 1 | 52304001 | 1.897 | 2% | 33 |
| WARD 2 | 52304002 | 1.983 | 13% | 249 |
| WARD 3 | 52304003 | 2.677 | 23% | 621 |
| WARD 4 | 52304004 | 2.475 | 10% | 236 |
| WARD 5 | 52304005 | 1.637 | 28% | 466 |
| WARD 6 | 52304006 | 3.339 | 24% | 809 |
| WARD 7 | 52304007 | 1.224 | 47% | 580 |
| TOTAL | | 15.232 | 20% | 2.994 |

1.8. STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

Following the stakeholder meetings and presentation of their needs, the municipal management and councillors held a series of strategic planning workshops where it was agreed that there are a set of challenges that faces the municipality.

In response to these challenges, the following Strategic Objectives were developed: taking into consideration the National Key Performance Areas, national and Provincial Priorities.

The National Key Performance areas are as Follows:

- 1. Municipal Transformation and institutional development.
- 2. Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development.
- 3. Local Economic development.
- 4. Good Governance, Community Participation and Ward Committee Systems.
- 5. Financial Viability and Financial Plan.

| NATIONAL KPA | STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE | MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE OUTPUT | PERFORMANCE MEASURE/INDICATOR | TIME FRAME | RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------|------------------------|
| | Human Resources Development | Organogram | Adopted Organogram | June 2011 | Corporate Services |
| | | Employment of staff in terms of Umtshezi Municipality's Equity Plan | terms of employed in terms of the Plan pality's | | Corporate services |
| | | Women employed by the municipality | Number of women | June 2011 | Corporate services |
| MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND | | Youth employed by the municipality | Number of youth | June 2011 | Corporate services |
| INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT | | Disabled staff employed by the municipality | Number of staff | June 2011 | Corporate services |
| | | Workplace skills plan | Yes/No | June 2011 | Corporate services |
| | | Budget spent on Workplace Skills Plan | Percentage spent | June 2011 | Corporate services |

| Batho pele principles | Surveys to be Conducted Service Charter Displaying of the relevant legislation Customer Satisfactio n Survey and Councillors reports to the Speaker regarding ward level service delivery | Final approved documents | June 2011 | All |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|------|
| Integrated Development Plan | IDP Review | Yes/No | June 2011 | PECS |
| | Spatial Development Framework | Yes/No | Completed To be reviewed | PECS |
| | Disaster management Plan | Yes/No | 1 st stage completed, applied for funding for 2nd stage | PECS |

| | | Approved Mid Term Expenditure Framework | Yes/No | June 2011 | Budget and Treasury |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Environmental management plan | Yes | Completed To be reviewed | Economic development & Planning |
| | Performance Management Systems | OPMS S57 Performance Agreements | Yes | Completed Completed | Office of MM Corporate Services |
| | Cystems | Annual Performance Report | Yes/No | 2009/2010 finalised | Office of MM |
| | Telecommunications | Communication Strategy | Yes | To be reviewed by June 2011 | Corporate Services |
| | IT System | IT Strategy | Yes/No | Finalized, adopted 29/7/2010 | Corporate Services |
| | Access to Electricity | Households with access to electricity | Number of households | Ongoing | Electricity Department |
| | | New electrical connections | Number of new connections | Ongoing | Electricity Department |
| BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND | Access to solid Waste Disposal Services | Households with access to waste disposal services | Number of households | Ongoing | PECS |
| INFRASTURE DEVELOPMENT | | New households with access to weekly waste disposal. | Number of new households | Ongoing | PECS |

| Free basic services | Households with access to free basic water | Number of households | ongoing | District Municipality |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Households with access to free basic sanitation | Number of households | ongoing | District Municipality |
| | Households with access to free electricity | Number of households | ongoing | Finance |
| Access to Roads | Kilometres of tarred roads established | Number of KM | Ongoing | Technical Services |
| Access to Roads | Number of km of gravel roads established | Number of km | Ongoing | Technical Services |
| | Kilometres of roads maintained | Number of km | Ongoing | Technical Services |
| Community and public facilities | New facilities provided | Number of facilities | Ongoing | Community services |
| | Upgrade of facilities provided | Number of facilities | Ongoing | Community Services |
| Human settlements | New houses to be constructed | Number of units | Ongoing | Community Services |
| Managing health facilities | Primary health care and HIV strategy | Yes/No | To be reviewed by September 2011 | Community Services |
| Safe and Secure environment | Community safety Forum | Yes | Functional | Community Services |

| | | Fire response plan | Yes | To be incorporated in the Disaster Management Plan. | Community Services |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| | Economic growth | Targeted Investment attraction | Percentage Achieved | On going | Economic Development & Planning |
| LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | Poverty Alleviation | Indigent Policy | Yes | To be reviewed by June 2011 | Economic Development & Planning |
| | Tourism Development | Tourism Strategy | No | Terms of reference finalized, budget to be allocated for Strategy | Economic Dev & Planning |
| | Development of prioritised groups and learnerships | Capacity building imitative undertaken | 10 – SMME / Co ops | On going | Economic Dev & Planning |
| | Special Projects | Special projects planned | 1 | June 2011 | Office of MM |
| | Promotion of Local Economy | Jobs created through the municipalities LED initiatives | 120 – extended public works programmes and other initiatives | Umtshezi Municipality to submit the updated register of temporary employees by June 2011 | Economic Dev & Planning |

| | | Jobs created through the municipalities capital Projects | 120 | Umtshezi Municipality to submit the updated register of temporary employees by June 2011 | Economic Dev & Planning |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| GOOD | Community awareness | Road shows and Izimbizo | 3 | On going | Office of the Mayor / Finance and PECS |
| GOVERNANCE, COMMUNITY | Public Participation | Public Meetings | 4 per annum | On going | Office of the Speaker |
| PARTICIPATION AND WARD COMMITTEE | Stakeholder Liaison | Stakeholder meetings | 3 | On going | Office of MM |
| SYSTEMS | Policy Development | Compliance with all relevant legislation | To be confirmed by the IDP Manager with all Departments. | To be confirmed by June 2011. | All Departments |
| | Internal Auditing Function | Financial Audit Committee | Yes | Functional | Office of MM |
| | | Performance Audit Committee | Yes | Functional | Office of MM |
| | Anti Corruption Strategy | Anti Corruption strategy / Fraud Prevention Plan | Yes | Functional | Office of MM |
| | Revenue Enhancement | Cash collected from customers | R value of revenue collected | Ongoing | Budget and Treasury |
| | | Amount invoiced/billed to customers | | Ongoing | Budget and Treasury |
| | Financial Management | Debt Service payments | | Ongoing | Budget and Treasury |

| FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT | | Total Revenue received from grants and subsidies | R Value | From July 2011 | Budget and Treasury |
|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | | Total of grants and subsidies | Percentage spent | June 2012 | Budget and Treasury |
| | Budgeting and Reporting | Total operating budget | R value | June 2012 | Budget and Treasury |
| | | Total salaries and wages budget) including benefits) | R value | June 2012 | Budget and Treasury |
| | | Oversight report | Yes/No | March 2012 | Budget and Treasury |
| | | Compliance with MFMA requirements | Percentage compliance | Ongoing | Budget and Treasury |
| | Expenditure Control | Total operating expenditure | R value | June 2012 | Budget and Treasury |
| | | SCM Policy | Yes/No | Ongoing | Budget and Treasury |

PRIORITY PROJECTS

| WARD NO | PROJECT 1 | PROJECT 2 | PROJECT 3 | PROJECT 4 | PROJECT 5 | PROJECT 6 | RESPONSIBILITY PERSON / SECTOR DPT. |
|------------|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | Wembezi Mall, Taxi Rank and Service Station (Job Creation) | Community creche – A section | Tourism and Craft Centre | Co operative / SMME Support | Mini Factories | Tarring of roads | All Departments |
| 2 | Wembezi Mall, Taxi Rank and Service Station (Job Creation) | Dry port Centre | Tourism and Craft Centre | Co operative / SMME Support | Mini Factories | Creche and Hall – B section | All Departments |
| 3 | Shopping Mall – Estcourt (Job Creation) | Estcourt Taxi Rank upgrade | Industrial Park | Co operative / SMME Support | Development of Recycling Centre | Refurbishment of Estcourt Town Hall | All Departments |
| 4 | Thusong Centre / Clinic (Thembalihle) | Upgrading of Papkuils and Forderville storm water drainage system | Sanitation system for Thembalihle Hall | Co operative / SMME Support | Repair and extend the Colitta Hall | Tarring of roads, Colita and Thembalihle | All Departments |
| 5 | Farmers Market / Canning Factory | Thusong Centre | Nomoya creche | Co operative / SMME Support | Ngodini Community Hall | Gomba acess road | All Departments |

| WARD NO | PROJECT 1 | PROJECT 2 | PROJECT 3 | PROJECT 4 | PROJECT 5 | PROJECT 6 | RESPONSIBILITY PERSON / SECTOR DPT. |
|---------|---------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 6 | Thusong Centre | Cornfields sports field | Creche – Chievely | Co operative / SMME Support | Mdlatswele Road - Cornfields | Electricity - Chievely | All Departments |
| 7 | Weenen peace centre | Shopping Centre and Service Station | Cellular Tower | Co operative / SMME Support | Mini Factories | Esiphethwini Access road | All Departments |

IDENTIFIED CAPITAL PROJECTS

| WARD NO | PROJECT 1 | PROJECT 2 | PROJECT 3 | PROJECT4 | PROJECT 5 | PROJECT 6 | RESPONSIBILITY PERSON / SECTOR DPT. |
|------------|---|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Construction of 8 KM Roads in the Wembezi A Housing Development. | Maintainenence of roads | Access to Waste Disposal Services | Nkwezela Sportsfield Upgrade | Mini factories | Farmer market | All Departments |
| 2 | Construction of 8 KM Roads in the Wembezi A Housing Development. | maintainence of Roads | Upgrading of the Bulk Infrastructure for the Wembezi C Phase 3 Housing Development | Sewer reticulation for Wembezi C Phase One and Wembezi A and B. | Upgrading of the Wembezi C Sportsfield | Creche and community hall – B section | All Departments |
| 3 | Brynmbella access roads | Extension of Ingavuza (phase two) access road | Kwamatshesi access road | Refurbishment of the Estcourt town Hall | Mshayazafe access road | Development of Re-cycling centre | All Departments |
| 4 | Upgrading of the Forderville Storm water Drainage System | Tarring of Roads in Colita | Sanitation System for the Thembalihle Hall. | Repair and Extension of the Colita Hall | Tembalihle and Esigodlweni – access roads | Clinic in Thembalihle | All Departments |
| 5 | Nomoya crèche | Gomba access road | Nkaseni access road | Ngodini community hall | Madondo access road | Msobotsheni access road | All Departments |

| WARD NO | PROJECT 1 | PROJECT 2 | PROJECT 3 | PROJECT 4 | PROJECT 5 | PROJECT 6 | RESPONSIBILITY PERSON / SECTOR DPT. |
|---------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 6 | | Rama Access Road | Msuluzi Access Road Rehabilitation | | Brewitt Park Sportsfield upgrade | Thembalihle Sportsfield upgrade | All Departments |
| 7 | Esiphethwini Access Road | Ngwazamahhashi Access Road | Mswenya Access Road | Madulaneni Creche | Mini Factories | Shopping center and service station | All Departments |

UTHUKELA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY(WATER PROJECTS)

| WARD NO | PROJECT 1 | PROJECT 2 | PROJECT 3 | PROJECT 4 | PROJECT 5 | PROJECT 6 | RESPONSIBILITY PERSON / SECTOR DPT. |
|---------|--|---|--|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Upgrade of Wagendrift Dam water pump station | | | | | | Uthukela District Municipality |
| 2 | Wembezi D Water and Sanitation | Upgrading of the Bulk Infrastructure for the Wembezi C Phase 3 Housing Development | Sewer reticulation for Wembezi C Phase One and Wembezi B. | | | | Uthukela District Municipality |
| 3 | Sanitation | Mimosadale | Brynmbella | Kwamatshesi | Engavuza | Intunda and | Uthukela |

| | Project confirmed by UDM | Phase Two Water and Sanitation | Water and Sanitation | Water and Sanitation | Water and Sanitation | Lowlands Water and Sanitation | District Municipality |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 4 | Sanitation Project – Thembalihle/ confirmed by UDM | Emthweni Water and Sanitation | Haviland Water and Sanitation | Haviland Electricity - ESKOM | Esigodlweni Water and Sanitation | Esigodlweni Electricity - ESKOM | Uthukela District Municipality |
| 5 | Sanitation Project - Weenen confirmed by UDM | Ezitendeni Sanitation | Engodini, Vumbu and Gomba Water and Sanitation | Nhlawe and Madondo Water and Sanitation | Nontethe Water and Sanitation | Nkaseni and Nomoya Water and Sanitation | Uthukela District Municipality |
| 6 | Chievely, Frere and Rama Water and Sanitation | Cornfield Water and Sanitation | | | | | Uthukela District Municipality |
| 7 | Sanitation Project confirmed by UDM | Ephofini Water and Sanitation | Mbabane Water and Sanitation | Mgwamama, Ezibindini and Mankonka Water and Sanitation | Madulaneni Water and Sanitation | Mhlumba and Kwanhliwe Water and Sanitation | Uthukela District Municipality |

1.9. POWERS AND FUNCTIONS

In realizing its Strategic Objectives, National and Provincial Priorities, uMtshezi Municipality will thrive to provide the following powers and functions as enshrined in the constitution and the Municipal Systems Act of 2000.

| Development planning and | Promotion of local tourism | Street lighting |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Local economic | Air pollution | Traffic and parking |
| development | Building regulations | Electricity |
| Solid waste disposal | Storm water management | Local sports facilities |
| Fire fighting services | Street trading | Noise pollution |
| • The establishment, conduct | Control of undertakings that | • Pounds |
| and control of cemeteries | sell liquor and food to the | Municipal roads |
| and crematoria | public | |

The Umtshezi Municipality does not have sufficient capacity, both human and financial capacity, to perform these powers and functions effectively and efficiently. To improve its capacity, the municipality as entered into an "Agreement with the Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional affairs."

Business Plans have been developed to source funds from financial institutions and Donors, etc.

1.10. INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION

On the political institutional arrangement, Umtshezi Municipality has a collective executive system combined with a ward participatory system. The Political structure of the municipality consists of the Mayor, the Deputy Mayor and the Speaker as full-time office bearers. In total there are 14 councillors (inclusive of office bearers), which constitutes the municipal Council. Out of 14 councillors, 7 councillors are ward councillors, and the other 7 are PR councillors. After the May 2011 Local Government Elections, Umtshezi will be constituted by nine wards.

The municipality has an Executive Committee that comprises of 3 members, as per the political quota representation. There are also four sub – committees in which councilors serve and deliberate on matters to be submitted to EXCO and Council thereof. The committees are as follows:

- 1) Infrastructure, Housing and Town Planning Committee
- 2) IDP, Finance, Local Economic Development and Tourism Committee
- 3) Sports, Gender and Vulnerable Groups Committee
- 4) Human Resources, Transformation and Safety / Security Committee

The Mayor and the Municipal Manager participate in functional IGR structures of the district. Frequent Sector Department meetings are held during the IDP Review Process.

On the administration institutional arrangement all Section 57 positions are not filled. Director Corporate Services and Director Technical Services still remain vacant. All section 57 Managers have performance agreements and charters. The diagram below presents the first page organogram of the municipality and division of powers and functions to different portfolios within the Umtshezi Municipality establishment to ensure the effective implementation of the IDP.

1.11. HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

The Municipality has a Human Resource Strategy Document which responds to the long-term development plans which reflect on recruitment, retention, succession plan, scarce skills, skills development plan, etc, and an adopted organogram, with 325 current posts, 45 vacant posts and 16 frozen posts. There are two vacant posts in the Section 57 staffing category but all other positions in this category are filled (Refer to the Organogram).

There is a budget allocated for the review of the Performance Management System for the Municipality. The current Performance Management System(2010/2011) is fully functional, however there is a need to appoint a full time Performance Officer/Manager. The Municipality has established a Special Programmes Units that will ensure there is effective implementation of the Equity Plan in order to address the issues relating to HIV / AIDS, youth, gender, pensioners and people with disabilities. Policies to address the foregoing Special Programmes have been developed.

Of importance also is the involvement of the both internal and external stakeholders in the decision making processes on Umtshezi. The Municipality has devised clear roles and responsibilities for each structure. For example, the internal role players include the councilors, officials, IDP steering committee and the representative forum (RF). The external role-players include the District Municipality, the ward committees as well as the sector departments. The RF provides a platform

for all role players to take part in the decision making process to ensure the effectiveness of the IDP Review Phase.

The Municipality has approved the Skills Development Plan, which also includes the Workplace Skills plan. This plan promotes education and training in the Municipality and to empower all employees as per the Skills Development Act, Employment Equity Act and the Basic Conditions of Employment Act.

The Workplace Skills Plan has enabled the acquisition of specialist skills required by legislation, specialist technical training, project management and planning, social/community/economic development and training, apprenticeships and administration skills. With the receipt of discretionary grants the critical and scarce skills training will be a priority in the development of a succession plan and staff retention strategy. Lack of capacity in the Human Resources Department would e improved by restructuring.

DIRECTOR: Corporate Services (Section 57) DIRECTOR: Trance (Section 57) DIRECTOR: Trednical Services (Section 57) DIRECTOR: Technical Services (Section 57) Community Services (Contractual) Current Posts 58 Vacant 5 Frozer Posts 1 Frozer Posts 2 Frozer Posts 3 Frozer Posts 4 Frozer Posts 4 Frozer Posts 4 Frozer Posts 4 Frozer Posts 5 Frozer Posts 4 Frozer Posts 5 Frozer Posts 5 Frozer Posts 5 Frozer Posts 5 Frozer Posts 6 Frozer Posts 7 Frozer Posts 8 Frozer Post

UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY ORGANOGRAM

The organogram has been developed so as to accommodate the HR needs of the Municipality.

-CHALLENGES

- IGR structures are in existence but are not sitting due to political interference has been raised with MEC through MTAS
- Ward committees have been established but more training is required and again do not sit due to political interference.

- CDW's are not put into every ward within the municipalities
- Vacant posts are not filled due to MEC moratorium until after the elections especially sect 57.

- KEY INTERVENTIONS

- The allocation of budget to train Ward Committees
- Immediate filling of key positions
- HR policies in place WSDP, EE need to be implemented, and older policies need to be reviewed.
- Key indicators need to be formulated such that everyone can understand them.
- In the next financial year have a PMS to foster the ides of community satisfaction surveys
- Need functional IGE structures

-TIME FRAMES

The Time Frames are covered adequately in the LGTAS, Organizational Scorecard and Projects Schedule per KPA.

A **DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN** has been developed by our service provider and adopted by council. Please refer to **SECTION J: ANNEXTURES.**

The **AUDITOR –GENERAL REPORT** for the year ending 2010 is included in this edition of the IDP and is covered under SECTION C of the IDP 2011/12. The municipality will ensure the implementation of the corrective steps.

SECTION B: SITUTIONALYSIS

CHAPTER 2 UMTSHEZI STATUS QUO

2.1. POPULATION SIZE AND DISTRIBUTION

The 2007 Community Survey estimated that the total population of Umtshezi Municipality is 83 907 individuals, broken down as follows:

2.2. POPULATION GROUP BY GENDER

| | Male | Female | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| Black | 33.452 | 38.700 | 72.152 | 86.0% |
| Coloured | 193 | 310 | 503 | 0.6% |
| Indian or Asian | 4.399 | 4.717 | 9.116 | 10.9% |
| White | 1.034 | 1.102 | 2.136 | 2.5% |
| Total | 39 078 | 44 829 | 83 907 | 100% |

Static's South Africa
Descriptive 2007
Table 1
Population Group by Gender
For person weighted, KZN234: Umtshezi Local Municipality

The Municipality has 15 232 households, spread unevenly on seven (7) municipal wards. The majority of the people are concentrated in urban areas (±29 934) and in farming areas (±19 950), but there are a few patches of high-density settlements within informal areas, hence, there have been Housing projects running to date in different wards of the municipality and this has contributed to the growth rate since the last 2007 community survey was conducted. And the total number of new households is 1688 households and are distributed as follows: Colita (301 households); Wembezi A (515 households); Wembezi D (800 households); Kwanobamba Phase 2 (72 households).

2.3. AGE BREAKDOWN

The age breakdown determines the kind of economic activities required within the varying wards. Different age groups have different economic needs and different spending patterns.

Approximately 71% of the total population in Umtshezi Municipality area is below the age of 35. Children, below the pre-school enrolment age (that is, 0-4 years of age), constitute 11% of the population, with those who are at school-going age, including pre-school constitute 23% of the entire Municipal population. Approximately 4% of the total population is over the age of 65 years. This scenario indicates the high dependency ratio incident within the municipal area, an event that might have a negative impact on the overall socio-economic development of the area as it impedes on the ability of the individuals to save and invest.

Table 1

| AGE | Population | | Percentage % | | |
|-------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|--|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| 0 - 4 | 4.515 | 5.001 | 12 % | 11% | |
| 5 – 9 | 5.463 | 5.413 | 14% | 12% | |
| 10-14 | 5.303 | 4.715 | 14% | 11% | |
| 15-19 | 3.916 | 5.017 | 10% | 11% | |
| 20-24 | 4.561 | 3.892 | 12% | 9% | |
| 25-29 | 2.780 | 3.273 | 7% | 7% | |
| 30-34 | 2.544 | 3.084 | 7% | 7% | |
| 35-39 | 2.115 | 2.714 | 5% | 6% | |
| 40-44 | 1.702 | 2.882 | 4% | 6% | |
| 45-49 | 1.491 | 2.090 | 4% | 5% | |
| 50-54 | 1.329 | 1.724 | 3% | 4% | |
| 55-59 | 1.574 | 1.733 | 4% | 4% | |
| 60-64 | 408 | 1.049 | 1% | 2% | |
| 65-69 | 885 | 704 | 2% | 2% | |
| 70-74 | 228 | 472 | 1% | 1% | |
| 75-79 | 161 | 440 | 0% | 1% | |
| 80-84 | 52 | 362 | 0% | 1% | |
| 85+ | 50 | 225 | 0% | 1% | |
| TOTAL | | | 100% | 100% | |

Population by Age For person weighted, KZN234: Umtshezi Local Municipality

The table above (age breakdown) indicates that the largest age group in the municipality is between the ages of 15-34 constituting 37% of the entire population. This is followed by ages 35-64 (25%) and by 5-14 age group (23%). This trend obliges the provincial and national government (implementation) and the local municipality (planning) to allocate a large percentage of their budget

to social development facilities such as schools, child grant, pension and clinics. However, capital facilities such as roads, water and electricity infrastructure and municipal marketing activities require the municipal attention.

2.4. INCOME PROFILE

| ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME | PERCENTAGE (%) |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| No income | 27 % |
| R 1 – R 4 800 | 10 % |
| R 4 801 – R 9 600 | 20 % |
| R 9 601 – R 19 200 | 13 % |
| R 19 201 – R 38 400 | 11 % |
| R 38 401 – R 76 800 | 9 % |
| R 76 801 – R 153 600 | 7 % |
| R 153 601 – R 307 200 | 2 % |
| R 307 201 – R 614 400 | 0.7 % |
| R 614 401 – R 1228 800 | 0.1 % |
| R 1228 801 – R 2457 600 | 0.2 % |
| TOTAL: | 100 % |

Individual and Household Income levels

The above figures indicate that on average 27% of the households are surviving on less than R12 per day. This indicates high dependency ratios in that most of the households may be surviving on government grants ranging from old-age pension grants, disability grants etc. Even if households employ informal or illegal survival strategies it is unlikely that they generate substantial income given the general lack of viable economic base within rural areas. This situation indicates a need for development of local economic development activities that will ensure that households do manage to have access to the bare minimum of household's amenities.

2.5 Other Relevant Statistics by Percentage

KZN 234: UMTSHEZI LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

Percentage Distribution of household by type of main dwelling

Census 2001 2007 House or brick structure on a separate stand or yard 49.4 52.8 Traditional dwelling/hut/structure made of traditional materials 37.4 35.0 4.6 1.0 Flat in block of flats 2.0 0.2 Town/cluster/semi-detached 0.2 3.5 House/flat/room in back yard Informal dwelling/shack In backyard 1.2 1.5 NOT in backyard e.g. in an informal/squatter settlement 8.0 1.7 Room/flatlet not in backyard but on a shared property 0.9 0.6 Caravan or tent 0.2 Private ship/boat 6.9 Workers hostel (bedroom) Other 0.1 Total 100.0 100.0

Percentage distribution of household by type of water sources

| | Census 2001 | CS 2007 |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Piped water | | |
| Inside the dwelling | 28.0 | 43.2 |
| Inside the yard | 27.6 | 20.2 |
| From access point outside the yard | 20.6 | 11.1 |
| Borehole | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Spring | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| Dam/pool | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| River/stream | 10.1 | 12.3 |
| Water vendor | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Rainwater tank | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Other | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | |

Percentage distribution of household by tenure status

| | Census | CS |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|
| | 2001 | 2007 |
| Owned and fully paid off | 31.6 | 38.0 |
| Owned but not yet paid off | 14.2 | 9.9 |
| Rented | 20.7 | 19.2 |
| Occupied rent free | 33.6 | 31.9 |
| Other | - | 1.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | |
| | | |

Percentage distribution of households by type of toilet facilities

| | Census 2001 | CS 2007 |
|--|----------------|------------|
| Flush toilet (connected to sewerage system) | 48.6 | 52.2 |
| Flush Toilet (with septic tank) | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Dry toilet facitily | - | 2.6 |
| Chemical toilet | 1.0 | 12.3 |
| Pit Latrine with ventilation (VIP) | 4.8 | 8.7 |
| Pit latrine without ventilation | 19.3 | 0.1 |
| Bucket latrine | 0.7 | - |
| None | 22.9 | 21.6 |
| Total | 100 | 100.0 |
| | | |

Percentage distribution of households by type of energy/fuel used for lighting

Percentage distribution of households by type of refuse disposal

| | Census | CS | | Census |
|-------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | 2001 | 2007 | | 2001 |
| Electricity | 62.9 | 69.6 | Removed by local authority/private company | Removed by local authority/private company |
| Gas | 0.9 | 0.4 | At least once a week | At least once a week 57.9 |
| Paraffin | 1.0 | 1.4 | Less often | Less often 0.3 |
| Candles | 34.8 | 28.4 | Communal refuse dump | Communal refuse dump 1.2 |
| Solar | 0.1 | - | Own refuse dump | Own refuse dump 33.5 |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.1 | No rubbish disposal | No rubbish disposal 7.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | Other | Other - |
| | | | Total | Total 100.0 |

Percentage distribution of households by type of energy/fuel used for heating

Percentage distribution of households by type of energy used for cooking

| | Census | CS |
|-------------|--------|-------|
| | 2001 | 2007 |
| Electricity | 43.5 | 45.5 |
| Gas | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| Paraffin | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| Wood | 38.6 | 37.5 |
| Coal | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Animal dung | 0.6 | - |
| Solar | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Other | 2.2 | 4.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | |

| | Census 2001 | CS 2007 |
|-------------|----------------|------------|
| Electricity | 45.8 | 60.5 |
| Gas | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| Paraffin | 14.0 | 7.9 |
| Wood | 34.4 | 29.6 |
| Coal | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Animal dung | 0.5 | - |
| Solar | 0.4 | - |
| Other | 0.8 | - |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | |

2.6. PHYSICAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILES: A DISTRICT VIEW

Uthukela DM covers an area of 11,329km², has a population of approximately 630,000 and is divided into five LMs namely, Emnambithi/Ladysmith (KZ233), Indaka (KZ232), Okhahlamba (KZ235) and Imbabazane (KZ236) and Umtshezi Municipality (KZ234). This district constitutes 12% of the provincial area, 6.5% of the population and contributes 3.5% to the province's gross geographic product (GGP). The region is predominantly characterized by farmland and dense to scattered rural settlements situated in undulating topography, with 88% of the population living in the rural areas. Emnambithi/Ladysmith LM has the largest population (230.511) and Umtshezi LM the smallest (48.328). The average population density is 56 people /km², ranging from 23 people /km² in Umtshezi to 157 people / km² in Imbabazane. The average household size varies within the rural area from 5 to 8 persons. Urban areas include Ladysmith, Ezakheni, Colenso, Ekuvukeni, Estcourt, Emesis, Weenen, Bergville and Winterton / Khethani. The area forms part of the Tugela River Catchments that extends from the high-lying Okhahlamba-Drakensberg Mountains and Free State Province in the west of the Indian Ocean in the east. High rainfalls of 700 to 1.200mm per annum are experienced, and numerous strategic water storage dams have been developed.

The district economy is based primarily on manufacturing and trade (including tourism), with secondary support through agriculture. Most commercial farmlands are located in Emnambithi (Klipriver), Umtshezi and Okhahlamba (Bergville), Imbabazane and Indaka are primarily Ngonyama Trust land, with significant underdevelopment and relative isolation from the main N3 development corridor. The population within Uthukela is generally poor. Only 36% of the population is potentially economically active (20 to 60 years; Census 1996, with 10% earning less than R500 per month and 66% having no income. Therefore a vast majority of the population is unable to contribute meaningfully towards the provision of basic water services. There is large percentage of youth (55%) and 54% of the population is female, both which could impact on future water services requirements and ability to pay. The government has, however committed itself to providing free basic water and sanitation services to all citizens.

2.7. UMTSHEZI ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

The economic analysis undertaken in the Status Quo report indicates that the poverty and unemployment rates in Umtshezi have increased, with employment opportunities not being created quickly enough for the number of people entering the labour force. The Development Bank (2005) has clearly indicated that employment (a job or an income generating activity) is the best protection against poverty. This means that any strategy must protect and build formal employment and assist the poor and second economy participants to access opportunities.

The balance between the two approaches and the use of available resources is also important.

2.7.1. FORMAL SECTOR

The economy experienced a negative growth rate until 1999 – 2000, and even since then has exhibited a fluctuating growth rate in spite of the national positive growth. In terms of sectors the area has had mixed success. The three most positive private sectors remain agriculture, manufacturing and trade. These are also the three most important sectors historically. The three sectors differ in their forecast in terms of potential growth, challenges, and the future of employment demand.

The manufacturing and agri-processing sectors remain important, but have experienced a decline. The Estcourt area has been a key center for the processing of agricultural products (60% of manufacturing was previously in food processing) – mostly meat and dairy products. There are obvious important backward and forward linkages between agriculture and food processing, which can be exploited. The manufacturing sector was well established in the past but has experienced a steady decline with a number of large firms closing down. The decline was partly a result of the national slow down in the sector, but also as a result of industries moving to larger centers. The remaining industries are exhibiting growth as the sector as been growing in terms of GDP/GVA, but not in employment. The upturn in manufacturing will not necessarily lead to industries coming back.

The agricultural sector is well established and indicates a level of stability in terms of employment1. There are two key challenges in the sector. The first is that there is a dependency on traditional crops and products with very little innovation or value addition. The second is that the sector appears to be struggling with the possible impacts of land reform. There have been some positive signs as the Estcourt Farmers Association has been investigating the local solution developed at Besters near Ladysmith. At the same time there is

also an area proposed for the Gongolo Game Reserve which has been unable to develop a common vision.

The decline in manufacturing employment is notable and a cause for concern. The decline is probably associated with the closure of some firms, the sensitive nature of the sector to broader trends (foreign exchange, cost of raw material, transport etc) and an increase in mechanization. The sector has been growing in terms of GDP/GVA, but not in terms of employment. The increase in employment in community services is largely linked to the establishment of wall-to-wall local government and the expansion of the public sector. This trend will tend to slow as new government structures have been established. The stability in employment in the agricultural sector is positive as this sector is a large employer and has experienced a general down turn in employment at a national level. The construction sector should be showing an improved growth n employment, as should trade. There may have been additional capacity in these sectors, which meant growth could take place without additional employment. Additional capacity may also have been taken on as 'casuals' without permanent employment being created. Finance has remained stable, although the sector is growing.

2.7.2. INFORMAL SECTOR

The most disturbing trend is that unemployment and poverty levels will continue to increase unless a new approach is developed. This is despite a growing economy (see Table 1 below). The point is that the economy will not create as many formal sector opportunities as there are job seekers. Future opportunities need to be generated in more creative ways.


Table 1

| Unemployment Rate: 1996 and 2004 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | Male | Female | Total | | |
| 1996 | 43.6% | 57.5% | 50.0% | | |
| 2004 | 53.1% | 73.7% | 62.7% | | |

2.8 GEOGRAPHICAL DATA

Umtshezi Municipality comprises the former Wembezi / Estcourt and Weenen TLCs. It is located approximately 165km northwest of Durban and 400km southeast of Johannesburg. The National Road N3 also traverses the Municipality on its western portion linking these two

major cities i.e. Durban and Johannesburg. Estcourt Town is the main urban center for the Municipality. Umtshezi Municipality is bordered on its southeastern portion by the Mooi Mpofana Municipality, Msinga Municipality on its eastern portion, Indaka Municipality on its northeastern portion, both by Emnambithi/Ladysmith and Okhahlamba Municipalities on its northwestern portion and Imbabazane Municipality on its southwestern portion. (See the map of Umtshezi below)



2.9 CONCLUSION

Umtshezi has identified critical focus areas for the 2011/2012 financial years. These priority areas will be addressed through the identified development strategies within the Municipality. It is however, important that Umtshezi gets support from other sectors that are interested in uplifting the quality of life of the people. The following are the identified priority areas:

- 1. Social and Local Economic Development.
- 2. Infrastructure and Services.
- 3. Institutional Development and Transformation.
- 4. Democracy and Good Governance.
- 5. Financial Management.
- 6. Spatial Development Framework

SECTION C

DE ENTRET DATEGIES

CHAPTER 3

3.1 BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

3.1.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter seeks to address the 2006 local government manifesto by accelerating service delivery so that:

- No community will still be using the bucket system for sanitation by 2007
- All communities will have access to clean water by 2008
- All houses will have access to electricity by 2012
- Formalization of all informal settlements by 2014
- There is a universal provision of free basic services
- Improvement of housing provision so that better quality houses closer to economic opportunities is developed.

Hence, all the detailed service delivery targets are clearly elaborated on the Sector Plan.

3.1.2 ENERGY AND ELECTRICITY

The Umtshezi Municipality is divided into Eskom Licensed Area of Supply and the Umtshezi Municipality licensed area of supply. Currently all households within the Umtshezi Municipality Licensed area of supply are all electrified. The Municipality is currently doing in fills as well as electrifying the low income housing schemes that are being built. Therefore there are no electrification backlogs within Umtshezi Municipality Licensed area of supply.

Within Eskom Licensed Area of Supply but within Umtshezi Jurisdiction, there are households without electricity. The table below indicates the backlogs as well the progress to-date in addressing those backlogs.

| AREA | STAGE | TOTAL PROJECT COST |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Ekuthuleni Nkwaleni | Awaiting funding | R1.500.000 |
| Enersdale | Pre - Engineering | R1.000.000 |
| Cornfields | Complete | R3.700.000 |
| Nhlawe | Awaiting funding | R8.100.000 |
| Thembalihle | Complete | R2.900.000 |
| Rensburg Drift/Esigodlweni | Awaiting funding | R6.000.000 |

All households that reside within the Municipal Boundary are within the electricity national grid; therefore it will be cost effective to provide the grid energy than the use of the renewable energy sources. The current plan is to connect all the people to the national grid as soon as the funds are made available. However, whilst plans are being made to connect everyone to the grid, there are areas that have been identified to be provided with the renewable energy i.e. Solar System. These areas are as follows:

- Emhlumba, Enconjane, Kwashli, Brynbella, Enkasheni, Mthaniya, Etshenilengele, Emgwammama, Mpungu, and Ebuphofili.

To date 602 Solar Systems have been installed at a total cost of R 3 604 067.04

The Municipality has signed the cooperative agreement with EDI Holdings and currently awaiting funding from the EDI Holdings to Ring Fence the Electricity Department as well as to establish the Separated Operated Entity (SOE). Also the Municipality in partnership with EDI Holdings will need to conduct workshops to ensure that the decision makers are refreshed on the matter. The Municipality signed the cooperative agreement with EDI Holdings and applied for funds to conduct the ring fencing as well as the MSA Section 78 Assessment. The EDI Holdings has programmes that Umtshezi Municipality will have their ring fencing as well as MSA Section 78 will be done in due course.

The Municipality conducted an assessment into its tariffs and it was found to be above the NERSA benchmarks, however financial shortfalls still exists. IBT's is currently being modeled and is being prepared as per NERSA regulations and will be ready for submission shortly. Budgeted maintenance has grossly affected the functioning of the Electricity Department, and this has resulted in the Municipality being strained financially in terms of resources to keep the electricity department going.

The Municipality is currently doing Skills assessment on its electricity staff to identify the skills gap as well capacity. This will inform the organizational structure review, the skills development as well as the optimum capacity required.

The Municipality does have an Electricity Master Plan, but it is has not been updated. This Master Plan also deals with the electricity issues within the Umtshezi Municipality licensed area of supply. The Municipality will endeavor to review its Master Plan during the 2011/2012 Financial year.

The municipality tried unsuccessfully to fill the more technical vacant positions due to shortage of skills, technical pool available around Umtshezi. Those residing far could not accept the salary package noting that it is too low. Consultants were used to build internal capacity and to handle work, currently these employees are being empowered to take over the responsibilities.

3.1.3 ROADS AND TRANSPORT

The Municipality relies heavily on MIG funding for infrastructure development. The Municipality is working closely with the Department of Transport, through the Rural Transport Forums, in identifying roads that need funding and upgrading within the Municipality. A Transport Plan has also been developed which stipulates clearly their plans for the building of new roads and maintaining in the next five years. The O&M of old roads is funded to a total of R1.2 million budgeted for the next financial year; these projects are included in the Project Schedule: Infrastructure and Services.

Due to high Eskom tariff increases that will be passed on to Municipalities and the limit that NERSA has set on Municipal tariff increases, which is far below Eskom tariff increases, aggravated by the fact that the Municipal tariffs are far below NERSA bench marks, it is making it very difficult for the municipality to efficiently operate the electrical network and to find adequate finances, to maintain and upgrade the electrical infrastructure.

3.1.4. FREE BASIC SERVICES

The Municipality is also focusing on the provision of free basic services to the indigent. The indigent policy is in place and the register is updated regularly for this purpose. However, there are still some challenges that are experienced in this area due to lack of human resources that could focus entirely on this function. Regarding free basic electricity, the Municipality has signed a service level

agreement with ESKOM to provide free basic electricity to those areas listed in the agreement. At the moment there are backlogs reported in those areas that need to be addressed by ESKOM. The Municipality is also providing alternative sources of energy in those communities where there is no electricity. On Free Basic Water, Uthukela District Municipality has also entered into an agreement with the Local Municipality on this function. Regarding Free waste removal, there are no programmes in place for this service. There is a funding, which is provided by National Treasury to manage the Indigent policy.

3.1.5. REFUSE REMOVAL AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

There is no municipal wide waste disposal site with the result that dumping is uncontrolled and litter bears testimony to this. Only Estcourt, Weenen and Wembezi experience some measure of waste management although there is a need for the identification of a new waste disposal site. The majority of people, especially in the farming areas, dispose of their waste on-site and diseases emerge because they do not have information on how to manage the situation. As a result, diseases are spread and animals are also exposed to hazardous conditions because of plastics. Awareness campaigns on the importance of proper waste management in attracting investment. Currently, funds are inadequate to provide formal waste disposal sites, hence, a Waste Management Plan is underway

3.1.6. HOUSING PROVISION

The majority of the population resides in urban settlements of Weenen, Estcourt and Wembezi. This is informed by the historic growth pattern of the municipality. The two main nodes of Weenen and Estcourt evolved as agricultural service centres. While Wembezi complex on the other hand served the residential area of Wembezi, The municipal population of 59 822 residents distributed across seven municipal wards—represents a range of predominantly urban, farming communities and rural settlements. Development intensity and housing need particularly is in the urban areas of Estcourt and Weenen and Wembezi with a population of 29 934 residents (49%) of municipal population. These settlements are located on the major activity routes.

The second largest population density with a population of 19 950 (33%) of municipal residents is located on privately owned commercial farmlands. The tribal areas make up 10 038 (16%) of the residents of the municipality (please refer to Chapter 2.5 – other relevant statistics by percentage)

Taking into account the composition of settlements, that is, urban population (49.9%), farmlands and tribal settlements that comprise 33% and 16% respectively, it is evident that the 35% of traditional huts are in farmlands and communal areas. The municipal IDP (2008/2009) states that the houses in these areas are in a bad state of repair. They are subjected to periodic collapse during the rainy season or windy times. In terms of Section 9 (1) of the National Housing Act the municipality through its planning takes all reasonable and necessary steps to ensure that, conditions that are not conducive to health and safety of its residents are prevented and removed.

It is therefore without doubt that the greatest areas of housing need in the Umtshezi Municipality are the farmlands and tribal areas. This correlates to the housing development projects planned by the municipality as the majority of them are in rural settlements (see Table 5). The lack of housing development in the rural areas and farm areas can be ascribed to a number of factors, the most important of these being security of tenure on Ingonyama Land and lack of land ownership by farm dwellers.

The project linked subsidy which requires beneficiaries to have outright ownership of the site to which the subsidy relates has been until recently the preferred Housing subsidy Scheme. As a result thereof, housing development has taken place in urban areas as all the current housing developments are in urban settlements. The foreseen challenge in the housing development in farmlands is access to tenure, as this land is privately owned.

Consequently, there has been no development on Ingonyama Land and farmlands resulting in less than adequate housing standards. Almost half of the municipal population resides in these areas. The introduction of the Institutional subsidy now provides a mechanism for development on Ingonyama Land, which provides the beneficiary with a long term-lease. For farm dwellers access to housing opportunities is reliant on tenure reform.

We have adopted our housing Sector Plan, which has been reviewed accordingly. We have incorporated our Reviewed Housing Sector Plan within our IDP with proper integration of housing programmes and projects.

3.1.7. WATER AND SANITATION

Access to water within 200m of one's home is an acceptable minimum standard irrespective of whether the water comes from a hand pump, borehole and a reticulation system supplied from a high yielding borehole or a reticulation system from a bulk line or reservoir. In the Umtshezi Municipality the water services backlog is at 7% (UTDM IDP 2009/2010) while the sanitation backlog is estimated at 8%. The planned housing projects are guaranteed access to water through CMIP funding. Thus housing development provides opportunities for households to access water and sanitation. This is a district competency and therefore housing projects have to be aligned to the district infrastructure plan to ensure that they the bulk infrastructure component of the development is supplied employment, housing and land, with people able to exercise control over their society, community and personal lives, and to invest in the future.

- CHALLENGES

- large amount of funding required for the eradication of backlogs (water, sanitation and electricity.)
- Vandalism of infrastructure (breakages and theft)
- Ageing Infrastructure e.g. Landfill sites, water pipes etc reaching designed capacity
- Non payment of services, largely by indigents or very low debt collection rate die to unemployment
- insufficient funding of Operation and maintenance
- A large percentage of the employable population is unemployed resulting in indigents

- KEY INTERVENTIONS

- An effective road system exists in the urban areas
- A solid waste disposal facility is already in place but needs improvement
- Household, industrial and business refuse removal services available in urban areas
- Housing Chapter and Housing sector Plans These plans have been developed and are in place in order to address the housing backlogs and are aligned with other infrastructure development programmes of the respective Local Municipalities.
- Series of Sector Plans, drafted reviewed
- Indigent Register in place
- Free Basic services is provided for water, sanitation and electricity
- Turnaround Strategy is being implemented

- Implementing Extended Public Works Programme guidelines in trying to reduce unemployment
- Tarring of roads in townships
- Exploring alternative sources of energy (gel and solar panels)
- Implementation of Spatial Development Framework

3.2 MUNICIPAL SOCIAL SERVICES AND DEVELOPMENT

3.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The municipality aims to improve access to social development services and information with this chapter. Core to this chapter is the need for social needs analysis and programmes to facilitate interfaces between different spheres of government charged with social development role.

3.2.2. ACCESS TO SOCIAL SERVICES

• EDUCATION

There is great concern over the distribution of crèche's, primary and secondary school facilities in the municipality. The quality of education in schools is also not satisfactory because of the shortage of teachers and location of schooling facilities in private land (farms). In some clusters high school learners have to travel long distances because of uneven distribution of schools. The planning of education facilities is complicated by the fact that some parents may choose to support schools in other areas because they are perceived as offering a better service.

Static's South Africa Descriptive 2007

| | Pre-school | Primary | Sec- School | College | University/ Univ. of Technology | Adult basic Edu. | Other | Not App. | Total |
|--------|------------|-----------|----------------|---------|--|------------------------|---------|-------------|------------|
| Blk | 1.462.8 | 5.916.87 | 5.314.09 | 311.363 | 377.430 | 377.430 | 101.954 | 24.768.931 | 38.255.167 |
| Col. | 112.34 | 561.299 | 410.765 | 28.564 | 34.739 | 34.739 | 8.530 | 3.219.235 | 4.375.527 |
| Indian | 26.380 | 125.597 | 105.351 | 12.564 | 45.007 | 45.007 | 3.957 | 925.777 | 1.244.634 |
| White | 108.54 | 349.659 | 339.155 | 45.423 | 155.283 | 155.283 | 11.853 | 3.616.820 | 4.626.733 |
| Total | 1.710.066 | 6.953.426 | 6.169.361 | 397.914 | 612.459 | 612.459 | 126.294 | 32.530.764 | 48.502.06 |

Table 2 Population group by Educational Institution For person weighted, KZN234: Umtshezi Local Municipality

• **HEALTH FACILITIES**

Furthermore, the distribution of clinics and other primary health care facilities within the municipality is uneven with the result that some clusters are left out. There is one hospital in the municipality, which provides for emergency services and hospital care. The Primary Health Care facilities vary in size and they cater for prams and wheelchairs, i.e. the facilities are user friendly. The impact of HIV / AIDS enhances the need for hospitals and clinics.

HIV/AIDS

There is still a great deal of ignorance about the spread of HIV/AIDS. The HIV Prevalence in Umtshezi Municipality for the Period April 2009 to October 2009 is 36.4%. The District is at 30.9%. Access to social welfare services still poses another challenge on the access to social services. The Department of Welfare and population Development is working together with the Municipality in ensuring that such services are rendered to the local communities. This is one of the priority areas for the Municipality.

The scourge of AIDS affects all the citizens of this country, mostly the youth. Umtshezi Local Municipality has realized that HIV/AIDS pandemic will have a devastating impact on its socioeconomic development programs and formulated the HIV/AIDS council that was launched in 2007. This has led to the formation of HIV/AIDS development plan, which seeks to ensure that HIV/AIDS infection rates are lowered and that those who are affected and infected with the pandemic are given a necessary support. The Municipality will embark on HIV/Aids programmes during the 2011/12 financial years that would contribute to the mitigation of this pandemic.

SPORTS COUNCIL

The absence of sport and recreation facilities in the municipality has a direct relationship with crime and prevalence of HIV/AIDS among the youth. The facilities that exist are located only in urban settlements making them unreachable for the rural communities. There is a huge bias towards soccer and other sporting codes are not catered for. The provision of facilities is a matter of urgency so as to keep the youth engaged and thus reduce crime incidents. The youth need extra mural activities to keep them busy. The youth can develop their talent and get to professional level. The Departments of Education and Sports and Recreation are part of the IDP Forum as well as the service provider's forum where these priority areas are debated at length and addressed to a certain extent by the relevant sector department.

• **CEMETERIES**

Some communities within the Municipality are still practicing on site burials as a cultural phenomenon and there is a general shortage of burial sites in the municipal area. There are negative environmental implications because of contamination of ground water. The land that has been used for cemetery purposes and it cannot be used for any other purposes. There needs to be intensive awareness campaigns so as to sensitize people about the importance of using clearly demarcated cemeteries as per National Water Act, Act No.36 of 1998 and KwaZulu – Natal Cemeteries and Crematoria Act, Act No.12 of 1996 require that suitable buffers be put in place as determined by a qualified professional. Uthukela District Municipality conducted the Cemetery Crematorium Identification Study and the findings are yet to be implemented.

3.3 LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

3.3.1 INTRODUCTION

The economic analysis undertaken in Umtshezi indicates that the poverty and unemployment rates have increased, with employment opportunities not being created quickly enough for the number of people entering the labor force age group. The Development Bank (2005) has clearly indicated that employment (a job or an income generating activity) is the best protection against poverty. This means that any strategy must protect and build formal employment and assist the poor and second economy participants to access opportunities. The balance between the two approaches and the use of available resources is also important. The formal economy experienced a negative growth rate until 1999 - 2000, and even since then has exhibited a fluctuating growth rate in spite of the national positive growth.

3.3.2 TOURISM PARTICIPATION

The Bushman's River Tourism Association in partnership with the Umtshezi Municipality have for the past two years been developing a Tourism Route through the area which is known as the "The Drakensburg Experience", which was launched during 2008. This venture will assist in boosting the economy and will create job opportunities in the Umtshezi area.

3.3.3 LED STRATEGY & PLAN (REFER TO APPENDIX 2 FOR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN)

The Umtshezi Mission and Vision provides the context for the LED Plan. The LED Plan supports the municipality in achieving the goals as set out in the IDP. The Umtshezi Strategy snd Plan is aligned to the Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy (PSEDS) and the Accelerated Growth Initiative of South Africa (ASGI-SA). An LED Manager and the Tourism officer have been appointed to implement the LED Plan and are assisted by an LED Consultant. A fully functional LED forum has been constituted which supports the Councils sub-committee on LED. The Umtshezi LED plan is driven by the following strategies:

Strategy 1: Municipal – Multi Stakeholder

The previous LED policies have emphasized the need for partnerships and forums for interaction and communication. The Umtshezi Municipality and the business community have an inconsistent relationship to date. The municipality and the business community also need an environment where issues relate to Municipal functions and programme focus areas – land use management, economic infrastructure provision, business service provision, etc. The relationship among the broader economic community (including trade unions, the informal traders, and youth groups) can meet the municipality's need to be established. These types of forums are essential as they enable broader platforms for integration between these stakeholders, is not usually shared.

• Strategy 2: Municipal Functions

The LED policy reflects on the 'Market and Public Confidence', which emphasizes the role that the municipality has in creating the environment that promotes business development.

These areas include local policies and by-laws, which reflect on: -

- 2.1. Municipal by-laws (including enforcement).
- 2.2. Land Use Management Systems or Land Use Schemes.
- 2.3. Rates and levies.
- 2.4. Budget preparation and reporting.
- 2.5. Integrated Development Plan.
- 2.6. Procurement policies.
- 2.7. Policies dealing with the indigent, youth, woman, disabled, etc

2.8. Business support institutions (Community Tourism Organization, Business Support Centers, etc).

In terms of service delivery, municipalities are urged to review the level of integration of their systems. Referring to the policy document reflects, "Infrastructure development, service delivery, municipal financial viability and local economic development are not mutually exclusive concepts.

Strategy 3: Sector Support and Strategy

The sector support approach is based on the assumption that economic growth can be driven by interventions in specific markets and sectors. The analysis typically makes use of an analysis of sector stakeholders, product development and selection, supply and value chains, market areas and international trends. The strategy should begin by making use of the resources available to undertake sector interventions. A sector strategy would provide the basis for short and medium interventions. The strategy should begin by making use of the resources available to undertake sector specific interventions. A sector strategy would provide the basis for short and medium term interventions.

The Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy is the key development strategy which drives economic development thinking at present and identifies four key sectors as drivers of economic growth in the province.

The following sectors were identified: -

- 1. The Agricultural Sector (inclusion of agri-processing) and land reform
- 2. The Industrial Sector
- 3. The Tourism Sector
- 4. The Service Sector (inclusion of government services)

• Strategy 4: Enterprise Support

This strategy emphasizes enterprise support to co-operatives and other small businesses. The instruments to implement the strategy include the establishment of enterprise Information Centers, skills development and a Mentoring Programme Center is a central intervention being intervened within the ASGISA Initiative, The National LED strategy and within Provincial Interventions. The need is to assist those "who are presently economically trapped in the non – functioning Local Township and rural marginalized economies of the Second Economy". The ASGISA documentation

refers to the notion of "eliminating the second economy". That will probably not to be possible and in some middle-income countries the informal sector provides more than 50% of the employment and income generating opportunities. The enterprise support strategy aims at assisting in the establishment of a centre (Enterprise Information Centre) but also in ensuring that the center is effective, relevant and targeted.

Strategy 5: Support to Land Reform Beneficiaries

As it is suggested in Strategy 3 an Agricultural Plan needs to be prepared. It is important that such a sector plan makes clear provision for the inclusion of land reform as transformative process. The experience of land reform in the municipal area has included a high level of competition and conflict. It is important that the strategy makes resources available for mediation and stakeholder support.

The following table summarizes the current extent of the land reform programmes in Umtshezi.

| Programme | Extent |
|--|-------------|
| Restitution | 72 claims |
| Redistribution | 23 projects |
| Land Redistribution for Agricultural Development | 3 projects |
| (LRAD) | |
| Labour Tenant | 14 projects |

The LED aspects of the land reform projects are generally poorly developed. The Framework for Land Reform in KwaZulu Natal (2005) notes that: "Very few land reform projects, except those initiated by the private sector or in partnership with the private sector, facilitate the integration of beneficiary groups into commercial agricultural markets or provide opportunities for rural households to generate small amounts of income from their agricultural produce or natural resource products" (71). Land reform projects require not only the same kinds of support that other emerging farmers do; but additional support in the enterprise transformation process and resettlement process. This is termed 'post- transfer support' and requires enterprise, livelihood, infrastructure and social support interventions.

• Strategy 6: Municipal and State Procurement

The national LED strategy noted that state expenditure (at all levels) is having a limited impact on development goals. This strategy intervention is based on the use of the municipal procurement

system to undertake targeted and preferential procurement. This should be undertaken as a specific study within the corporate services section. The intervention should not end at this point – but also the Municipality should monitor that any other state agencies are ensuring that maximum benefits are accruing to local enterprises and local labour. This would include infrastructure development such as water systems and road construction.

LED has been now well institutionalised within KZN234. All staff, councillors and residences of this municipality are fully aware of the importance of LED and are indeed aware of our LED Strategy. We have reviewed and reflected more details of our LED strategy and associated projects within our reviewed IDP. We have placed more emphasis on the implementation actions and progress with regard to the LED in our current IDP. Proper alignment has been ensured of our LED Strategy and realistically practicability inline with the PSEDS and ASGI-SA. Further, our LED Plan is fully aligned to our SDF and are all spatial reflected.

RESPONSE TO MEC LETTER

The comments from the MEC are noted. The LED Strategy and Plan will be implemented during the Financial Year under review and budgets will be allocated to certain Priority Projects which are identified in the Strategy and Plan. The LED Strategy and Plan was reviewed in February 2010.

3.4 GOOD GOVERNANCE AND COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

3.4.1 INTRODUCTION

The Municipality believes in good governance, transparency and community participation. There are functional structures within the Municipality that ensure that communities are constantly informed of the development processes by the Municipality.

3.4.2 WARD COMMITTEES

Ward Committees have been established in all seven wards, however these committees have been dysfunctional. Attempts have been made to train these committees, but this was not very successful. A budget has been allocated to revive and reconstitute these ward committees, inclusive of the two newly established wards.

3.4.3 MAYORAL IZIMBIZO / ROADSHOWS AND STAKEHOLDER FORUMS

The Mayor participates actively in Road Shows and Izimbizo to discuss and approve the IDP and the allocated budgets with the communities. The Representatives Forum also includes external stakeholders to ensure that there is contribution made by all stakeholders before the Municipality adopts the final IDP.

The area of focus for the 2011/2012 financial years will be to ensure that all relevant sector Departments attend the planned forums and participate effectively. The Municipality hosts the Service Providers Forum which is aimed at bringing together all service providers within the Municipality to share with other stakeholders and input on the IDP. Attempts were made in the previous years but there are those Departments that never attended the scheduled meetings. However, there is support from the Departments such as the Department of Agriculture, Social Welfare and Transport.

- CHALLENGES

- Ward committees are dysfunctional
- Financial Constraints
- Development of Ward Committee Framework
- Establishment of help desk
- Non Functional IGR structures

KEY INTERVENTIONS

- Plans in place to train Ward committees
- Strengtening Communication
- Schedule of meetings are in place to facilitate the functioning of the IDR structures, COGTA will assist financially
- Well structured programmes to assist Special Focus Groups
- Improved public participation
- financial support
- Capacity building for both Councillors and Officials (Team building exercises)

RESPONSE TO MEC LETTER

The Communication Strategy will be reviewed during the financial year under review and a budget allocation will be made to finalise the Strategy.

3.4.4 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

The municipality participates in some of the District IGR forums, which are functional. The Umtshezi Municipality also participates and fully co-operates in the activities of other spheres of Government.

3.5. FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGEMENT

3.5.1 INTRODUCTION

Umtshezi Municipality has recognized that to be successful, the IDP must be linked to a workable financial plan. The purpose of the five-year financial plan is to create the medium and long-term strategic financial framework for allocating resources through the municipal budgeting process and to ensure the financial viability and sustainability of the Umtshezi Municipality's investments and operations. Other important reasons for developing the financial plan are:

3.5.2 AUDITOR GENERAL AND AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORTS

On receiving the audit opinion, annually, from the Auditor General, uMtshezi municipality council deliberates on the auditor's report and provides the response to the Auditor General. Over and above this, mechanisms are put in place to prevent the same incidents recurring within the municipality.

The municipality has a functional Audit Committee, which also functions as a Performance Audit Committee. The Audit Committee deliberates on annual performance of the municipality and reports to Council. Thereafter Umtshezi full Council adopts the annual report.

The Umtshezi Municipality received an unqualified report for the Financial Year 2009/2010. The following is the full report of the auditor general together with the Umtshezi Manager's responses.

3.5.2.1REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL TO THE KWAZULU-NATAL PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE AND THE COUNCIL ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE INFORMATION OF THE UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2010

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Introduction

 I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Umtshezi Municipality, which comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2010, and the statement of financial performance, statement of changes in net assets and cash flow statement for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information, as set out on pages 8 to 44.

Accounting Officer's responsibility for the financial statements

2. The accounting officer is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the South African Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (SA Standards of GRAP) and in the manner required by the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act of South Africa, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003) (MFMA) and Division of Revenue Act of South Africa, 2009 (Act No.12 of 2009) (DoRA). This responsibility includes: designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies; and making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Auditor-General's responsibility

- 3. As required by section 188 of the Constitution of South Africa, 1996 (Act No. 108 of 1996), section 4 of the Public Audit Act of South Africa, 2004 (Act No. 25 of 2004) (PAA) and section 126(3) of the MFMA, my responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit.
- 4. I conducted my audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing and General Notice 1570 of 2009 issued in Government Gazette 32758 of 27 November 2009. Those standards require that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

- 5. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- 6. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

Opinion

7. In my opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Umtshezi Municipality as at 30 June 2010, and its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended are prepared, in accordance with SA Standards of GRAP to the financial statements and in the manner required by the MFMA and DoRA.

Emphasis of matters

8. I draw attention to the matters below. My opinion is not modified in respect of these matters:

Restatement of corresponding figures

 As disclosed in note 34, to the financial statements, the corresponding figures for 30 June 2009 have been restated as a result of an error discovered during June 2010 in the financial statements of the Municipality at, and for the year ended 30 June 2010.

Unauthorised expenditure

10. As disclosed in note 39 to the financial statements unauthorised expenditure of R10, 200 million was incurred as a result of actual expenditure exceeding the budget.

Additional matter

11. I draw attention to the matters below. My opinion is not modified in respect of this matter:

Unaudited supplementary schedules

12. The supplementary information set out on pages 45 to 51 does not form part of the financial statements and is presented as additional information. I have not audited these schedules and accordingly I do not express an opinion thereon.

REPORT ON OTHER LEGAL AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

13. As required by the PAA and in terms of *General Notice 1570 of 2009* issued in *Government Gazette 32758 of 27 November 2009*, I include below my findings on the report on predetermined objectives, compliance with the following key laws and regulations Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act of South Africa, 2003 (Act No.56 of 2003)(MFMA),the Division of Revenue Act, 2009 (Act No.12 of 2009) (DoRA),Municipal Supply Chain Regulations of South Africa, GRN 868 of 30 May 2005 (MSCM) and Municipal Systems Act of South Africa, 2000 (Act No. 32 of 2000) (MSA) and financial management (internal control).

Predetermined objectives

14. Material findings on the report on predetermined objectives, as set out on pages 9 to 28 are reported below:

Non-compliance with regulatory and reporting requirements Objectives budget

15. No evidence could be provided that the development objectives as contained in the IDP were budgeted for, as required in terms of regulation 6(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Regulations, 2001.

Usefulness of information

- 16. The following criteria were used to assess the usefulness of the planned and reported performance:
- Consistency: Has the municipality reported on its performance with regard to its objectives, indicators and targets in its approved integrated development plan, i.e. are the objectives, indicators and targets consistent between planning and reporting documents?
- Relevance: Is there a clear and logical link between the objectives, outcomes, outputs, indicators and performance targets?
- Measurability: Are objectives made measurable by means of indicators and targets? Are indicators well defined and verifiable, and are targets specific, measurable, and time bound?

• The following audit finding relates to the above criteria:

Planned and reported performance targets not specific/measureable/time bound

17. For the selected objectives, 50% of the planned and reported targets were not:

- Specific in clearly identifying the nature and the required level of performance;
- Measurable in identifying the required performance;
- Time bound in specifying the time period or deadline for delivery.
- **18.**A comparison of performance with targets set in the previous financial year, and measures taken to improve performance were not disclosed in the annual performance report as required by paragraph 46(b) of the MSA.

Compliance with laws and regulations

Municipal Finance Management Act, No. 56 of 2003

Payments in excess of approved limits

19. Payments totalling R340 000 were made in excess of the approved limits of the original agreement, this is in contravention with section 116 (2) of the MFMA.

Tenders awarded without stipulating terms and conditions

20. Tenders have been awarded to suppliers without stipulating the terms and conditions of the contract as required by section 116(1) of the MFMA.

Bank overdraft

21. The letter sent to National Treasury notifying Treasury of the net overdrawn account balance of the Municipality was not in the format prescribed by section 70 (2) of the MFMA.

Annual financial statements

22. Contrary to the requirements of section 122(1)(a) of the MFMA, the financial statements submitted for audit were subject to material adjustments due to errors noted during the audit.

Municipal Supply Management Regulations GRN 868 of 30 May 2005 Evaluation criteria for disallowance of prohibited suppliers

23. Tenders have been awarded without evaluation of whether the supplier is prohibited from doing business with the public sector before awarding of the contract as required by section 14(c) of the MSCM Regulations.

INTERNAL CONTROL

- 24. I considered internal control relevant to my audit of the financial statements and the report on predetermined objectives and compliance with the following key laws and regulations MFMA, MSA, and SCM Regulations, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control.
- 25. The matters reported are limited to the significant deficiencies that gave rise to the findings on the report on predetermined objectives and compliance.

Financial and performance management

26. Management did not implement policies and procedure necessary to ensure that performance is adequately monitored and reported on.

Pietermaritzburg

Andrbor General

30 November 2010

AUDITOR - GENERAL

Auditing to build public confidence



2009/2010

ACTION PLAN FOR AUDIT QUERIES UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY-

| Finding | Action plan | Implementation Date | Accountable Executive | Status |
|--|---|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| MATTERS AFFECTING THE AUDIT REPORT | <u> </u> | | | |
| √ 1. Payment more than approved limits | This would be taken to Full Council for approval | November 2010 | Municipal Manager | This item has been tabled before Full Council on the 15th of November 2010 and was approved. |
| √ 2. The evaluation criteria does not include the disallowance of prohibited suppliers | All suppliers who have been awarded tenders will be verified by National Treasury as to whether or not they are restricted from doing business with the public sector | 01 December 2010 | CFO, SCM Manager | This had started already and the first verification was done on the 26 th of November 2010. |
| √ 3. The tender contract does not have terms and conditions | All terms and conditions are included in the tender contract. The appointment letter will be amended to include the terms and conditions of the tender. | 25 November 2010 | CFO, SCM Manager | The appointment letters have been amended with the first amended appointment letter done on the 26 th of November 2010 and signed by the approved bidder. |
| *** 4. Expenditure not according to budget | The unauthorized expenditure will be tabled before Full Council at the next council meeting | January 2011 | CFO | The item has been drafted and will be tabled at the next council meeting. |

| Finding | Action plan | Implementation Date | Accountable Executive | Status |
|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| *** 5. Cash and cash equivalents – Non compliance with MFMA S70 (2) | All letters sent to Treasury regarding overspent bank balances will be amended to include all the required information as per S70(2) | 01 December 2010 | CFO | To be amended as soon as a letter is required to be sent to Treasury |
| *** 6. Commitments – Contracts were not disclosed in the commitments | All commitments will be included in the financial statements | 30 June 2011 | CFO | Not Started |
| OTHER IMPORTANT MATTERS | | | | |
| *** 7. Posts have not been advertised | All posts will be advertised | 01 December 2010 | Dir: Corporate Services | No vacancies have been filled yet |
| √ 8. Vacation leave is not approved prior to being taken | The leave forms will be amended to include a date on which they are approved by the supervisor or manager. | 01 December 2010 | Director: Corporate Services | The leave forms have been amended to include the date on which leave is authorized. No leave is taken by staff unless it is authorized. |
| *** 9. Leave forms not filled in | Reconciliations will occur from the leave forms to the leave records on a regular basis | 01 December 2010 | Director: Corporate Services | Not started |
| *** 10. Excessive overtime worked | Employees will not work more than 10 hours overtime per week | 01 December 2010 | All HOD's | In progress |
| *** 11. Cancelled traffic fines | The summons book will be checked and verified whenever a book is full and all cancellations will be endorsed | 01 December 2010 | Manager: Protection Services | In progress |
| *** 12. Hall hire reconciliation | Monthly reconciliations of the hall diary for hall bookings and receipts will be conducted | 01 December 2010 | Director: Corporate Services | Not started |
| *** 13. VAT paid for a supplier not registered for VAT | The municipality will try to recover the VAT incorrectly paid to the | 01 December 2010 | CFO | The supplier has been contacted telephonically and |

| Finding | Action plan | Implementation Date | Accountable Executive | Status |
|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | supplier from the supplier | | | a letter will be sent to him. |
| PERFORMANCE INFORMATION | | | | |
| *** 14. Performance indicators not well defined or verifiable | The municipality will endeavour to improve and ensure that the KPI's are well defined and verifiable | 01 December 2010 | IDP / PMS Manager | In progress |
| *** 15. Objectives not budgeted for | The IDP is linked to the budget and more referencing will occur to ensure that budgets are clearly seen | 01 December 2010 | IDP / PMS Manager and CFO | In progress |
| *** 16. Information disclosed in the performance report does not include the previous year's information | The previous year's information will be included in the performance report for the financial year | 01 December 2010 | IDP / PMS Manager | In progress |

*** Older than 1 year (2007/2008)

*** Older than 6 months but within 1 year (2008/2009)

*** Current reports- Less than 6 months (2009/2010)

√ Action plan has been implemented

3.5.3 FINANCIAL PLAN

The municipality has put together a financial plan, (Refer to **Section H**) in order to:

- To ensure a close planning and budgeting link;
- To inform municipal budgeting over tier;
- To facilitate inter-governmental alignment with regard to capital requirements and sources of funding;
- Assess financial management arrangement and financial strategy; and
- To outline revenue and expenditure forecast.

The financial plan is an integral component of the IDP and addresses:

- Establishing financial management systems and efficient, effective internal audit systems.
- Funding availability over a 3- 5year planning period
- The balancing of this limiting factor relating to income with objectives established in terms of the IDP.

3.5.4 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENTS

The following financial management arrangements in the municipality are in place:

ADMINISTRATION

Umtshezi Municipality has got a reasonable capable finance department although there are some challenges that need to be addressed, also has an internal audit function responsible for monitoring financial and other controls. In addition, council is committed to sound financial management and the maintenance of a sound economic base. Financial management policies and procedures for the entire municipality have been developed to address the cash forecasts and cash flow monitoring against forecasts, budgeting methods, management reporting, asset policy, investment policy, credit risk management and procurement policies.

• FINANCIAL PROCEDURES AND POLICIES

To-date some policies are properly documented and in place. These policies include Supply Chain management policy, Tariff policy, By-laws (including credit control), Debt collection strategy, Transport policy, Risk Management policy, Cash management, Banking and Investment Policy and the IT Disaster Recovery Plan.

COUNCIL REPRESENTATION

A portfolio councilor for finance serves on the executive committee and advises the mayor on matters in the executive committee agenda. The council is responsible for approving the IDP, the operating and capital budgets that are prepared on recommendations of the executive committee.

The council adopted financial strategy that encompasses the following fundamental issues, a financial resource mobilization (capital and operational), asset management, revenue base expansion, and operational cost effectiveness methods. All aspects of matters relating to the financial matters will take into account council's social responsibilities and these include council's indigent policy and recognizing that certain sections of the community do not have the ability to pay for services. A further aspect of social responsibility will focus on transformation and empowerment as called for in council's preferential procurement policy.

3.5.5 **INCOME/ FINANCIAL RESOURCES**

• RATES AND GENERAL SERVICES

The municipality has a reasonable good property valuation roll but with a challenge of general revaluation. With the introduction of new Municipal Property Rates Act of 2004, the municipality has an increasing potential income from the property rates. Also, the municipality needs to strengthen controls in the implementation of credit control and revenue collection by- laws. This should be closely coupled with good customer care and community education around area of billing of rates and services. This will improve the collection rate and ultimately the picture of revenue generation.

GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES

The Municipality derives most of its income from internal sources to fund its capital and operational budget. For the next three years (, 2011/2012, 12/13, 13/14) the total grants and subsidies will average at 19% of the total budget. This means that the municipality is mostly dependent on the internal revenue sources. This is a serious challenge that the municipality has taken into consideration, high levels of unemployment versus very high infrastructure backlogs in vast rural areas of the municipality. More serious attention should be given to the Statistics SA census processes as figures currently do not show the correct picture to inform the national government equitable share allocation.

| GRANT ALOCATIONS (R) | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | MEDIUM TERM ESTIMATES | | | | | |
| National Government Allocations | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | | | |
| Operating Grants | | | | | | |
| Local Government Financial Management Grant | 1.450.000 | 1.500.000 | 1.750.000 | | | |
| Municipal System Improvement Grants | 790.000 | 800.000 | 900.000 | | | |
| Equitable Share | 24.820.000 | 27.550.000 | 29.367.000 | | | |

| Equitable Share (Councillors Remunerations) | 1.023.000 | 1.080.000 | 1.143.000 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Capital Grants | | | |
| • | | | |
| Integrated National Electrification Programme Grant | 4.500.000 | - | 660.000 |
| Municipal Infrastructure Grants | 10.510.000 | 12.779.000 | 13.482.000 |
| Neighbourhood Deelopment Partnership Grant | 4.000.000 | 3.000.000 | 1.000.000 |
| Allocation in Kind | | | |
| Neighbourhood Development Pertnership Grant | 1.000.000 | 500.000 | 446.000 |
| TOTALO | 40.000.000 | 40.000.000 | 40.740.000 |
| TOTALS | 48.093.000 | 48.009.000 | 48.748.000 |
| PROVINCIAL GRANTS | | | |
| OPERATING GRANTS | | - | |
| Museum | 362.000 | 390.000 | 422.000 |
| Provincialisation of Libraries | 852.000 | 894.000 | 1.878.000 |
| Community Library Service Grants | 101.000 | 106.000 | 111.000 |
| CAPITAL GRANTS | | | |
| Community Residential Unity Programme | 20.000.000 | 20.000.000 | 20.000.000 |
| | | | |
| TOTALS | 21.315.000 | 21.390.000 | 22.411.000 |

3.5.6 EXPENDITURE

1. INTRODUCTION

Expenditure is closely monitored and controlled by the finance department. Expenditure is strictly linked to the approved budget and where it is necessarily urgent adjustments within the budget and also adjustments in between votes they are done after six months of the financial year. Departmental directors are held responsible and accountability for any over expenditure. The finance department is responsible for the analysis of monthly expenditure reports. The municipality has had slow spending on capital expenditure due to the cash flow problems. Only grants and subsidies funded capital projects were done, specifically electricity and housing projects. The 2011 / 2012 capital expenditure is as follows:

2. CAPITAL EXPENDITURE BY VOTE

| DESCRIPTION | 2011/012 Medium Term Revenue & Expenditure Framework | | |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Budget Year 2011/012 | Budget Year 2012/013 | Budget Year 2013/014 |
| Municipal Manager | 2 500 | 5000 | 10 000 |
| Corporate Services | 150 000 | 2250200 | 691000 |
| Council (Special Projects) | 90 000.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Finance | 50 000.00 | 57 100.00 | 0 |
| Civil Services | 7 679 000 | 11 179 000 | 13 482 000 |
| Social Services | 28 954 675 | 45 047 700 | 32 079 600 |
| Electrical Services | 10 426 000 | 65 07000 | 5 206 000 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE | 47 352 175.00 | 65 046 000.00 | 51 468 600.00 |

3. CAPITAL BUDGET FUNDED AS FOLLOWS:

| DESCRIPTION | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/2014 |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| INEP | 4.500.000 | 851.00000 | 0 |
| CRU | 20.000.000 | 20.000.000 | 20.000.000 |
| NDPG | 4.000.000 | 3.000.000 | 1.000.000 |
| DBSA | 4.500.000 | 3.000.000 | 3.000.000 |
| Council Funded | 3.844.675 | 25.421.000 | 13.99 |
| | | | 6.600 |
| | | | |
| MIG | 10.510.000 | 12.779.000 | 13.482.000 |
| TOTAL | 47 354 675 | 65 051 000 | 51 478 600 |

3.5.7 FINANCE POLICIES

The municipality embarked on a process of reviewing the financial environment with a view to determining which areas required enhancement to ensure overall financial stability. This has been done by reviewing financial policies on the following areas but not limited to:

- The IDP and Budgeting process;
- Financial procedures and manuals;
- Financial accounting and reporting;
- Debt Collection and Revenue Management
- Resource mobilization;
- · Management of grants and subsidies;
- Raising of other income; and
- Supply Chain Management and Asset Management
- Free basic Services

3.5.8. FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL INVESTMENT PLAN

Umtshezi municipality is composed of various rural areas where serious challenges of infrastructure backlog and a high rate of unemployment are evident. Also statistics show high figures of illiterate people in most of the rural areas of the municipality. As an integral component of the IDP the municipality has developed a five-year capital investment plan. This five year capital invest plan is aimed in addressing the challenges faced by the communities in the entire municipality as well as to ensure a close planning and budgeting link, inform municipal budgeting over tier and as a sphere, facilitate inter-governmental alignment with regard to capital requirements and sources of funding, assess financial management arrangements and financial strategy; and outline revenue and expenditure forecast.

SECTION D

HIGH LEVEL SPATIAL DEVELOMENT FRAMEWORK

SECTION D

SECTION D

SECTION D

CHAPTER FOUR:

SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

UMTSHEZI SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK:

LIST OF MAPS

- 1. Locality Map
- 2. Uthukela District Municipality
- 3. Umtshezi Local Municipality
- 4. Population Distribution
- 5. Household Density
- 6. Agricultural Potential
- 7. Facilities and Services
- 8. Rivers and Catchments
- 9. Sensitive Species and Eco-System
- 10. Slope
- 11. Land Cover
- 12. Land Reform
- 13. Estcourt
- 14. Wembezi
- 15. Weenen
- 16. Environmental Management Framework
- 17. Natural Environment
- 18. Development Corridors
- 19. Development Nodes
- 20. Land Reform Framework
- 21. Housing Delivery Framework
- 22. Economic Development Framework
- 23. Estcourt Development Framework
- 24. Wembezi Development Framework
- 25. Weenen Development Framework

- Land Use Management Framework (Draft SDF) Consolidated SDF 26. 27.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Asgi-SA: Accelerated Shared Growth initiative for South Africa

CBD: Central Business District

CBO: Community Based Organisations

CLaRA: Communal Land Right Act
DASC: Direct Access Service Centre
DFA: Development Facilitation Act

DLGTA: Department of Local Government and Traditional Affairs

DOT: Department of Transport

EIA: Environmental Impact Assessment EMP: Environmental Management Plan EMRS: Emergency Rescue Services

GEAR: Growth, Employment and Redistribution

IDP: Integrated Development Plan

ISRDS: Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Strategy

KZN: KwaZulu-Natal

LED: Local Economic Development

LFTEA: Less Formal Township Establishment Act

LRAD: Land Redistribution for Agricultural Development

LUMF: Land Use Management Framework LUMS: Land Use Management System

LUS: Land Use Scheme

MPRA" Municipal Property Rates Act

MSA: Municipal Systems Act

NDoH: National Department of Housing

NDPG: National Development Partnership Grant NEMA: National Environmental Management Act

NGO: Non-Governmental Organisation
NSDP: National Spatial Development Plan

PGDS: Provincial Growth and Development Strategy

PLRO: Provincial Land Reform Office
PLUS: Pro-active land acquisition Strategy

POS: Public Open Space

PPDC: Provincial Planning and Development Commission PSEDS: Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy

RDP: Reconstruction and Development Program

RSC: Regional Service Centre

SALA: Sub-division of Agricultural Land Act SANRAL: South African National Roads Agency SEA: Strategic Environmental Assessment SDF: Spatial Development Framework

SLAG: Settlement and Land Acquisition Grant

SMME: Small Micro Medium Enterprise

TPS: Town Planning Scheme

UDF: Urban Development Framework
WSDP: Water Services Development Plan
WURP: Wembezi Urban Renewal Program

INTRODUCTION

Umtshezi Municipality has developed, adopted and is continuously reviewing its Integrated Development Plan (IDP) as required in terms of the Municipal Systems Act (MSA), Act No. 32 of 2000. The IDP is developed within a framework of the national and provincial development imperatives. It commits the municipality to addressing the key development issues facing the area, and improving the standard of living for the all those who live and work within the Umtshezi Municipality area of jurisdiction. It is an organisational and institutional transformation tool, and an instrument for change management. It achieves this by painting a clear vision for the

future and articulating a strategy for its attainment. This includes both short and long term interventions.

The IDP comprises of many components or sector plans dealing with a range of development issues. One of these is a Spatial Development Framework (SDF). This is essentially a spatial representation of the development vision and strategy, and a guide for the spatial distribution of development interventions. As an integral part of the IDP, it deals with spatial transformation and identifies priority intervention areas. It assumes a five year horizon and is reviewed annually in response to changes in priorities, trends and pattern as well as new information. The spatial development framework presented in this document is thus a further refinement of the

municipality's IDP, and a partial fulfilment of the requirements of the MSA.

As indicated on Map 1 below, Umtshezi Municipality is one of five local Municipalities that makes-up uThukela District. Other municipalities being:

- o Imbabazane
- Indaka,
- Okhahlamba, and
- Emnambithi/ladysmith

It is located in the midlands area of KwaZulu-Natal approximately 200km west of Durban along the N3. Umtshezi Municipality is bordered on its south-eastern portion by the

- It is developed within the national, provincial and district policy framework and seeks to advance the objectives of these spatial development policies.
- It is aligned with the spatial development plans of the neighbouring local municipalities such as Mpofana, Imbabazane and Ladysmith/Emnambithi.

The municipal boundaries were delineated in terms of the Municipal Demarcation Act and the criteria set therein. This includes population movement trends, regional economic patterns and land use pattern. As such,


Mooi Mpofana Municipality, Msinga Municipality on its eastern portion, Indaka Municipality on its north-eastern portion, both by Emnambithi and Okhahlamba Municipalities on its north-western portion and Imbabazane Municipality on its south-western portion.

The SDF covers the whole municipal area (refer to Map 2), and provides detailed information on selected areas such as urban centres and settlements. It key features are as follows:

- It includes a spatial representation of all priority projects and a framework for Land Use Management System (LUMS).
- It is prepared in accordance with the guidelines for SDFs as suggested by the Department of Local Government and Traditional Affairs (DLGTA).

the boundaries are not just administrative, but are also intended to promote social and economic development. Other key characteristics of the area include the following:

- At a provincial scale, Mtshezi Municipality is located along the foothills of the Drakensburg Mountain. The N3 rums through the municipal area and almost divides it into two.
- The area also has a rich heritage and sites of historical significance. As such it fall within two tourism regions. Estcourt is the only major town in the area and provides service to areas beyond the municipal boundaries, particularly Ntabamhlophe and Loskop which forms part of Imbabazane Municipality.
- The other small town is Weenen which also has a catchment beyond the municipal boundaries including portions of Msinga Municipality.
- It forms part of Uthukela River catchment through Bushman's River and Umtshezi River and is dominated by commercial farmlands.



The municipality boasts well-established industrial, commercial and residential areas as well as rich agricultural farmlands.

uMtshezi occupies the ideal spot for any manufacturing industry. It is adjacent to the N3 national highway, and lies on the Johannesburg-Durban electrified main railway line, facilitating the transportation of goods to and from the town. uMtshezi has a highly efficient, reliable and cost effective electrical infrastructure, which supplies its large industries with all the power they need to run their plants. An abundance of water, from the Bushman's River, which flows into the nearby Wagendrift Dam, ensures that the industrialists are suitably served in terms of their water requirements.

APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

Project Definition

The primary aim of the project is to develop a detailed Spatial Development Framework for Mtshezi Municipality within the context of national and provincial spatial development imperatives. This is done with due cognisance of the spatial policies of Uthukela District and the neighbouring municipalities. Its specific objectives are as follows:

- To indicate the current spatial reality of the municipality and identify opportunities, constraints and threats.
- To determine growth directions and device strategies to direct development accordingly.
- To give local interpretation to the national and provincial spatial policies and position the municipality to plan a significant role in the realisation of the national spatial transformation agenda.
- To give spatial interpretation to the development strategies outlined in the IDP and indicate the spatial location of catalytic and strategic projects.
- To indicate intervention areas in terms of sustainable development, building sustainable human settlements and promoting economic development and growth.
- To provide a framework for the development of detailed Land Use Management System (LUMS).

Approach

The nature of the SDF, its significance as a tool for spatial transformation and local governance, and the spatial challenges facing Mtshezi Municipality requires that the preparation of the SDF for the municipality be undertaken from a strategic perspective with a particular focus on the identification of intervention areas that will have a catalytic effect.

Planning Principles


In line with the strategic approach, the following principles were adopted as overarching concerns that should be satisfied or met in order to produce a credible SDF:

- Stakeholder consultation: The final SDF should be developed on the basis of views, concerns and issues raised by a range of stakeholders in a structured public participation process.
- Integrated Development: The SDF should facilitate integrated development (horizontally and vertically).
- Sustainable development: The SDF should promote spatially, socially, economically, environmentally and institutionally sustainable development.
- Equity: The SDF should serve as the basis for an equitable access to land and other resources. It must address gender issues and provide for empowerment.
- Efficiency: The SDF should facilitate efficient allocation of resources and implementation of land development projects.

A Phased Approach

The preparation of the SDF involves the completion of a number of tasks leading to the achievement of sequential milestones. As such, these activities have been grouped according to milestones as reflected on Figure 1 below.

Figure 1: Methodology



At least three stakeholder workshops were held:

- The first workshop unfolded in a form of a presentation to the stakeholder forum and focused on the introduction of the project to the stakeholders and provided an opportunity for them to identify spatial development issues that require detailed investigation. Essentially, this was an environmental scanning exercise.
- A current situation analysis report was presented at the second workshop. Stakeholders were given an opportunity to input on the formulation of responses to the issues. This includes development broad parameters for a short to long-term spatial development vision, objectives and preliminary spatial strategies.
- The last workshop focused on the presentation of consolidated spatial strategies and obtaining further debate in this regard.

Focussed sessions and stakeholder interviews were undertaken with a range of organised interests including the following:

- Workshops with ward committees held in three different areas (Estcourt, Weenen and Wembezi) but covering the whole municipal area.
- Relevant government departments and development agencies.
- o Farmers associations, organised business, CBO's and NGO's.
- Alignment meetings with the District Municipality and the neighbouring municipalities, particularly Imbabazane and Mooi Mpofana.

POLICY CONTEXT

Since the introduction of the democratic dispensation in South Africa, the notion of spatial planning, given effect in the form of spatial development plans and spatial targeting, has gained momentum. This is the case in all spheres of government. At a national level, this focus first emerged within the context of the Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP) and was given statutory emphasis through the Development Facilitation Act (DFA). Spatial targeting was first built into the Integrated Sustainable Development Strategy (ISRDP) which identified 13 nodal areas. Today, it is one of the fundamental principles on which the National Spatial Development Plan (NSDP) is based.

At a provincial, the now outdated Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (PGDS) had a spatial component to it. More detailed spatial planning guidelines were incorporated into the Rural Development White Paper for kwaZulu-Natal which introduced the Rural Service Centre system (RSC), which is now widely used in the province as an approach to regional spatial planning. The same theme has been carried over to the emerging provincial development policy in the form of Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy (PSEDS).

Defining an SDF

Umtshezi SDF is formulated within the spatial planning policy context set by these national and provincial spatial development imperatives. In part, it deals with the spatial issues facing the municipal area, but also seeks to contribute to the attainment of the spatial development targets and objectives outlined in these policies.

Defining an SDF

The Spatial Development Framework is a principal spatial planning instrument which guides and informs all planning, land management, development and spatial decision-making in a municipality. It aims to create a spatial interpretation of the strategies and projects already contained within the IDP. The main purpose of the SDF is to **guide the form** and location of **future spatial development** within a Municipal area in order to address the imbalances of the past. Its attributes are as follows:

- As the SDF is a legislative requirement it has legal status and it supersedes all other spatial plans that guide development at local government level.
- The SDF will enable the municipality to manage its land resources effectively in a sustainable manner.
- Through the SDF, the municipality is able to develop and implement appropriate strategies and projects to address spatial problems.

In addition, the SDF will promote effective use of scarce land resources, speed up delivery of spatial bound projects and services, help attract additional external funds where it is needed, strengthen democracy and institutional transformation, promote intergovernmental coordination on spatial issues, and provide guidance to more detailed Land Use Management Systems.

Purpose of the SDF

Umtshezi SDF is neither a development nor a master plan, but a strategic guide for the spatial transformation of the area.

It provides a framework for the formulation of more detailed and area/site specific plans.

National Spatial Development Imperatives

National spatial development vision was first articulated, in principle, in the Reconstruction and Development Programme, which identified a need to create integrated and sustainable urban and rural spatial systems. This was essentially, about spatial transformation and resonated well with the transformation and reconstruction agenda of the new government. It was articulated in more detail in the Development facilitation Act, (Act No. 67 of 1995). This Act introduced a generic set of normative and procedural principles that should guide land planning and development in South Africa. These principles were amplified in the White Paper on Spatial Planning and Land Use Management introduced in 2001. The principles are as follows:

The White Paper states that the principles and norms collectively form a vision for land use and planning in the country. They constitute a single point of reference and an overarching coherent set of policy guides to direct and steer land development, planning and decision making in all spheres of government including other public agencies involved in land use so that the outcomes thereof are consistent with the national objectives.


Although not necessarily a spatial planning policy, the Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Strategy (ISRDS) added spatial targeting as a new dimension to the government vision for spatial planning. It identified 13 district municipalities as focus areas for rural development programmes. Although Umtshezi Municipality is not located within an ISRDS node, it should nevertheless observe and incorporate its principles in its spatial and development planning initiatives.

More recently, the national government has introduced the National Spatial Development Perspective (NSDP) as spatial expression of the general national development vision as outlined in Asgi-SA. The NSDP assists government in addressing three fundamental issues, namely:

- Strategic areas where government should direct its investment initiatives so as to achieve maximum and sustainable impact.
- Creating forms of spatial arrangements that are conducive to the achievement of the national objectives of democratic nation building and socio-economic inclusion; and
- Taking the government beyond the rhetoric of integration and coordination and start putting into place clear procedures and systems for achieving these ideals.

The NSDP read together with the Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Strategy (ISRDS) and the Urban Development Framework (UDF), clearly suggests that spatial planning should be based on a rigorous analysis of the space economy, focus on achieving sustainable developmental outcomes and be fully integrated into the local development programs.

Figure 2: NSDP Principles



Source: The Presidency As indicated on Figure 2 above, it introduces a set of additional normative principles and maintains, inter alia, that:

- Sustained economic growth is a pre-requisite for poverty alleviation;
- Government spending should focus on localities of economic growth or with potential for economic growth; and
- Future settlement economic development opportunities should be channelled into activity corridors and nodes that are adjacent to or link the main growth centres.

Although Umtshezi Municipality is located along the N3 which is a national trade route, it is not identified as one of the national priority development nodes. However, the NSDP principles are as relevant in Umtshezi as they are in the target areas.

The implementation of the national spatial development vision is supported by a number of sector policies and programmes such as the land reform program, urban renewal, housing policy, environmental management policies, etc.

Provincial Spatial Development Vision

The Provincial Growth The Provincial Growth and Development Strategy was developed in the late 1990s and has since been overtaken by a number of initiatives and events. This includes the introduction of the Accellerated Shared Growth Initiative for South South (Asgi-SA) as a national development macro-economic policy

and the NSDP. A process towards the introduction of a Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy as a Provincial translation of the NSDP suggests that there is emerging consensus that the new PGDS should rest on the following pillars:

- Increasing investment in the Province
- Skills and capacity building
- Broadening participation in the economy.
- Increasing competitiveness

The Provincial Spatial and Economic Development Strategy (PSEDS) developed as a component of the emerging PGDS identifies development corridors and nodes, and characterises these according to the dominant economic sectors. It identifies agriculture, industry, tourism and service sectors as the main drivers of the Provincial economy. It recognizes the strategic location and potential of Umtshezi Municipality, and acknowledges that the area has potential for tourism and agricultural development. It identifies Estcourt as a tertiary node (a centre which should provide service to the sub-regional economy), and two corridors linking Estcourt with other centres within the province:

- Existing corridor which is essentially the N3.
- Tourism corridor linking Estcourt with Uthungulu.

It concludes that it is imperative to ensure that these corridors and nodes are supported by an adequate and appropriate network of



services including transport, electricity, water, housing, health, education and community safety, amongst others (PSEDS, 2008:22). Given the agricultural focus of Umtshezi Municipality area, PSEDS calls for a developmental approach to the land reform program so as to prevent the loss of agricultural land and manage impact on the agricultural and tourism sectors. It also requires the municipality to consider the economic realities of the emerging farmers when developing systems and procedures for the implementation of the Municipal Property Rates Act (MPRA). It is therefore, important to clarify the role of Umtshezi Municipality generally and Estcourt in particular in the provincial space economy.

Figure 3: Provincial Nodes and Corridors


Uthukela District Spatial Development Vision

Uthukela District Municipality has developed a high level Spatial Development Framework as part of its IDP. The District SDF provides a spatial vision for the whole district and serves as a tool for coordinating spatial planning and development initiatives within the district. It has serious implications for Umtshezi Municipality.

Spatial Structure

The uThukela district is located on the western boundary of the KwaZulu-Natal province, and abuts onto the Kingdom of Lesotho and the Free State province. Neighbouring districts within KwaZulu-Natal are: DC25 (Amajuba) to the north, DC24 (Umzinyathi Municipality) to the east and DC22 (Umgungundlovu) to the south.

Figure 4: Uthukela Local Municipalities by Area



Source: DLGTA

As indicated on figure 4 below, Umtshezi Municipality accounts for at least 19% of the total district area (also refer to Map 3), and accommodates about 10% of the district population.


Spatial economy

In line with spatial targeting, the District SDF adopts a service centre approach and establishes a clear hierarchy of centres with Ladysmith being the primary centre or the hub. Ladysmith is the largest urban centre in the district and it has been growing in importance as the primary economic core. It is characterised by a well-developed and diversified urban economy, comprising most of the industrial activity in the district. As the administrative centre, it provides a range of higher-order services for the whole district.

Figure 5: UMDM District SDF

Ladysmith is an important transport hub, as it lies at the intersection of many secondary roads leading to the N3, the Drakensberg as well as destinations beyond the district itself. Most of the secondary and rural settlements in the district have strong functional links with Ladysmith and can, to some extent, be considered dormitory suburbs of the district's economic core. It leads the district with respect to the provision of services, having good roads, a water reticulation network, sewerage system, electricity, recreational facilities and other services.

Estcourt/Wembezi is the second largest urban area in the district. Its threshold extends beyond Umtshezi Municipal boundaries to include almost the whole of Imbabazane Municipality and portions of Okhahlamba Municipality. This document refers to this as the Greater Estcourt Functional Region.



Most notably, the economies of smaller towns have been weakening with the impact of macro-economic trends and transportation changes. The construction of the N3 that bypasses these towns has significantly reduced the amount of through-traffic. The development of direct access service centres (DASC) along the N3 has also reduced a need for motorists to get off the N3 to the urban centres such as Ladysmith and Estcourt.

In response to their declining economic base, some of the secondary urban settlements have begun to expand their roles in tourism. Local-scale, lower-order

o R33 which runs parallel to the N3 from north of Estcourt to Mooi River and beyond.

Municipality include the following:

o P29 from Estcourt through Wembezi to Ntabamhlophe in

Imbabazane and Giants Castle.

goods and services are supplied at smaller towns throughout the district. Towns along the tourism routes provide for some of the commercial needs of tourists.

An increasing number of rural households have begun to move towards urban centres in search of basic infrastructure, social services and economic opportunities, and as a result towns experiencing are urbanisation on their peripheries (eq. Mimosadale in Estcourt). Thus, smaller towns primarily function as rural service centres. However, the reduced economic base and increasing population pressure has begun to place severe strains on the financial resources of the towns.

These centres are linked together by a network of regional and district roads with the N3 and N11 (alternative route from the N3 to Gauteng Mpumalanga) being the main development corridors. Secondary corridors or major internal link routes with serious implications for Umtshezi

- P11 linking Bergville to the west through Winterton and Ladysmith to the north through Colenso.
- P13 from Estcourt to Weenen and eventually the north coast.

The majority of these also serve as tourist routes in addition to being regional link and access roads.

Other key district spatial features which influence spatial planning and development in Umtshezi Municipality include the following:

- The Drakensberg forms the south-western boundary of the district and is one of the most prominent and influential natural features. In fact, it one of the prime tourist destinations provincially and nationally. Umtshezi Municipality is located at the foothills of the Drakensburg.
- The numerous protected areas in the district are important natural features. KZN Wildlife is responsible for administering several nature reserves (including uKhahlamba-Drakensberg Park, Spioenkop Nature Reserve and Weenen Nature Reserve).
- Extensive commercial farming in the northern, central and south and south-western areas of the district. Crop farming, however, is restricted by low rainfall patterns primarily in the central areas of the district, but pockets of more intensive land use are found where irrigation can occur. Nearly 90% of Umtshezi Municipality is commercial agricultural land.
- While development patterns are often influenced by transportation routes, it is important to note that many of the rural settlements are somewhat removed from the major roads,

and improving accessibility and facilitating functional integration are thus important spatial challenges.


The settlement pattern and spatial planning in the district has been determined to a large extent by apartheid policies, which prohibited African households from undergoing natural processes of urbanisation. It resulted in the development of settlements in rural areas with few infrastructural services or community facilities and a very limited economic base, often at some distance from larger urban centres and employment opportunities.

IDP, SDF and LUMS

The current and emerging legislation governing the implementation of LUMS imply that it is possible to develop land use schemes directly from the Spatial Development Frameworks prepared as part of the IDP. However, this cannot be achieved unless the SDF is sufficiently detailed and provides an indication of the manner in which land uses are currently organised in space, and provides guidance in terms of the future location of different land uses.

Umtshezi Municipality SDF is structured so as to provide for a strategic link between the IDP and site specific land use management system. The following are major components of the SDF:

Figure 6: Linkage between the IDP, SDF and LUMS



- A refined Spatial Development Framework, which essentially is a spatial imprint of the development vision and strategies.
- Land Use Management Framework, which refers to a guide for the future location of different land uses and identification of areas that are experiencing development pressure and requires, detailed level of controls.
- Management overlays, which provides for the recognition of other factors in land use decision-making.
- Nodal frameworks

Implications for Umtshezi SDF

The strategic focus of these policies and development intents is motivated by a number of concerns, the precise mix of which is determined by particular social, economic and political contexts of different times. In the context of Umtshezi Municipality, these concerns include the following:

- Environmental concerns: uncontrolled development of land can have adverse effects on natural habitats, cultural landscapes and air and water quality.
- Health and safety concerns: uncontrolled development can lead to overcrowding and unsafe building construction. Certain land uses can also be detrimental to the health and safety of neighbours.
- Efficiency of infrastructure provision and traffic management: Where infrastructure is provided, generally at high financial cost, without taking into account likely and relevant land-use and settlement patterns the opportunity costs to society are very high.
- Aesthetic concerns: the control of land development enables government to prescribe certain design parameters for buildings and areas/space.
- Investment promotion: strategic planning is often seen as a prerequisite for attracting certain types of investment to targeted areas. These strategies are likely to be linked to local economic development initiatives.

These policies introduce a set of principles that are intended to influence the substantive outcomes of planning decisions, whether they relate to spatial development frameworks or decisions on land use change or development applications. The overall aim of these principles is to achieve planning outcomes that:

- restructure spatially inefficient settlements;
- o promote sustainable development and use of natural resources;
- channel resources to areas of greatest need and development potential, thereby redressing the inequitable historical treatment of marginalized areas;
- take into account the fiscal, institutional and administrative capacities of role players, the needs of communities and the environment; and
- stimulate economic development opportunities in rural and urban areas; and support an equitable protection of rights to and in land.

Although municipalities are responsible for spatial planning at a local level, the desired or ideal spatial and economic system can only be achieved if local government works in tandem with the relevant organs of state and civil society. This emphasises the importance of public participation and cooperative governance.

To this end, spatial planning in Umtshezi should address the local interests and be managed in accordance with the universal development principles. It should also:

- generate a wide range of economic development opportunities and necessary support systems;
- enable the members of the public to conduct their daily activities quickly, easily and cost effectively;

- provide a choice of living environments along a continuum from conditions of intense public environments to conditions of great privacy; and
- o promote equitable access to opportunities.

The need to address environmental issues as part of spatial planning has become critical. At a strategic level, this involves a detailed assessment of such issues and preparation of appropriate strategies for achieving environmentally friendly and sustainable development. As such, spatial planning in Umtshezi Municipality should be in line with the requirements of legislation such as the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) is critical in this regard.


SPATIAL ANALYSIS

Umtshezi Spatial Development Framework is a forward looking document that seeks to achieve spatial transformation while also unlocking development potential. It moves from the premise that a desired future spatial situation requires a detailed understanding of the internal and external spatial development influences.

Demographic Profile


Although the SDF has a clear spatial focus, it is critically important to locate it within the broader development profile of the municipality. Essentially, this refers to a broad overview of the demographic, social and economic trends, opportunities and challenges.

Figure 7: Population Distribution by Wards



Umtshezi Municipality population is estimated at 59 822 individuals or 13 965 households. This marks an increase of about 4.7% from the situation in 1996. The majority of the population is African constituting 83.7% of the total population. The minority racial groups constitute 16.28% of the total. Map 4 indicates population distribution per small area layer.

Figure 8: Population by Age



Population growth is expected to continue to grow albeit at a much slower rate compared to the last census decade. Areas such as Wembezi and Estcourt are likely to attract most of the population growth due to their strategic location.

The IDP notes the potential impact of HIV and AIDS on the population and development within the municipal area generally. It thus introduces strategies and programs to mainstream HIV and AIDS in all municipal development initiatives. The SDF deals with population dynamics by means of introducing a tool for monitoring development focus areas, relatively high population concentration areas and development pressure areas. It notes the impact of urbanisation processes and its implications for the allocation of space for development.

Development Profile

Socio-Economic Profile

A review of the socio-economic profile as presented in the IDP and the draft Housing Sector Plan indicates the following as key characteristics of Umtshezi population:

- The majority of the population can be considered functionally illiterate as 70.5% of the population has a primary education and 18.8% % have not been to school.
- Of the 13 961 households 3 777, representing 27 % of households have no income. This correlates with the high levels of unemployment that stands at 33%.
- Very few individuals have an income above R3 500 to enable them to provide their own shelter. Clearly the majority of the municipal population will depend on state support for shelter and other basic services. Statistics reveal that 27% of the households are surviving on less than R12 a day.
- Approximately 10% of the total working force in the municipality is skilled. Only 18.3% of the workforce is professional. Trade and craft constitute 10% of the workforce. Wholesale and retail trade employs 1 857 (4.9%) while electricity, gas and water supply employ 4.2% of the population. While agriculture is considered a key economic sector, statistics reveal that the sector employs 2.4% of the economically active population.

Using household income, employment profile and employment by sector, it is strikingly clear that Umtshezi Municipality has a relatively

high rate of unemployment, is dominated by low income households and the majority of those who are employed are involved in elementary sectors. Agriculture, which is essentially a dominant land use accounts for a small number of existing jobs. It follows that the majority of the unemployed are in areas with high population concentration

Access to Basic Services

Access to basic services is a reliable measure of the standard of living and level of poverty. Review of the IDP, WASDP and Housing Sector Plan reveals the following situation:

- Umtshezi Municipality enjoys a relatively good road network with the majority of roads being in a good condition. The situation is however, different in the settlement areas, portions of Wembezi and almost the whole of Weenen
- 8233 households use electricity for lighting. Only 4557 use candles for the same purpose.
- Weekly municipal refuse removal is provided in urban centres only. There is a need to develop a strategy for dealing with waste removal in rural areas.
- 2995 households do not have access to sanitation facilities whereas 86 are using bucket system. It is believed that the bucket system has been successfully eradicated.
- While almost all urban based households have access to water within RDP standards, provision of water to the rural communities remain a major challenge.

Generally, Umtshezi is well provided with basic services. However, the growth of peri-urban settlements outside Estcourt has led to an increase in the number of poorly serviced households. These settlements, particularly Emabhanoyini and Rensburg Drift are increasing at a fast rate.

Settlement Pattern

Population Density

As indicated on Map 5, population density is generally low with most of the urban centres and major rural settlements having between 80 and 285 households per km². However, pockets of relatively higher density settlements with households ranging between 285 and 614 households per km² have developed in the outskirts of Wembezi and Estcourt. Unless growth and spread of these settlements is controlled, they are likely to degenerate into slums.

Population Distribution

Although Umtshezi municipality is predominantly rural in character, the majority of the population resides in urban areas and per-urban settlements. Development intensity in terms of the number of households is acute in the urban areas of Estcourt and Wembezi. While each of these areas has experienced limited development over the last few years, expansive peri-urban settlements have developed in the outskirts of each of these areas. Some of these have been formalised as part of the housing delivery program of the municipality.

The majority of the housing projects have focused around Estcourt and Wembezi. Weenen has also attracted a fair

amount of population increase. As indicated on map...a large number of households is scattered throughout commercial farmlands. This includes labour tenants and farm dwellers.

Spatial Structure

Spatial structure of Umtshezi Municipality has been highly influenced by apartheid spatial planning policies, demarcation of municipal boundaries and reflects a highly fragmented pattern with the following features:

- The municipal boundaries were established using the criteria outlined in the Municipal Demarcation Act which includes economic functionality, settlement pattern, population movement pattern, etc. As such, Estcourt is the main economic hub and a primary service centre within the municipal area.
- Wembezi Township located about 25km outside of Estcourt has historical links with Estcourt having been developed as part of the economic system of Estcourt. Wembezi remains nothing more than another dormitory suburb of Estcourt.
- Weenen which developed as an agricultural town serving the surrounding farming community. Although this area enjoys good access and connectivity by road, it faces a number of challenges including urban decay, changes in the commercial agricultural sector and poor maintenance of infrastructure. The role of this town should be redefined in the context of the changes taking place around it.
- With the demise of the apartheid regime and repulsion of influx control legislation, a number of dense peri-urban settlements have developed outside Estcourt and Wembezi. These include

Mimosadale, Kwa C and Rensburg Drift. Population in these areas continue to swell establishing a need to contain and direct their development.

- Relatively dense rural settlements which previously served black spots supplying labour to the commercial farmlands.
 These settlements have grown as a result of forced removals, land redistribution program and
- A large number of households are spread unevenly in space through the commercial farmlands. These include farm dwellers and labour tenants.
- These areas are connected together by a relatively road network.

Typical of apartheid regional planning, movement from these settlements is towards Estcourt and Weenen which are both located within former white areas. This creates a sense of dependence, and subjects the poor to high transport costs and long trips. This has serious effects on the productivity of those who commute on daily basis between the urban centres and their rural residential areas. A hierarchy of centres has developed with Estcourt being the economic hub. Estcourt accounts for a major part of the municipal economy and has retained its sub-regional importance in the face of economic recession. The same cannot be said about Weenen which has declined in significance, and primarily function as a secondary centre.

Current Land Use

Current land use pattern has evolved in response to the settlement pattern, the natural environment and regional

access routes. The following broad land use categories are found in Umtshezi Municipality:

- Urban nodes are Estcourt, Wembezi and Weenen. Each of these plays a different role in the space economy. While Estcourt is the major commercial node, Wembezi is essentially a dormitory suburb. Weenen is a declining rural town.
- A sizeable portion of the municipal area comprises commercial agricultural areas. There are extensive and intensive farming activities throughout this area. They include crop production (primarily in irrigated areas), game farming, limited forestry and livestock farming.
- While there are no proclaimed Traditional Authority Areas within Umtshezi, there are several areas of dense rural settlement. They include settlements such as Frere, Chiveley, Cornfields and Thembalihle. The latter is settled by members of Mabaso traditional council.
- Conservation areas include nature reserves (namely the Weenen, Wagendrift and Moor Park Nature Reserves), game farms and heritage sites.
- A number of farms which were previously used for livestock farming have now been converted into game farms and are developed with tourism infrastructure.

Land Ownership and Use Control

Land Ownership Pattern

Land ownership in the municipal area can be categorized as follows:


- There are numerous parcels of state land located throughout the municipal area. They include the land parcels upon which various facilities have been constructed, for example, government and municipal offices, police stations, schools, clinics and utilities (such as water works and sewerage treatment works). Small portions of agricultural stateland are found near Ennersdale.
- The predominant form of land ownership in the municipal area is privately-owned land. However, this is likely to change as more land is increasing registered in the name of groups as a result of the land reform program. Huge tracks of land will fall under communal land as defined in the Communal land Rights Act (CLaRA).
- Major servitudes relating, inter alia, to uThukela Water's bulk water supply pipelines and Eskom powerlines.


It is noted that the municipality is to commence a "land audit" project in due course. The project will entail the establishment of a comprehensive GIS database (listing, *inter alia*, all registered erfs, their extent, ownership, current use and zoning), which will form the basis for a Valuation Roll covering the entire municipal area.


Land Use Control

Umtshezi Municipality has developed a wall-to-wall Land Use Management Systems (LUMS). However, only the Estcourt and Weenen Town Planning Schemes (TPS) are currently being implemented.

These TPSs were introduced in terms of the Natal Town Planning Ordinance, No. 27 of 1949. Last reviewed in 1996, the TPS comprises a TPS Map and a set of TPS Clauses. The existing TPS provides for a substantial number of zones and reservations and is accordingly a Complex Scheme. Development in Wembezi is administered in terms of KwaZulu-Land Affairs Act with the layout plan doubling as








Zoning system in Umtshezi is based on a clear separation of land uses and income groups. Industrial land is concentrated mainly to the west of the CBD and is used to separate the former Coloured and Indian areas located to the north of the CBD from the former white only areas located to the south of the CBD. This introduces a challenge to transform the area into a mixed land use economically generative environment.

The



The key challenge in Wembezi is to transform the area from being a dormitory suburb into a sustainable human settlement that provides for the social and economic development needs of the local people. It can also provide service to surrounding communities and tourist.

areas

Martines Tocal,
Market Tocal,
Market Spr Gottl
Tember

Legent

National Roads
→ Provincial Roads
→ Provincial Roads
→ Access Roads

rural

Umtshezi are currently managed in terms of various pieces of legislation, including the following:

- the Town Planning Ordinance No. 27 of 1949 (applicable to former Natal areas);
- o the Development Facilitation Act, No. 67 of 1995; and
- the Less Formal Township Establishment Act, No. 113 of 1991.

These laws are administered by the Department of Local Government and Traditional Affairs, KwaZulu-Natal Provincial Administration. Housing projects are formalised in terms of the DFA and LFTEA. The LUMS document introduces land use schemes for each of the areas albeit different levels of detail.

According to PPDC records, a total of 136 Scheme amendments have been initiated since the Scheme was originally adopted. As it is the only current, legally adopted Scheme it has been a key informant in the LUMS establishment process, particularly in respect of the Urban Scheme.

The municipality has recently completed the first phase of the Land Use Management System (LUMS) as an attempt to develop and introduce a uniform land use management system. However, it will need to be updated to take into account the recommendations of the SDF and availability of updated cadastral information. The latter is being generated as part of the development of systems and procedures for the implementation of the MPRA.

Economic

Tourism

It is difficult to obtain local statistics for tourism in the Umtshezi municipality. However, tourism is one of the sectors that have contributed to the economy of Umtshezi both directly and indirectly. There are surrounding tourism activities that have major influence on the tourism sector of Umtshezi. The main tourist destinations in the area include:

- The Midlands meander, which is an arts and crafts route around the Pietermaritzburg, Hilton and Howick and Mooi River.
- Drakensberg Resorts which is a National Heritage Site. The Drakensberg Mountain Range is a well-established holiday destination for local tourists.
- Thukela Biosphere Reserve and Weenen Nature Reserve.
 These areas focus on eco-tourism.
- Battlefields Route This route encompasses a number of towns in the region in which historic battles took place. Along the route, events are planned which include re-enactments of battles.
- Bushmans Experience— This route starts in Estcourt/ Wembezi and is designed to capture tourists visiting the above destinations, as well as to create a local Umtshezi tourist destination.

There is an existing Tourism Association in Umtshezi, which has a membership of 85% of the Bed and Breakfast establishment in the area (KZN Tourism Brochure).

Commerce and Industry

Estcourt is the only major commercial and industrial centre within Umtshezi Municipality. It is a hub of commercial activity developed with many chain retail stores as well as local businesses. It serves as a sub-regional commercial centre with a threshold that transcends the municipal boundaries to include areas such as Ntabamhlophe and Loskop located in Imbabazane Municipality. Although Estcourt has not experienced substantial investment in the commercial sector over the last few years, it offers huge opportunities for further commercial development and linkages of this sector to the tourism industry.

Existing industrial activities are highly involved in the processing of agricultural products, particularly dairy, meet production, maize milling and wood products. Again, Estcourt has potential to develop as a regional agr-processing zone with a district wide influence. It is strategically located in relation to provincial and national transportation routes.

Limited commercial activities occur in Weenen and in Wembezi. The bulk of the economic activities are in a form of general dealer stores, taverns and tuck shops, which cater for the needs of local residents. The significance of Weenen as a commercial centre has declined as a result of changes in the agricultural sector and growth of Tugela Ferry in Msinga.

Agricultural Potential

The agricultural potential of Umtshezi varies with the different rainfall, soil, climate and topographical condition in the area. The five distinct Bioresource identified by the Department of Agriculture and Environmental Affairs have a major impact on agricultural practices and potential. The area of Umtshezi is of

marginal value for crops, unless irrigated. The good water resources in the area create a high potential for irrigation. Agricultural potential within Umtshezi Municipality falls into six of the eight potential ratings namely: good, relatively good, moderate, restricted, low, poor, and very poor agricultural potential areas (refer to Map 6). These are described as follows:

- Good agricultural potential areas: Areas of agricultural potential within Umtshezi Municipality which are classified as good are limited of the six agricultural potential zones. These areas occur at the southern part of municipality. Good agricultural land occupies an estimated 2% of the municipality.
- Relatively good agricultural potential areas: Land of relatively good agricultural potential is quite extensive in municipality. Areas of relatively good agricultural potential occur along the western boundary of the municipality and also at the northern tip of the municipality. Relatively good agricultural land occupies an estimated 16% of the municipality.
- Moderately good agricultural potential: Land that is of moderate agricultural potential is located in the central parts of the municipality between the areas encompassed by southwest of Estcourt, east of Frere, northeast of Colenso and west of Weenen. A very small area of this land type also occurs along the western boundary of the municipality. Moderately good agricultural land occupies an estimated 25% of the municipality. Jane

- Low and poor agricultural potential: The rest of the area which covers an estimated 56% of the municipality is covered by land considered to be low to poor agricultural potential land. These areas occur mainly at the western half of the municipality.
- Agricultural Potential for Planning Purposes: The quantity and distribution of water resources in Umtshezi Municipality is very good although rainfall is erratic and soils are poor. It is estimated that close to 60% of land in the municipality has limited agricultural productivity. Thus in terms of economic land use, agriculture is not a suitable development option for economic development in the Municipality. Since majority of the people in this municipality depend on crop cultivation an area of focus for the municipality is to strengthen subsistence farming at the household level.

The best areas for irrigation are in the valleys of the Bushmans and Little Bushmans Rivers and the area of the Wagendrift Dam. Agricultural potential is greatest in the eastern sections along the Little Tugela River, declining to the west. Commercial farming is taking place in the eastern sections and non-commercial farming occurs in the vicinity of Weenen. The irrigated small holdings located almost around Weenen town accounts for the relatively good potential land in this area. High potential agricultural land is located to the south west of the area along Bushmans River.

Social Infrastructure

Equitable access to social facilities such as to schools, clinics and police stations is an important indicator of the quality of life. Although, the majority part of Umtshezi Municipality fell within the former Natal, the area has suffered from decades of neglect in terms of the delivery of social facilities. As such, the need to address this issue is identified in the IDP as one of the key challenges facing the municipality. Understanding the spatial distribution of these facilities and the identification of gaps is a critical aspect of the SDF (refer to Map 7).

Education

Spatial distribution of education facilities in relation to the population density reveals that some areas are not well provided with education facilities. This is based on the application of a standard of 1200 households per secondary school and 600 households per primary school. Areas that require urgent attention include Frere, Rensburg Drift, Cornfields and Thembalihle. In some instances, the settlement does not have sufficient threshold to warrant a school. This situation accounts for a high rate of school drop out and the associated functional illiteracy. This affects mainly the farm dweller households that are located in deep commercial farmlands.

Health

An analysis of the spatial distribution of health facilities reveals the same pattern as that of education facilities. Areas such as Wembezi, and Weenen each have one clinic yet they provide service to large areas with households in excess of the norm of 6000 households per clinic or a clinic within a 5km radius from each household. Thembalihle, Rensburg Drift and Frere do not have stationery clinics. The rate of population growth in these areas emphasises a need for these facilities in these areas.

Health facilities in Umtshezi are as follows:

- Estcourt Hospital which is a district hospital servicing areas beyond the municipal boundaries.
- 5 clinics located in Wembezi, Estcourt (2), Weenen and Cornfields.
- o Emergency rescue services (EMRS) found in Estcourt.

As with education facilities, an increase in density in some settlements increases service backlogs and forces people to walk travel long distances so as to access health facilities.


Police Stations

Umtshezi Municipality is generally well provided with police stations as each of the three major population concentration areas is developed with a police station. Information pertaining to the location of crime scenes could not be obtained. Therefore it is difficult to establish the spatial pattern of crime in the area. Although the IDP indicates that crime levels have generally declined, it emphasises the need to improve security and safety at a community level, and general access to such facilities.

Physical Infrastructure


Water

Households without water are largely concentrated around Weenen, particularly ward 5 and 7. A large peri-urban settlement (Momosadale) located to the south of Estcourt is also experiencing serious water backlog.




Sanitation

The geography of sanitation backlogs largely co-insides with the water backlogs suffice except that there seems to be more areas without sanitation compared to water. Again the majority of people without access to proper sanitation are found in wards 5 and 7. Cornfields and Mimosadale are also poorly developed with sanitation facilities.





Access to electricity, particularly outside of the urban centres remains one of the major development challenges facing Umtshezi Municipality. The majority of the per-urban settlements are also poor supplied with electricity. Information indicating electricity infrastructure could not be obtained as it is deemed strategic information by ESKOM.





Roads

The Municipality is strategically located in relation to national, provincial and regional routes. Major connector roads within the municipal area include the following:

- N3 which is a national corridor.
- P1-8 which runs parallel to the N3
- o P12 to Weenen and beyond.
- o P29 to Giant Castle.

There is a need to maximize on development opportunities along key routes within the municipality linking markets, places and people.

Environment

The natural environmental resources and endowments are the pivots around which development revolves. Human intervention plays a critical role in the sustainability or depletion of these resources. Thus the ability of the natural environment to supply raw materials for production on a sustainable basis depends on the management principles and the instruments available for the management of these resources. In the case of Umtshezi Municipality where natural resources play a significant role in the socio-economic lives of the inhabitants, knowledge of the available natural resources, and development of appropriate management measures for these resources, are key components to planning a Spatial Development Framework for the Municipality.

This portion of the SDF report addresses issues relating to the natural environment within the Umtshezi Municipality. It identifies available natural resources, sensitive environments that require particular management attention, and defines the limitations and potentials of the Municipality in terms of its environmental resources.

Catchments

Umtshezi Municipality is a major contributor to the provinces water supply and play a major role in the agricultural and industrial sectors of the economy in KZN. Three of the rivers in the province flow through the municipality area, namely (refer to Map 8):

- o Boesman River,
- Bloukrans River.
- Sikhehlenga River, and
- Uthukela River

The quality and quantity of water emerging from the municipality largely depends on land use and land management practices in the municipality. Since impacts on natural river systems at any given point is propagated over long distances beyond the point of impact, care needs to be taken to protect the rivers and wetlands in the municipality from undue pollution.

Thus in order to protect rivers, there is the need to investigate and establish the 1:100 year floodline for all major rivers in the municipality. It is also necessary to investigate and delineate suitable buffers for the wetlands in the municipality.

Areas of Important Biodiversity

The Umtshezi Municipality is rich in biodiversity. As can be seen from Map 9, the western and southern portions of the municipality are particularly high in species diversity and habitats. The eastern parts in particular and portions of the central areas of the municipality have intermediate sensitivity to species diversity. In the central parts and areas centrally placed towards the north, species diversity and communities tend to be irregular with some areas having intermediate sensitivity and others having low sensitivity.

South Africa has ratified the International Convention on Biological Diversity, which commits the country, including KwaZulu-Natal, to

develop and implement a strategy for the conservation, sustainable use and equitable sharing of the benefits of biodiversity. In the Province of KwaZulu Natal, Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife is the authority responsible for planning and management of biodiversity conservation. As a means of complying with the requirements of the Convention on Biological Diversity, KZN Wildlife has developed management tools to manage the biodiversity resources in the province.

One of the tools of the conservation planning analysis (C-Plan) is an irreplaceability map of the province of KwaZulu-Natal. This map is divided into 2 by 2 km grid cells called 'planning units'. Each cell has associated with it an 'Irreplaceability Value' which is one reflection of the cells importance with respect to the conservation of biodiversity. Irreplaceability reflects the planning units ability to meet set 'targets' for selected biodiversity 'features'. The irreplaceability value is scaled between 0 and 1.

- Irreplaceability value 0. Where a planning unit has an irreplaceability value of 0, all biodiversity features recorded here are conserved to the target amount, and there is <u>unlikely</u> to be a biodiversity concern with the development of the site.
- Irreplaceability value 1. These planning units are referred to
 as totally irreplaceable and the conservation of the features
 within them are critical to meet conservation targets.
 (Developments in these areas <u>definitely</u> require EIA for which,
 and depending on the nature of the proposal, an authorisation is
 unlikely to be granted).
- Irreplaceability value > 0 but < 1. Some of these planning units are required to meet biodiversity conservation targets. If

the value is high (e.g. 0.9) then most units are required (few options available for alternative choices). If the value is low, then many options are available for meeting the biodiversity targets. (EIA required and depending on the nature of the proposed development, permission could be granted).

The C-Plan is used to develop a minimum sets of biodiversity required (Minset) to meet biodiversity conservation targets. Minset output map shows areas that are already protected, 'Mandatory Reserves' and 'Negotiable Reserves'. Mandatory reserves are those areas that appear as totally irreplaceable on the irreplaceability map, since there are no other alternatives for achieving the conservation targets. Areas identified as negotiated reserves are the areas that the Minset function returns as the most efficient for achieving targets and constraints. However there are alternatives to achieving the targets and constraints but with less efficiency, and hence the designation of this area is still negotiable.

Majority of the municipality is accorded very low irriplaceability values. Areas considered as initially excluded (not required in Map 9) correspond with those areas of low irriplaceability values. This implies that development planning in these areas is not likely to infringe significantly on biodiversity conservation issues. However, care needs to be taken in planning infrastructure in particular and developments that are likely to take up large extends of land in areas that are labelled as negotiated reserves especially in areas around Weenen and north east of Colenso (Map 9). Development in such areas should involve low key developments and planning processes should involve KZN Wildlife at the conception stage of the planning process.

Areas labelled as Mandatory Reserve located at the southern tip of the municipality is considered as important for biodiversity conservation. These areas would definitely be expected to be conserved by KZN Wildlife. It is recommended that areas labelled as Mandatory Reserved by included as part of passive open spaces in the municipality. There is a centrally located protected area west of Weenen town. This area is a legally protected area which is not available for development or any land use changes except by consent from the relevant authorities.

It is recommended that protected areas and mandatory reserves should be included in passive open systems. Negotiated reserves should be zoned as limited development areas where all developments involving new open spaces should be undertaken under the guidance of an EIA. A broad environmental assessment report is attached herewith as Annexure A.

Slope/Topography

Umtshezi Municipality is characterised by generally three topographical regions (refer to Map 10). Areas above the escarpment located mainly to the west of Estcourt town, are generally flat and suitable for crop production and extensive grazing. Areas with undulating terrain are located mainly along the southern and northern boundaries. They are dominated by thorn bush and characterised by erodible soils. Areas such as Weenen valley are located within low lying regions.

Land Cover

Vegetation in the Umtshezi Municipality is generally characterized by three main vegetation types (refer to Map 11). These main vegetation types are the Thukela Thornveld, the Thukela Valley Bushveld, and Dry Highland Sourveld.

According to the vegetation analysis data of KZN Wildlife, the Thukela Thornveld and Thukela Valley Bushveld are both endemic to KZN. This implies that these vegetation types are found only in the KwaZulu Natal Province. Furthermore, the vegetation types are either threatened or vulnerable.

The implication of this vegetation character of the Umtshezi Municipality implies that, developments in the entire municipality need to be carefully planned so that vegetation removal or destruction is kept to a bare minimum.

Conservation

Weenen Nature Reserve

The nature reserve offers a number of attractions including the following:

- Game viewing
- Hide and waterhole
- Vulture feedings site
- Self guided trails
- Picnic sites

The main attraction of the Weenen Nature Reserve is its game. There is 30 km of roads in the reserve which is open to tourism and enables visitors to view a wide variety of games and veld types. The nature reserve is home to a variety of animals, including black and white rhino, which had to be reintroduced to the reserve. Over 250 species of birds have recovered in the reserve. Various types of accommodation facilities are available in the reserve. These include campsites, cottages (chalets) and caravan parks.

New Formosa Nature Reserve

This small nature reserve is located to the west of the R102 as it leaves Estcourt to the South. Facilities at this reserve are limited, although the reserve has a number of nature trails.

Wagendrift Nature Reserve

This nature reserve is located between Wembezi and Estcourt. It includes the Wagendrift Dam and covers an area of approximately 728ha. Although it is developed with arrange of tourist facilities such as boating, fishing and limited overnight accommodation, it presents huge opportunities for leisure and eco-tourism related developments.

The Thukela Biosphere

The Thukela Biosphere was initiated as a community organization that had the aim of improving the quality of life for the people of the Weenen area, is the oldest biosphere reserve in South Africa. It sought to achieve its objectives by means of a joint management of the land in a sustainable manner. The biosphere has slowly been developing a model for the sustainable use of resources that bring benefits to the private sector and local communities alike. The affected area is divided into three zones as follows:

- The Conservation Zone which limits land use to conservation uses only. This zone includes the 5000 hectare Weenen Nature reserve which forms the core area of the biosphere along with other privately owned land.
- The buffer zone consists of about 58000 hectares of privately owned valley bushveld, and as the name suggest forms a buffer between the core areas and the transition zone. Land in the

- buffer zone is used for activities such as game farming, the use of indigenous animals and plants and eco-tourism.
- The transition zones include all areas of settlement and agricultural activity. This zone is characterized by social and engineering infrastructure, human settlement and activities


However, the idea of a biosphere seems to have been overtaken be events including the implementation of the land reform program and the proposed Ngongola Game Reserve. Nevertheless, it remains a plausible option for sustainable natural resource management and development.

Land Reform

The implementation of the land reform program in Mtshezi Municipality has progressed very slowly. The area is characterised by a relatively large number of complex and overlapping labour tenant claims and land restitution claims. As indicated on figure 9 below, the land redistribution program has delivered just more than 21000ha while the land restitution is hovering at about 114934ha. Labour tenant program has delivered about 7000ha. Map 12 depicts the spatial implications of the land reform program in Umtshezi Municipality.

Despite a slow progress, the program has generated a large number of projects. This could be ascribed to the historical land dispossession that took place in the area during the apartheid period; the labour tenancy practise and land need as experienced by various previously disadvantaged communities in the area. It is important for the municipality to monitor this program given its potentially serious implications for the implementation of the Municipal Property Rates Act (MPRA).

Figure 9: Land Reform



The majority of the land reform projects are located to the north of Estcourt and involves land ranging from low moderate to very low agricultural potential.

Land Redistribution

Land redistribution was first introduced in uMtshezi Municipality in the early 1990s as part of the Land Reform Pilot Program. The early approach to this program was based on Settlement and Land Acquisition Grant (SLAG) and gave rise to projects involving a large number of households and relatively small areas (eg Cornfields with 442 households on 4339ha). Most of these are located on land with marginal production potential and are currently dysfunctional as production units. In fact, they are mainly settlement projects. The introduction of Land Redistribution for Agricultural Development (LRAD) in the early 2000 and recent restructuring of the funding criteria creates opportunities for

sustainable settlement of emerging farmers and acquisition of land with high production potential. Land redistribution program in uMtshezi Municipality has generated a negligible number of LRAD projects. Instead, the grant has been used to settle labour tenant claims although they are not agricultural development projects. This is a common practice throughout the province.

At least one project of about 1215ha is being implemented in terms of the Pro-active Land Acquisition Strategy (PLAS).

Labour Tenants

The settlement of labour tenant applications is one of the priority programs of the Provincial Land Reform Office (PLRO). A number of labour tenant applications spread unevenly in space were lodged with the Department of Land Affairs and are currently being assessed and finalised. About 261 households have benefitted from this program and have acquired ownership of approximately 7103ha. This translates to an average of 27.2ha per household. The majority of these are located on land with a relatively low production and grazing potential and have not led to any meaningful improvement to the lives of the beneficiaries.

The outcome of this process has serious spatial implications including emergence of small isolated settlements in the middle of commercial agricultural land. In fact, all labour tenant projects within uMtshezi are categorised as settlements with subsistence farming (PLRO Database) despite being funded through LRAD grant. Off-farm settlement and a cluster approach are recommended for the applications where the applicants do not intend to engage in productive agriculture. This will create an opportunity for the management of the undesirable spatial impact of this program.

Land Restitution

According to the information contained in the Umtshezi Housing Sector Plan and data provided by the KwaZulu-Natal Regional Land Claims Commission, it indicates that the largest percentage of both commercial and conservation land is under restitution claim. This affects both Weneen Game Reserve and private game reserves that serves as a source of employment for local people. The number of outstanding land restitution claims within the Umtshezi as at May 2008 is 38, which comprises of eighteen claims from Estcourt and twenty from Weenen. The total number of claims that are settled and lodged for land and development within the Umtshezi area is fifteen, with estimated land cover of 6 0404 ha involving 3 037 households. There are twenty six redistribution projects within Umtshezi municipality. All of these projects have been transferred. The net effect of this is that there is potentially about 10000 households that are likely to settle on previously commercial land. This excludes the farm dwellers and labour tenants as well as outstanding land restitution claims.

Implications for the SDF

The spatial development framework guides and informs all decisions of the municipality relating to the use, development and planning of land. It must guide and inform the following:

- directions of growth;
- major movement routes;
- special development areas for targeted management to redress past imbalances;

- conservation of both the built and natural environment;
- areas in which particular types of land use should be encouraged and others discouraged; and
- areas in which the intensity of land development could be either increased or reduced.

The spatial development framework should not attempt to be comprehensive. It must have sufficient clarity to guide decision-makers in respect of development applications. It should describe the existing and desired future spatial patterns that provide for integrated, efficient and sustainable settlements. In this regard, the spatial development framework should only be a strategic, indicative and flexible forward planning tool to guide planning and decisions on land development. In a rural context it will be necessary also to deal specifically with natural resource management issues, land rights and tenure arrangements, land capability, subdivision and consolidation of farms and the protection of prime agricultural land.

NODES AND SETTLEMENTS

A number of nodes and settlements have emerged in uMtshezi in response to the successive economic and political regimes. The nodes include Estcourt which is the main economic hub, Wembezi which was established as a township for the resettlement of black African people and Weenen which is essentially an agricultural town. Relatively large settlements have developed either as a result of the land reform program or land invasion.

Estcourt

Location and Access

Estcourt is located along the N3 national route linking Durban and Johannesburg. It is approximately 80km from Pietermaritzburg and about 40km from Ladysmith. It is highly accessible both local and regional level, and is strategically located to serve as a launch-pad into the battlefields route, the Drakensburg and the Midlands meander.

Role and Function

The role of Estcourt has changed over a period of time reflecting changes in the regional development trends. The town developed as one of the major settlements along a transport wagon route between Durban and Inland areas and soon grew into a complex urban system with a relatively large catchment and providing a range of functions to its rural hinterland. These include the following:

- Transport interchange
- o Main sub-regional economic hub.

- Industrial area for the processing of raw materials produced in the region.
- Tourism town.
- Service centre.
- Settlement or residential area.

Structure

The town has developed in line with a typology common in most towns and cities in South Africa wherein the structure relates to a central core in the central business district (CBD) with several major access and/or exit routes radiating outwards. In Estcourt, these occur in the form of the east-west and north south axis linking different parts of the town through the city centre. Linkage back to the N3 is significant for regional and provincial integration as the N3 is identified in the NSDP and the PSEDS as a development corridor of national and provincial significance.

Estcourt is a typical apartheid town characterised by the following spatial patterns (refer to Map 13):

Low density sprawl which is fuelled, among other, values of suburbia which promotes large plots as an image of good urban living. It occurs in the form of low density residential suburbs designed in terms of garden city concepts, and entrenched into the Town Planning Scheme through inflexible density controls and scheme clauses such as coverage, minimum site sizes and permissible uses. Most residential areas within the town are characterised by low density zoning. The LUMS document noted that there is no intermediate residential area (medium density housing) category in the present TPS and this should be addressed as part of the spatial restructuring of the town.

- Historical separation of land uses, urban elements, races and income groups. A large number of the poor are living on the urban periphery further away from the opportunities and places of work. The current zoning system entrenches this trend.
- Spatial fragmentation with land use pattern resembling a series of relatively homogenous blobs of different uses tied together by high speed transport routes. The separation of places of work and residence is deeply entrenched in the philosophy of urban management.
- Dual character of the CBD with one part being well developed and well maintained while down town is characterised by urban decay, grime and deteriorating quality of infrastructure.
- o Fragmented open space system.

The combined consequences of these spatial patterns have been phenomenal leaving the town with entrenched inequality and functional inefficiency. It created a distorted, fragmented, incoherent and inefficient functional structure. It also gave rise to spatial, social ad economic exclusion of certain race groups to the benefit of others, and created a poorly functioning land and housing market.

The existing town planning scheme was developed sometime back and is now outdated. The LUMS process recommended a complete overhaul of the scheme and an introduction of Land Use Scheme that could be applied across the municipal area.

Land Use Pattern

Estcourt is a mixed land use development comprising of a range of land uses which could be classified as follows:

- Residential use which includes housing products ranging from low cost housing through to medium density and up-market housing.
- Industrial land located mainly along P10-1 just outside the central area.
- Central area which comprises of a range of economic and business uses.
- o A range of social facilities including a cemetery, schools, etc.
- Utilities such as sewerage and water works, dumpsite, etc.
- Public open space (POS) located mainly along the river.

Spatial Development Challenges

Spatial development challenges facing Estcourt town could be summarised as follows:

- Spatial integration intended to address spatial fragmentation which separated the town into up-town and down-town.
- Land use integration as a means to address the course grainland use pattern.
- Urban renewal programme which may include infrastructure upgrade, redevelopment and refurbishment of buildings.
- CBD expansion including gateway developments at strategic points.

 Strengthening spatial linkages with the neighbouring settlements such as Wembezi.

Wembezi

Location

Wembezi Township is located approximately 25km south western of Estcourt along the boundary of Umtshezi Municipality with Imbabazane Municipality. It is accessed off P29 linking Estcourt Town and Giants Castle in Ukhahlamba-Drakensberg Park.

Role and Function

Wembezi Township was developed on Ingonyama Trust land (former KwaZulu Government area) to accommodate black people working in Estcourt and forcefully removed from Kwezi Township which was located just outside Estcourt. It is generally well provided with social infrastruture (although most of it requires maintenance annowand upgrading), and has the basics to become a sustainable human settlement. With the exception of local convenient shops, the area is poorly developed with commercial facilities, thus forcing local residents to undertake most of their shopping activities in Estcourt. Nevertheless, there are huge opportunities for commercial development given the location of Wembezi in relation to expansive rural settlements that form part of Imbabazane Municipality.

Structure

Wembezi can broadly be divided into four development areas or

precincts, namely (refer to Map 14):

- The original township area characterised by the four roomed dwelling units developed under apartheid past. Until recently, units were held under a Deed of Grant, but these have since been upgraded to full ownership. This area was badly affected by political violence that engulfed the province in the late 1980s. Most of the community facilities are located in this development area. The latter includes an area that was reserved for a town centre.
- Middle income housing area located across the road from the original township. The uptake of the recently sold 35 units being developed as an extension to this area suggests a need for similar housing products in the area. There is scope for further expansion.
- Section C which has recently been upgraded in terms of the low housing program of the Department of Housing. It is dominated by gravel roads which require maintenance and upgrading.
- Rural settlement located to the west of Section C. A large portion of this area is situated in a wetland.

The sewerage works is situated between two of the settlement areas.

Land Use

An analysis of the land use pattern in Wembezi reveals that Wembezi is a simple residential area and a typical township. Land uses in the area include the following:

- Residential use dominated by typical township houses and a small component of middle income housing.
- Social facilities such as sports fields, schools, churches, community hall and a clinic all located in Section A (original township).
- Sewerage and water works.
- Cemetery which has reached its capacity and requires upgrading into a decent burial site.
- o Informal settlement occurring as an extension to the township.
- Rural settlement located to the west of the township.

Land use pattern is generally course grained and is characterised by land use separation, cellular development and mono-functional use typical of apartheid spatial planning.

Spatial Challenges

The key spatial development challenge in Wembezi is to provide land for additional housing, and economic development initiatives. The second issue relates to a need to facilitate spatial integration between Wembezi and Estcourt, and introduction of a land use scheme. Finally, the management of rural/uban interface, particularly uncontrolled expansion of settlements and loss of agricultural land.

Weenen

Locality

Weenen is located along R74 approximately 45km north-east of Estcourt and 35km to Greytown. The town straddles the Bushman's River and is surrounded by commercial farmlands, the majority of which is subject to land restitution. Its threshold includes portions of rural settlements in Msinga.

Role and Function

Weenen performs a range of functions. It is a residential area to those who live in and around the town, a service centre for those who access a variety of services in the area, and business area to those whose business operations are based in town. Its administrative functions are now limited to a few government departments that still have offices in the area.

Structure

The area of Weenen could be divided into four main development areas as follows (refer to Map 15):

- The village which is the main urban area. It is developed with a range of limited commercial facilities and has a relatively large threshold which includes the communities located around the town. There are approximately 765 residential properties in Weenen of which about 75 are vacant. The average site size is 900m².
- KwaNobamba which was established in the 1960s as a temporary emergency camp for people evicted from the neighbouring farms. Initially, it has about 591 residential sites, but has since been increased to about 1000 as a result of the

recently competed low cost housing project. Kwanobamba is located about 10km from Weenen and is poorly integrated into the Weenen space and land use system. The majority of sites in this area are about 200m² in size.

- Weenen town in surrounded by about 200 agricultural properties which is indicative of the importance of agriculture in the local economy. Although some of these sub-divisions are vacant, underutilised and poorly developed, they consist of land with a relatively high agricultural potential. The remainder of residential land consists of large sites located around the commercial area.
- A small mixed land use node is located to the south the town centre. Notable land uses in the area includes service station, town hall and a market area.
- Expansive agricultural land with limited potential and located almost around the town. The area forms part of the townlands and establishes Weenen as a town with the most townlands in KwaZulu-Natal. The area is used mainly for extensive farming and grazing purposes.

Land Use Pattern

Existing land uses include the following:

- Residential uses located in Weenen and KwaNobamba.
- About 195 sites zoned for agricultural land. Although some of the sites are vacant and underutilised, the area has huge potential for extensive and irrigated crop production. The majority of these are small holdings of about 5ha to 20ha.

- About 12 sites which are zoned for industry. Take up of industrial land in Weenen is very slow. The town has potential to develop capacity for packaging and processing of agricultural produce.
- Commercial land concentrated mainly along Retief Street in the town centre. Over the last few years, commercial use in the town has declined tremendously. The role of the town as a commercial centre has declined over the last few decades in response to changes in the agricultural sector and growth of Tugela Ferry as a commercial and rural service centre.
- Community facilities such as cemeteries, clinic, education, government offices, etc.

Key Spatial Challenges

The key spatial development challenges facing Weenen include the following:

- Need to contain commercial development to a single area. Existing commercial development not compatible with adjoining uses could be accepted as existing non-compatible uses that may not be expanded.
- The role of Weenen town in the sub-regional space economy should be redefined to cater for changes that emerge as a result of the land reform program and changes in agricultural land uses.
- Infrastructure within the town requires substantial upgrading and renewal.

 Need to provide support to the small holdings around the town and redevelop these are intensive agricultural production units.
 This may require upgrading of the irrigation infrastructure.

Settlements

Cornfields and Thembalible

The communities of Cornfields Thembalihle are one of the very first communities to acquire land in Kwazulu Natal in terms of the land redistribution program. They acquired 11 farms totalling to about 8531ha. Although both areas were planned as part of the designation process, settlement and general land use seem to have deviated from the approved plans. The latter made provision for the following:

- Settlement or residential development.
- Grazing land.
- Crop production areas.
- Sites for social facilities.

Although these areas were each developed for a specified number of households, they have grown beyond the original numbers and threaten to develop into expansive isolated rural settlement. Both areas have a history of being black spots hence location in the middle of agricultural land. Both areas should be considered for development into sustainable human settlements as provided for in the housing policy and the Sustainable Communities Program implemented by the Development Bank of Southern Africa (DBSA).

Frere and Cheverly

Frere and Chieverlery are both small isolated settlements located on privately owned land. Over the last few years, the number of households in both areas has increased enormously, and this trend is poised to continue. These settlements are situated along the main regional access routes, which establish them as ideal centre points for the development of clusters for the benefit of the land reform beneficiaries. Land adjacent to Chieverly is registered in the name of Sibuyile Matiwane Community land Trust and was acquired in terms of the land reform program. Spatial development challenges in these areas include the following:

- Consolidation of the area into a sustainable human rural settlement.
- Integration into the surrounding commercial farmlands through the promotion of sustainable agricultural practices.
- Integration with the surrounding land reform projects to form a cluster for sustainable land reform implementation.

Mimosa and Emabhalonini

Mimosa and Emabhalonini are both located to the east of Estcourt Town and could be described as peri-urban settlements. Hey are located on municipal land. Mimosa has benefitted from the housing program. As such, it has been planned, laid out properly and provided with rudimentary services. Its development has led the growth of Emabhaloni as an expansive informal peri-urban settlement. Spatial development challenges in these areas could be summarised as follows:

- Upgrading of Emabhaloni in terms of the sustainable human settlements of the Department of Housing.
- Managing further growth of the settlements outwards as this gives rise to the undesirable low density urban sprawl.
- Introduction of development and land use management system in the area.

Location of a dumpsite fairly close to Emabhalonini poses serious environmental and social problems. The municipality must consider relocation of the dumpsite away from the area.

Rensburg Drift

Rensburg Drift is located on privately owned land along the road to Weenen, approximately 7km outside of Estcourt. Like Emabhalonini, the settlement is expanding at a fast rate. Recently, there was an attempt to invade land which was acquired by some households in terms of the land reform program. The area is poses similar spatial planning challenges as Emabhalonini, and its further outward growth should also be managed.

Land Reform Settlements

A number of small isolated settlements have been and continues to be established as the land reform program unfolds in the area. While these were intended to for the few beneficiary households, most of them are fast developing into large settlements without the benefit of proper development planning. As such, they are poorly located and can hardly be provided with all the necessary services and facilities.

Implications for the SDF

Broad assessment of the existing nodes and settlements reveals a number of spatial planning challenges that needs to be addressed as part of the SDF, that is:

- Low density urban sprawl which occurs mainly in the form of low cost "informal" settlements.
- Increase in the number of small isolated settlements located in the middle of commercial farms lands.
- Need to undertake land reform in a manner that protects agricultural land and improves access to such land by the previously marginalised and small emerging farmers. Land reform program provides for the acquisition of alternative land or off-farm settlement where land need is not in tune with the capacity of the land in question.
- Spatial fragmentation leading to the separation of places of work and residence.
- Consolidation of large rural settlements into sustainable human settlements.

KEY ISSUES AND INFLUENCES

Future spatial transformation of Umtshezi Municipality will be shaped by a number of factors and influences. Although these are a function of different sectors including social, economic, physical and environmental, they can broadly be categorised as follows:

- Spatial development opportunities.
- Spatial Development Constraints
- Spatial patterns and trends

Development opportunities

Umtshezi Municipality presents huge development opportunities:

- The N3 which is a national and provincial development corridor runs through the area almost dividing it into two sections. The challenge is to re-enforce the functional link between Estcourt Town and the N3.
- Vast tracks of land that is suitable for game farming and general livestock farming. The majority of this is located north of the N3 particularly in areas towards Weenen, and is subject of land restitution and labour tenant claims.
- High potential agricultural land suitable and developed with infrastructure for intensive irrigated crop production.
- Environmentally sensitive area with endemic species and presenting an opportunity for the development of leisure and ecotourism activities.

- Location along the foothills of Ukhahlamba Drakensburg Park which is a world heritage site and prime tourist destinations.
- Availability of strategically located commercial and industrial land both in Estcourt and Weenen.

Development Constraints

Development opportunities that characterises Umtshezi Municipality should not be over-estimated. The area is also subject to severe limitations:

- The majority of the area located north of the N3 is characterised by poor soil conditions and low mean precipitation rate.
- A large number of properties acquired by the previously marginalised through the land reform program are lying idle or grossly underutilised. This has potential to undermine the viability of the agricultural sector and the economy of the area as whole.
- Fragmented spatial structure with settlements located far apart from each other and away from economic/employment opportunity areas.
- Environmentally sensitive areas with endemic species, which limits the nature and extent of development.
- Inadequate capacity of the bulk services such as electricity, water and sewer systems.
- Rugged terrain in the bush thicket areas.

 Distance from Ukhahlamba-Drakensberg Park and location fairly close to the well marketed Midland meander and the Battlefields Route.

Development Trends

- Land reform
- o Low income settlements in peripheral parts of the nodal areas.
- o Change from livestock farming to game farming.
- Economic stagnation
- o Increasing importance of the second economy/informal sector
- Urban decay.

CONCEPT PLAN

Umtshezi Municipality SDF is a strategic document that gives a spatial translation to the development vision and program as outlined in the IDP. It will guide and inform land development and management, and as such it:


- gives effect to principles contained in the Development Facilitation Act, Act No. 67 of 1995, NEMA and other relevant policies;
- sets out spatial objectives and provides spatial strategies that indicate desired patterns of land use, address spatial transformation, and provide decision making processes relating to the nature and location of development;
- provide basic guidelines for a Land Use Management System and development of a spatial Capital Investment Framework,
- align with neighbouring SDFs and the UMDM SDF; and
- o provide visual representation of desired spatial form.


Municipal Development vision

Umtshezi Municipality development vision was developed as part of the Integrated Development Planning process in line with the requirements of the Municipal Systems Act. The vision commits the municipality to sustainable development and the creation of a safe environment. This is interpreted to include social, economic, physical, financial and institutional sustainability, and is in line with the Agenda 21 understanding of sustainability – commitment to future generations.

"TRANSFORMING FOR BETTER SERVICE DELIVERY"







The attainment of this vision requires the municipality to facilitate the development of a spatial system that:

- is sustainable from a social, economic, financial, physical and institutional perspective;
- provides for an efficient movement system, use of scarce resources and decision making processes;
- o promotes integrated development; and
- o equitable access to development opportunities.

Such a spatial system will create an environment conducive to economic development and growth. It will promote social and economic development and contribute to the achievement of the development objectives as outlined in the IDP.

Aim and Objectives

The primary aim of the SDF is to guide the spatial form and location of future developments within the municipality area of jurisdiction. Its objectives are as follows:

- giving a spatial expression of the development vision and strategy as outlined in the IDP;
- o Identifying areas where development should or should not go

- guiding the municipality in the coordination of development within its area of jurisdiction;
- o giving spatial effect to multi-sectoral projects identified in the IDP
- o promoting sustainable utilisation of natural resources.

Spatial Planning Principles

Umtshezi Municipality SDF is underpinned by normative principles reflected in various policy documents and pieces of legislation. The following principles have been extracted from these sources and are considered appropriate to guide the preparation, review and implementation of the SDF.

The objective of the principles and norms is to influence directly the substantive outcomes of planning decisions, whether they relate to spatial development frameworks or decisions on land use change or development applications. The overall aim of the principles and norms is to achieve planning outcomes that (White Paper on Spatial Planning and land Use Management):

- o restructure spatially inefficient settlements;
- o promote the sustainable use of the land;
- channel resources to areas of greatest need and development potential, thereby redressing the inequitable historical treatment of marginalized areas;
- take into account the fiscal, institutional and administrative capacities of role players, the needs of communities and the environment;

- stimulate economic development opportunities in rural and urban areas; and
- support an equitable protection of rights to and in land.

In addition they promote:

- accountable spatial planning, land use management and land development decision-making by organs of state;
- cooperative governance and wider information sharing in planmaking and implementation; and
- maximum openness and transparency in decision-making.

The normative principles are focused on and correlated to the field of spatial planning, land use management and land development, but, as is the case with all principles and norms, need further actualization in specific, concrete contexts. Thus, in the practical implementation of the principles spatial planning, land use management and land development in Umtshezi will be guided by the following overarching concerns:

- Integrated development, which is a key concern in legislation and policies dealing with Integrated Development Plans (Municipal Systems Act) and Land Development (Development Facilitation Act).
- Sustainability, which emanates from Local Agenda 21 and in South African is, enforced through the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) amongst others.
- Equity, which implies equitable distribution of development, resources and opportunities. This principle featured prominently

in the Redistribution and Development Program (RDP) and is also a central concern in the Growth, Employment and Redistribution (GEAR).

- Participation. Chapter of the Municipal Systems Act is dedicated to the subject matter of public participation in municipal affairs. This principle promotes accountability and transparency in decision-making.
- Order and amenity, which focuses mainly on the creation of safe and healthy environments suitable for human habitation. Current land use legislation (Natal Town Planning Ordinance) puts a greater emphasis on this concern.
- Redress, which is mainly addressed in the Land Reform Program and various, associated pieces of legislation. The main focus in this regard is top correct the wrongs of the past.
- Efficiency, which deals mainly with, the creation of efficiently functioning environments scaled to the needs of the local people and role-players.

Good governance


The principles and norms collectively form a vision for land use and planning in Umtshezi. They constitute a single point of reference, and an overarching coherent set of policy guides to direct and steer land development, planning and decision-making in land use so that outcomes thereof are consistent with the development objectives as outlined in the IDP.

Approach

Although the boundary of Umtshezi Municipality is mainly for local governance and service delivery purposes, its delineation was based on a number of factors including population movement patterns, lines of investment and sphere of influence of the existing regional or sub-regional centres. As such, the area could be regarded as a system of interests with a number of sub-systems operating within it.

The emphasis of the SDF is not so much on defining and interpreting the manner of operation of the entire system, but to re-enforce linkages and interdependence between the constituent parts, clearly articulating the role of each part and providing for feedback loops. An efficiently functioning spatial system would perform in line with the spatial development norms and standards, and would generate predictable.

Figure 10: Spatial Planning Model



As indicated ob Figure 10 above, Umtshezi spatial system comprises of the following main components:

 Development nodes with varying levels of impact and spheres of influence.

- Development corridors whose role is mainly defined in terms of the associated economic development sectors, land use pattern and role in the sub-regional economy.
- Settlements located in different environments including urban, peri-urban and rural areas.
- Agricultural land
- o Environmentally sensitive areas.

Each of these plays an important role in the functioning of the spatial system. The table below gives an indication of the range and type of service available at each level of the hierarchy.

| Type of Planning Areas | Functions | | Type of Service | |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|--|
| Primary (Sub- | • | Distribution | Police Station, Hospital, | |

Spatial Planning Strategies

The application of the service centre (nodes and corridor) approach in the context of Umtshezi follows logic of spatial planning strategies as indicators of a desired future spatial situation, and broad policy positions to guide decision making.

Enhancing the Quality of the Environment

The principle of sustainable development challenges the present generation to engage with the natural resource base and meet its development needs in a manner that enables the future generations to meet their own development needs. This is often referred to as environmentally sustainable


| regional Centre) | and coordination point Higher order level of goods and services | Welfare Office, Schools, Community Hall, Post Office, Bank, Court, Comprehensive sport facility, Developed Economic Centre, Information Service Centre, Emergency |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Secondary (Community Centre) | Lower order level of goods and services as compared to primary node | Service Centre, Police Satellite Station, 24hr clinic, Weekly Welfare Mobile Services, Schools, MPCC, Weekly Information Mobile Services, Post Net, Mobile Bank Services, Tribal Court, Basic Sport Facility |
| Tertiary (Neighbourhood Centre) | Serve to provide a convenient service to the village community | Mobile Clinic, Schools determined by population density, Community Halls determined by population density, Postal Services determined by population density, Basic Sport Facility |

development. It acknowledges the need the importance of the following as key interventions for spatial transformation:

- protection and enhancement of the environmentally sensitive areas:
- protection and optimal utilization of high potential agricultural land;
- o creation of an integrated open space system; and
- enhancement of the aesthetic quality of the environment.

Ideally, a buffer line of environmentally sensitive areas along a river would follow the 1:50 year floor line, but in view of the lack of such information an indicative line has been used.

Rivers provide opportunities for tourism, irrigation and sports development. Similarly, ecological zones such as wetlands, areas where there are endemic species, scenic areas, etc, provides opportunities for environmental conservation and tourism development, and should not be subjected to development pressure.




Protection of High Value Agricultural Land

A substantial amount of land in Umtshezi, particularly, the land located to the south of the N3 and Estcourt Town, is generally classified as having high and good potential for

agriculture. It is important to note high potential agricultural land has become a scarce and an ever dwindling resource. Its protection is high on the agenda for the Department of Agriculture. Encroachment of development onto agricultural land poses a number of challenges, namely:


- reduction of land available for food production and against the increasing problem of food shortages and increase in food prices;
- declining performance and contribution of agriculture into the district and provincial economy;
- need to target high production potential land for the settlement of small and emerging framers in terms of the land redistribution program; and
- low density urban sprawl which encourages development of inefficient urban spatial systems.

Sub-division and change of land use on agricultural land is governed in terms of the Sub-division of agricultural Land Act (SALA), Act No. 70 of 1970, and is administered nationally. Although, the provincial Department of Agriculture undertaken the assessment of the applications and makes recommendations to the national Department of Agriculture, there is no coherent provincial policy that guides this process. As such, it is critically important for Umtshezi Municipality to develop its own guidelines (as part of the SDF) for managing development on agricultural land.



Supporting an Efficient Movement System

The Movement Strategy for Umtshezi Municipality is based on the recognition of the role of different movement routes, and a need for the provision and maintenance of a highly accessible movement system and network. The latter should support a range of modes (road and rail, public and private) and activities at various levels, intensity and scale. It specifically endorses the promotion of the improved accessibility to areas of opportunity as a key to economic development and growth.



The efficiency of the sub-region relates directly to the efficiency of its movement system i.e. the ability to move goods and people within and beyond the municipal boundary. This strategy seeks to achieve the following outcomes:

- Promoting accessibility of communities to economic (employment), recreation and social opportunities.
- Protecting and enhancing the mobility function of major arterials and roads.


- Supporting and aligning existing and planned public transport infrastructure.
- Ensuring that the movement system directly links with and is supported by, strong high intensity nodes and higher density residential development.

While this involves reinforcing the role of the existing road networks, it also seeks to open new movement routes and refine the role of some of the existing roads.

Development Corridors as Investment Routes

A public investment approach which views urban centres as inward oriented spatial systems linked to the rural hinterland by the high speed regional movement routes is inappropriate and undesirable. In conditions of scattered settlement pattern, such as Umtshezi, regional transport routes which link a number of areas should, instead, be viewed as the logical focus areas of an ordered strategy for rural development. These routes should be seen as activity and investment lines. The structure they give to the area is articulated in the form of movement patterns and systematic distribution of land uses in space.

However, not all regional routes are the same in terms of the intensity of use and ability to attract investment, services, economic activities and settlement. Generally, larger routes linking generators of movement and investment have a greater generative capacity than smaller routes.



It thus follows that regional facilities and services should gravitate towards these areas. Smaller facilities requiring smaller thresholds should be located along smaller routes. Viewed in this way, the issue of regional and rural spatial organization becomes one of creating a systemic framework of interlocking activity routes over time. This has an impact of:

- reducing spatial marginalization;
- o increasing equitable access to all level of services; and
- o promoting investment.


Location of facilities along major routes recognizes the importance of choice to the rural communities with respect to services such as education, health and welfare facilities.

Focusing Development in Strategic Nodal Points

The equitable distribution of services and facilities is one of many issues which Integrated Development Plans seek to address. This has a spatial impact in a municipal area in that the ordering and location of services and facilities, in a manner that promotes accessibility and efficiency in service delivery, is required. This is critical for the performance of the municipal area as a whole and land use integration. The following planning areas have been identified:

- Sub-regional centre (primary nodes) provides higher order services and facilities. This is a centre of municipal wide significance and attracts movement from all over Umtshezi and beyond.
- Community centre (secondary nodes) serves the hinterland population in terms of social and community facilities and basic retail facilities.
- Neighbourhood centre (tertiary nodes) provides access to services and facilities at a much localized scale.
- Settlement webs and complexes located in both rural and urban areas

Nodes are locations of concentrated activity often associated with the presence of employment opportunities and high density residential development located on or adjacent to regional mobility roads and spines, and as such act as destinations for public transport.




These nodes will form the focal points for the clustering of development and service provision, and will ensure access to social and economic opportunities for the entire municipal region. The concentration of activities in and around these areas will stimulate further development of higher order activities.

Integration between Different Landscapes/Land Use Zones

The intention with the built environment should be the creation of large continuous precincts of built form, rather than it occurring in spatially discreet pockets or cells, as is commonly the case in Umtshezi. This is necessary to obtain economies of agglomeration. At places, the continuity of the fabric should be systematically broken so as to ensure equitable access to green space and other opportunities.

The benefits of mixed development

- More convenient access to facilities
- Travel-to-work congestion is minimised
- Greater opportunities for social interaction
- Socially diverse communities
- Visual stimulation and delight of different buildings within close proximity
- A greater feeling of safety, with 'eyes on streets'
- Greater energy efficiency and more efficient use of space and buildings
- More consumer choice of lifestyle, location and building type
- Urban vitality and street life
- Increased viability of urban facilities and support for small business (such as corner shops).




A more vibrant and sustainable spatial structure and form results from blurring the distinction between uses and designing places that make walking to the local centre, and bus stop or taxi rank, as convenient and comfortable as possible.

Developing Sustainable Human Settlements

Settlement pattern in Umtshezi Municipality occurs in the form of low density urban sprawl reflecting the texture of the existing urban centres as rural villages. This pattern is not sustainable and renders service delivery and development ineffective. While this is critical in defining the structure and behaviour of settlements, it has given rise to a continuum of rural settlement ranging from low density remotely located agrarian communities to centrally located relatively high density settlements.

A detailed consideration of the settlement pattern reveals a high level of disintegration and fragmentation. Higher density settlements should be located along the main transportation routes and held together by a web of local access roads and public facilities. At a regional level, they should be knit together by a system of regional access routes. However, settlements are also not static. They respond to change and are continuously in the process of transformation. The key challenge is to turn them from being creations and remnants of the apartheid regime into sustainable human settlements. This has serious implications for detailed planning and development of these settlements:



- They should generate a wide range of opportunities. Sparsely populated settlements should be considered as opportunity areas for agricultural development such as crop production and livestock farming. Centrally located settlement should provide improved access to higher order public facilities, intensive agriculture and other urban services.
- A convenient settlement improves the level of choice, encourages creativity and investment while a less convenient settlement imposes a lifestyle on people and results in unnecessary expenses.
- Settlements should be equitable in the sense that they should provide a reasonable access to opportunities and facilities to all.
 It is neither possible nor desirable for settlements to be homogenous hence an emphasis on choice.


Establishing Framework for Growth and Development

Development within Umtshezi Municipality raises an issue of the traditional dichotomy between urban and rural - between town and countryside. While areas such as Estcourt and Weenen Towns are considered urban, they are located in a generally rural region and form part of a rural economy. The structure of the local economy blurs the distinction between urban and rural.

These realities underscore the necessity of putting together a spatial strategy within the broader development context. It should thus focus on managing the form and texture of

development, in a manner that contributes to the following performance criteria:

- Creating a more efficient and productive sub-region through the development adoption of policies that seeks to built of the competitive advantages while also unlocking new opportunities.
- Improving the overall quality of the urban environment by better integrating environmental concerns within development planning and urban management practices.
- Developing an inclusive spatial system that promotes integration of the previously disparate areas and eliminates the mismatch



between where people live and where they work.

- Creating the base for efficiency in the delivery of services (water, electricity, sanitation, etc), movement, investment and decision making.
- Promoting integrated and coordinated development with all stakeholders working towards a common development vision and agenda.

SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

Umtshezi Spatial Development Framework provides guidelines and directions for development in respect of the following key concerns:

- Environmental management
- Protection of high value agricultural land
- Development corridors.
- Development nodes
- Land reform implementation
- Housing delivery and development of sustainable human settlements.
- Economic development.
- o Urban development and management framework.
- Land use management.

Environmental Management Framework

Areas Suitable for Planning & Development

The areas considered suitable for development fall outside the environmentally sensitive areas, the areas with agricultural potential and the archaeologically sensitive areas. However, there may be other issues that may hamper planning and development in these areas, hence these are given as guides only and more detailed investigation will be needed at the planning/development stage to confirm the findings of this desktop study.

Other factors such as geological/geotechnical, economical, social etc, may prevent planning and development. This broad environmental scan covers the intrinsic green environment. Some of the issues that may impact on the planning and development of the Umtshezi Municipality are given below.

Issues Impacting on Planning and Development

Low Cost Housing

Low cost housing problems, such as: subsidence, sewage problems, collapsing of houses, (all issues especially evident within low cost housing developments), may occur because of:

- Inappropriately located sites;
- Impatient and environmentally insensitive developers;
- Lack of understanding from developers regarding the role of environmental impact assessments in the site selection and application process (EIAs are often the last thought once all other studies have already been undertaken, developers are often not open to alternatives in terms of site selection or housing / development design or type);
- Disregard for wetlands and endangered species;
- Poor quality housing;
- Health conditions (in wet areas compromises sewage systems and cemeteries) and costs.
- Because low cost housing sometimes merely Tran-locates the poor from a rural area to urban areas – where the housing is provided on smaller lots (preventing homeowners from growing

food or keeping livestock), and where employment is not available to compensate, the poverty cycle, impact on the environment and crime may in fact increase as a result. More suitable housing sites (not in wet areas) with larger plots per home should instead be considered.

Increased development of informal housing hinders the development potential and aesthetic appeal of the region. Rural areas should be formalised and provided with sanitation and water. Residents should be encouraged to remain in these areas where there is sufficient space to establish large gardens and keep livestock.

Mining

Illegal mining, without permits – all sand winning requires development on a site specific EMP and the subsequent issuing of a permit from the Department of Minerals and Energy.

Problems arise with the non-compliance of 'sand winners/miners' with the specified regulations and the EMP requirements for legitimate sites (sites that apply for permits go no further in ensuring the implementation of the conditions of the permit and EMP document).

Management of Water Resources

Incorrect citing of high-impact developments (such as low cost housing with poor sewage, wastewater and storm water management) in close proximity to wetlands, drainage lines, rivers and dams is resulting in water pollution. There is a need to protect water resources as a means to prevent waterborne diseases and ensure adequate supply of quality water for household consumption (refer to Map 16).

The sustainable use of ground water must be guaranteed, in order to ensure the quality and quantity of water for consumption and other uses in future. Siting of unsuitable

developments in close proximity to known water sources and in sites of high ground water must be prevented.

Veld Fires

Veld burning is recognised as an important veld management tool but should be applied according to strict scientific methods, considering factors such as veld type, carrying capacity (number of animals of a given type which the veld type can support), seasonal, climatic, soil and veld conditions. Veld areas should only be burnt after full ecological consideration and evaluation, to provide informed decision making regarding hot or cold burns to control excess woody material. Fire-breaks should be burnt in early to mid winter, depending on environmental conditions as broad strips allowing for effective fire management.

Requirement for a Municipal Open Space System

A clearly defined municipal open space system (for the entire municipal area, but especially in the 'urban' areas of Estcourt, Weenen, and later in the more rural areas but still built up) is required for the:

- Protection of wetlands, associated rivers, streams and catchments and areas of natural beauty.
- These Open Space Plans should take into consideration the local EMPs and SEAs and the LUMS for the local and district municipalities.

Rehabilitation of Damaged and Degraded Areas

Rehabilitation of damaged and degraded areas is now legislated and the municipality could begin to enforce this legislation by developing a whole new environmental branch: Very few new developments institute or apply rehabilitation plans, often where blatantly necessary.

Protection and Conservation of Agricultural Land

As a general aim, the municipality together with the Department of Agriculture has a responsibility to protect agricultural land from development that leads to its alienation from its primary purpose or to diminished productivity. Any proposal for non-agricultural development on agricultural land is subject to an application made to, and assessed by, the Department of Agriculture in terms of the Sub-division of Agricultural Land Act, (Act No. 70 of 1970). On the other hand, the municipality has a responsibility to implement wall-to-wall land use management system within its area of jurisdiction. This includes setting land aside for agricultural development purposes.

The traditional and widespread view that land is an almost limitless resource is a myth. Both availability and condition are under threat. Poor resource (veld) management such as overstocking, the development of land for settlement and other non-agricultural uses has led to the loss of significant areas of good agricultural land, and land degradation is now widely regarded as one of the greatest challenges facing rural Umtshezi.

As indicated on Map 17, agricultural land in Umtshezi Municipality could broadly be divided into three main categories as follows:

- Good agricultural land in located along the southern and western boundaries of the municipal area. Patches of similar land are found along Umtshezi River and around Weenen.
- Moderate potential agricultural land is located generally in the area stretching from Estcourt in the south to Weenen in the north.

 Land with low agricultural potential is located in the low lying areas towards Indaka and Msinga Municipalities and falls largely within the Bloukrans River catchment area.

Protection of good agricultural land should be based on the following policy principles:

- Good quality agricultural land has a special importance and should not be built on unless there is an overriding need for the development in terms of public benefit and no other site is suitable for the particular purpose.
- When preparing, reviewing or amending planning schemes, the municipality will be expected to include provisions for protecting good quality agricultural land, regardless of the effect of market fluctuations on its viability.
- The preparation of planning schemes should include an evaluation of alternative forms of development, and significant weight should be given to those strategies which minimise the impacts on good quality agricultural land.
- The land Use Scheme should aim to minimise cases where incompatible uses are located adjacent to agricultural operations in a manner that inhibits normal farming practice.
 Where such instances do arise, measures to ameliorate potential conflicts should be devised.
- The land use scheme should provide for a hierarchy of agricultural zones based on the agricultural development potential and impact of non-agricultural activities on agricultural land. Non-agricultural activities such as agri-tourism, game

farms with themed estates or lodges, resort developments, etc should be located on land with moderate agricultural potential.

Agricultural potential should be used to establish agricultural zones in terms of the land use scheme, and provide for a continuum of agricultural zones from predominantly agriculture only zones to zones that allows for a mixture of agricultural and non-agricultural uses.

The following criteria may be used in this regard:

- High potential agricultural land should be used for mainly agricultural activities. However, limited non-agricultural uses may be permitted especially along the corridors and within the designated development nodes. Conservation should form part of a drive to protect and enhance the quality of agricultural land. Irrigated land along the river corridors should be protected equally.
- Land with moderate agricultural potential provides an opportunity for the development of mixed agricultural, tourism and conservation uses. These include game farms, game reserves and feedlots. As such, the proposed game reserve stretching from just outside Estcourt towards Weenen should be supported subject to the resolution of the land and social issues.
- Low potential agricultural land should be subjected to conservation and low intensity agricultural uses. Most of it is degraded and prone to soil erosion.

A detailed indication of agricultural activities undertaken in the area is indicated in the District Agricultural Development

Strategy. It recommended that an agricultural development plan be prepared.

Development Corridors

Development corridors are a potential instrument to restructure the municipal area into robust, efficient and well-connected spatial system as well as contributing to region-wide (Uthukela District) economic growth and job creation. This is achieved by unlocking inherent and under-utilised economic and social development potential with existing nodal development and road infrastructure (refer to Map 18). The movement and nodal components are most prominent and intense within the identified corridors. Strengthening these corridors will also strengthen key economic centres to balance and share growth not only for Umtshezi but also across the province with neighbouring local municipalities.

The movement system indicates various road types and identifies the interrelationship between movement and activity. It seeks to promote mobility along major roads and arterials while encouraging higher density residential and nodal development along such routes so that a maximum number of persons can gain easy access to economic development opportunities. Lower order roads seek to improve access to agricultural land, tourism development zones, and settlement areas.

The N3 National Corridor

The N3 is identified in the NSDP as a national corridor, and is recognised as such (existing corridor) in the PSEDS. It runs in an east-west direction almost dividing Umtshezi Municipality in two halves. The southern portion is dominated by high potential agricultural land and portions of conservation worthy

areas, while areas to the north could be described as moderate to low in production potential and generally suitable for livestock and game farming. It is a high speed limited access road providing access and inter-nodal connections at a national and provincial level. It carries traffic between Johannesburg and Durban.

At a local regional and local level, it presents an opportunity for the integration of Estcourt Town to the national and provincial trade routes. It is a tourist route to the major tourist destinations in KwaZulu-Natal (KZN), particularly the Drakensburg and the Battle-fields Route.

Development along this route should occur as follows:

- Facilitate the establishment of mixed land use activity nodes at the intersection of the N3 and the regional or provincial routes.
 Activities that may locate in these areas include logistics, warehousing, light industry and commercial facilities.
- o In the short to medium term, high value agricultural land located along the corridor should be protected, but in the long term, strategically located areas abutting onto the mixed land use nodes should be opened for development as mixed land use precincts.
- Compliance with the policies and regulations introduced by the South African National Roads Agency (SANRAL).
- Development of Wembezi Interchange as a mean facilitate access to the "Berg".

Primary Development Corridors

Two main access and mobility routes have been identified as primary development (regional) corridors, namely:

- Giant Castle to Weenen Nature Reserve Corridor (north–south axis);
- Regional road from Colenso in the west through Weenen to Greytown and beyond (east-west axis); and
- R103 which runs parallel to and north of the N3. For the purposes of the SDF, R103 is seen as part of the broader N3 corridor.

The former has potential to develop into a tourism route linking the Drakensberg with the proposed Big Five Game Reserve centred on Weenen Nature Reserve. There are also opportunities for intensive agricultural activities or leisure type of developments linked to the game reserve along the river hence the river is identified as a green corridor. It is also a major link between Estcourt and Weenen. As such, it carries local traffic between the two centres and creates a link between a largely agricultural centre in Weenen and an agriprocessing centre in Estcourt.

The eastern axis on the other hand serves as a major link to the areas beyond Umtshezi Municipality, and is an alternative tourist route to the north-coast from areas such as Gauteng and the Free State. It runs through the proposed Big Five Game Reserve and has potential for the development of a range of tourism facilities including private game farms (mainly for hunting and/or conservation purposes). In the medium to long term, a need may arise for some land to be released for settlement purposes to accommodate land

restitution claimants, labour tenant and farm dwellers using a cluster approach.

Development along these corridors should conform to the following guidelines:

- Both corridors are located on provincial roads and thus should adhere to the regulations as implemented by the Department of Transport.
- Development of viewing and picnic sites in appropriate areas.
- Development of a tourism node at the intersection of the two corridors.
- Limit the number and location of settlements located along this route to carefully selected areas.

Secondary Corridors

A number of existing roads have potential to develop as secondary or sub-regional development corridors, but there are opportunities to unlock new development areas through the use of a network of secondary corridors. The key existing secondary corridors include the following:

Road from Winterton to Colenso running along the western boundary of Umtshezi Municipality. This corridor links Winterton with Colenso and beyond. It runs mainly along agricultural land. As such, development along this corridor should focus on intensive and extensive agriculture including livestock farming. Settlement should be limited to the consolidation of the existing Chieverlery, its expansion to accommodate land reform beneficiaries and provision of the necessary public facilities so as to establish the area as a sustainable human settlement. P170-D385 corridor from Wagendrift dam through Estcourt town to Weenen running along the eastern boundary of the municipal area.

The road from Winterton to Colenso serves as a major link at a district level knitting together small towns from Bergville through Winterton to Ladysmith, Weenen and beyond. It runs mainly along agricultural land. As such, development along this corridor should focus on intensive and extensive agriculture including livestock farming. Settlement should be limited to the consolidation of the existing Chieverlery, its expansion to accommodate land reform beneficiaries using a cluster approach and provision of the necessary public facilities so as to establish the area as a sustainable human settlement.

P170-D385 corridor is a proposed corridor linking the ecotourism and leisure node of Wagendrift Dam through Estcourt town with the proposed Big Five Game Reserve but running along the eastern boundary of the municipal area. It is essentially a tourism corridor, but its future development should provide for a strategic mix of agricultural and ecotourism activities. From Weenen, this corridor runs northwards into Indaka Municipality where it links with the proposed Cannibal Route.

Tertiary Corridors

Tertiary corridors are also known as local corridors because they serve mainly a local function including the following:

- o D489 D721 (Cornfields-Thembalihle Corridor).
- P179 from Loskop road through Wembezi to Wagendrift Dam and the surrounding proposed conservation areas.

Cornfields-Thembalihle Corridor serves as a major link between the two parallel secondary corridors and has potential to improve access to Thembalihle and Cornfields which area both isolated expansive rural settlements located on commercial farmlands. It has potential to link with Chieverley as well. To all intents and purposes, this corridor has limited economic opportunities.

P179 from Loskop road through Wembezi to Wagendrift Dam and the surrounding proposed conservation areas is also an emerging corridor intended to reinforce linkages and integrate Wembezi and the surrounding settlements to the agricultural and the proposed eco-tourism areas in the vicinity of the Wagendrift Dam. It has potential to serve as an alternative access to the Wagendrift eco-tourism node from the Loskop road. It has potential to serve as an alternative access to the Wagendrift eco-tourism node from the Loskop road. It also provides access to settlements such as Wembezi and C-section.

Wembezi-Estcourt Mixed Land Use Corridor

Spatial integration between Wembezi and Estcourt is one of the main spatial development challenges facing Umtshezi Municipality. It is thus recommended that the portion of the main road between the two areas be developed as a low impact mixed land use corridor in the short to medium term. This will ensure protection of the existing agricultural land while also opening opportunities for tourism, leisure, housing and commercial development. The proposed N3 off-ramp gives further impetus to the development of a mixed land use node which accords well with the proposed corridor.

System of Activity Nodes

An activity node is a place of high accessibility onto which both public and private investments tend to concentrate. An activity node offers the opportunity to locate a range of activities, from small to large enterprises, often associated with mixed-use development. They are generally located along or at the cross-section of development corridors.

Activity nodes have the potential to be an important subregional structuring device. They serve as points in the spatial structure where potentially access to a range of opportunities is greatest, where networks of association create diversity and where people are able to satisfy the broadest range of their day to day needs. Being points of maximum economic, social and infrastructure investment, as well as representing established patterns of settlement and accessibility, these nodes must be regarded as primary devices on which to anchor the structure of the sub-regional spatial system. Application of a system of development nodes in Umtshezi is indicated on Map 19.

Sub-regional Activity Node - Estcourt

Estcourt is the main commercial, industrial and administrative centre within Umtshezi Municipality. It forms of part of the district spatial systems and is identified in the district SDF as a secondary node. This is despite Estcourt being recognised as a third order centre at a provincial level alongside Ladysmith. As a sub-regional node, the following activities should be strengthened in Estcourt:

 Development of commercial activities serving the whole municipal area and the surrounding areas (sub-region).

- Location of district and sub-district offices of various government departments and serve delivery agencies.
- Location of facilities and services for an effective administration and local governance of Umtshezi Municipality.
- Industrial development, focusing mainly on the processing of raw materials produced within the sub-region and the neighbouring areas – agri-processing centre.
- Location of public facilities serving the whole sub-region and beyond. These may include sports and transportation facilities.

Secondary Activity Nodes/Urban Community Centres

Two secondary activity nodes have been identified in Umtshezi Municipality, namely:

- Weenen, and
- Wembezi Town Centre.

Weenen which was previously a thriving rural town has experienced decline in both character and function. It is characterised by derelict and poorly maintained buildings, deteriorating quality of infrastructure and the associated services, and lack of investment. With the majority of the land around this town being subject to land restitution and labour tenant claims, it is critically important to repackage Weenen as a centre of activity and an anchor point for the integration and coordination of support services to the various land reform projects. It has potential for the activities:

 Administrative offices for the decentralisation of services to the communities located around Weenen.

- Limited commercial activities targeting the surrounding communities.
- Processing of raw materials produced in the area given its potential for intensive crop and citrus production.

A case for the regeneration of this town is presented in Section 9.4.2 below.

Wembezi on the other hand was developed as a residential township or a dormitory suburb for black people working in Estcourt. A small area was set aside for the development of a town centre. Today, this area is poorly developed with a community hall and two small local convenient shops. However, a small agglomeration of commercial facilities has occurred at the northern entrance to the township. Plans to develop a neighbourhood shopping centre in the area have reached and advanced stage with implementation due to start at the beginning of 2009. The area is also being considered for a range of projects that would be funded through the Neighbourhood Development Partnership Grant (NDPG).

In addition, middle income housing is being developed to the west of the Wembezi Township. In fact, there is potential to expand this to cover the vacant land located to the west of B-section and may yield about 500 units. Other issues that require attention in the area include:

- Upgrading of informal settlements.
- Upgrading of the existing cemetery.
- Upgrading and maintenance of roads.

Tertiary Nodes/Rural Community Centre

The vision for the future spatial development of Umtshezi Municipality makes provision for the development of community centres within a cluster of settlements, as follows:

- Thembalihle and Cornfields
- Frere
- Chively
- Rensbergdrift
- Nhlawe

None of these is currently developed. The primary aim of these centres is to accommodate land uses that provide services to the local communities. These include the following:

- Educational facilities such as schools.
- o Community centres including a community hall
- Health facilities such as a clinic or mobile clinic
- Sports facilities
- Limited commercial facilities
- Pension pay point.

Community centres should be planned as an integral part of a cluster of settlements and resonates with the ideal of sustainable human settlements as envisaged in the new national housing policy (Breaking New Ground).

Land Reform Framework

The role of local government in supporting the land reform program remains one of the poorly defined and uncoordinated activities in the integrated development planning process. The land reform impacts on the local communities, yet it is implemented by a national government department with limited, if any, consideration of the IDPs and the SDFs. Similarly, these documents do not provide an adequate strategic framework for dealing with the land question. Land issues are complex and intricate with some of them (labour tenants and land restitution) being rights driven. Nevertheless, the land reform is one of the significant programs that promise to make a major contribution to economic growth, poverty alleviation and nation building. As such, its implementation should be embedded in the notion of sustainable and integrated development.

Umtshezi Municipality recognizes the importance of the land reform; particularly the role it can play in addressing historical land related conflicts in the area, overcrowding and congestion, as well as promoting agricultural development. As such, the following should guide future implementation of the land reform program within Umtshezi Municipality (refer to Map 20):

Clustering of projects in a geographic area (across products) so as to optimise development potential, rationalise support services and promote efficient use of scarce resources. Identification of clusters should be based on access, social identity, development opportunities, land use pattern and social relationships. This will provide a framework for a comprehensive approach to the resolution of labour tenant and land restitution claims.


- Settlement of the emerging farmers in terms of the Land Redistribution for Agricultural Development (LRAD) or Proactive land Acquisition Strategy should be located close to transport axes on good agricultural land in situations where they have access to ongoing support and mentorship – this by definition would exclude isolated areas of the municipal area.
- There is a need to promote off-farm settlement as a land delivery approach where the main need for land is settlement. Such land should be located in accessible areas which can be provided with social facilities and basic services in an efficient and effective manner. It may also form part of a cluster of projects. This will also facilitate housing delivery and development of such settlements as sustainable human settlements.
- Identification of high impact projects and integration into the local value chain or development proposals. These projects should also be integrated into the LED program of the Municipality. Opportunities in Umtshezi include game farming, livestock farming, citrus, irrigated pastures, and dryland and irrigated crop production.

It must however, be noted that the land reform is a need and a rights based program. Its primary focus is to transform the land ownership pattern in line with the national government targets.

Housing Delivery Framework

Since the introduction of the Housing Act, (Act No. 107 of 1997), the National government has introduced a comprehensive programme to address a range of housing needs in South Africa. The programme is outlined in the recently introduced Comprehensive Plan for the Creation of Sustainable Human Settlements.

FIGURE 11: HOUSING POLICY AND PROGRAMME



As indicated on Figure 11 below, the national housing delivery programme seeks to achieve its goals by means of a range of instruments including individual subsidy, social housing and community residential units. The application of these instruments occurs within the legislative and policy framework set by the National Department of Housing, and is implemented in a cooperative manner among the three spheres of government with local government serving as the agent of the Department of Housing in KZN.

Umtshezi Municipality has developed a Housing Sector Plan as a guide to the execution of its housing mandate. As such, housing is not construed as an end in itself, but a strategic intervention for addressing an assortment of development objectives. These include (NDoH, 2007: 5):

- Development of sustainable human settlements in support of spatial restructuring. This includes the promotion of efficient urban environments and integration of previously excluded groups into the city and its associated benefits.
- Combating crime, promoting social cohesion and improving quality of life for the poor.
- Leveraging growth in the economy. Essentially, this requires a stronger alignment of the housing programme with the local economic development strategies.
- Job creation.

Although Estcourt, Wembezi and Weenen account for about 50% of the municipal population, the housing sector plan concludes that the highest housing need is found in the rural settlements. The majority of housing in these settlements occurs in the form of traditional huts. Moreover, they have not benefitted from any housing scheme, yet they account for about 35% of the municipal population.

The poorest households in the municipality amounts to 3777 households who do not have any reliable source of income, and a further 1337 households with access to less than R4800/month. It is noted that there are about 6050 units that are to be delivered (already in the pipeline) within the short to medium term.

In addition, a number of land reform projects are fast degenerating into swelling rural settlements. This trend is set to continue as the more complex rural based land restitution claims unfolds. Secondly, there is an equally significant number of people who reside on commercial farmlands as

either farm dwellers or labour tenants. They are experience an acute housing need.

Table 1: Planned Projects

| PROJECT NAME | SETTLEMENT TYPE | UNITS REQUIRED | PROJECT PACKAGING | PREP FUNDING APPLICATION |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Rensburg Drift | Urban | 1000 | | 1000 |
| Thembalihle | Rural | 400 | | 400 |
| Chively | Rural | 350 | | 350 |
| Owl and Elephant | Rural | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Frère | Rural | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Rama | Rural | 500 | 500 | |
| Papkulisfontein | Urban | 400 | | |
| Bambanani Machunu | Rural | 2000 | | 2000 |
| Msobotsheni, Engodini & Nhlawe | Rural | 1000 | | 1000 |
| TOTAL | | 6050 | | 5550 |

Source: Umtshezi Housing Sector Plan

A framework for the delivery of housing based on the Housing Sector Plan is presented on Map 21. While 2423 units are nearing completion (project close out), four other projects are currently under implementation, namely:

- Wembezi A with 515 units.
- Wembezi C phase 2 with 738 units.
- Kwanobamba phase 2 with 72 units.
- Kwezi Hostel Upgrade with 900 units.

The housing sector plan adopts a phased approach with the following being the first phase projects:

- o Chively (350 units).
- Papkuilsfontein (400 units).

- o Bambanani Machunu (1000 units).
- Msobotsheni, Engodini and Nhlawe (2000 units).

The first 2 projects are urban and have a total yield of 750 units, while the rural projects will deliver about 3000 housing opportunities. The second phase is planned as follows:

- o Rensburg Drift (100 units).
- Thembalihle (400 units).
- Own and Elephant (400 units).
- Frere (400 units).
- Rama (500 units).

The SDF identifies areas for middle income and up-market housing as well as upgrading of informal settlements in nodal areas.

Economic Development Framework

Umtshezi LED plan adopts a sector based approach and identifies tourism, agriculture and manufacturing as the main economic drivers locally. The sector support approach is based on the assumption that economic growth can be driven by interventions in specific markets and sectors. The analysis typically makes use of an analysis of sector stakeholders, product development and selection, supply and value chains, markets areas and national and international trends.

An ongoing intervention would include clear identification of spatial development opportunities, regular communication with specific sector groups and in working with those groups to monitor changes in the sectors. The feedback mechanism will provide a mechanism to measure changes in the different

sectors and to measure the impacts of various policies and interventions. All of the above are key in the uMtshezi area, in particular agriculture, industry and tourism (refer to Map 22).

Commerce and Industry

Estcourt

The Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy primarily identifies Estcourt as being:

- o n the exiting N3 corridor;
- o being a service node; and
- being part of a tourism corridor.

The area should be developed with infrastucture for the following sectors:

- Tourism: tourism spatial strategy indicates that the uMtshezi area is considered to contain cultural and eco-tourism opportunities. It is important that these opportunities are exploited as a substantial amount of national resources are channeled into the tourism sector.
- Industry: The area has potential to develop into a processing centre for the raw materials produced within the municipal area and beyond.
- commerce: Estcourt serves as the main economic hub for the sub-region which includes almost the whole of Imbabazane Municipality and portions of municipalities such as Mooi-Mpofana and Msinga.

Weenen

Weenen is located along a primary corridor and currently serves as a commercial node for the surrounding farming and rural communities. The area has potential to consolidate its role as a commercial centre as follows:

- The town is surrounded by intensive agricultural operations which provide an opportunity for the development of packaging and limited processing industries.
- There is a need to promote intensification of agricultural production in the area. This includes provision of state of irrigation infrastructure.
- Regeneration of the urban centre so as to provide for the commercial development in and around the town.

Tourism and Leisure Development Areas

Developments that promote eco-tourism and leisure products should be promoted in the following areas:

- Area around the Wagendrift Dam, particularly along the proposed Wembezi-Estcourt Development.
- Conservation area to the south of the municipal area. This area has endemic specifies and is already being considered for the development of a game farm.
- Area being considered for the development of a big-five game reserve between Weenen and Etscourt. The development area

- may need to be re-configured so as to cater for the social development needs of the labour tenants and land restitution claimants.
- Heritage sites spread throughout the municipal area, particularly within Estcourt Town.


Urban Development Framework

Urban development program focuses mainly in the three urban nodes within Umtshezi Municipality, namely:

- Wembezi where the main focus on the initiation and implementation of an urban renewal program.
- Weenen where the main focus also on urban renewal and intensive urban agriculture.
- Estcourt where the main focus is on land use integration and developing the town into an efficient sub-regional centre.

Wembezi Urban Renewal

Wembezi Urban Renewal Program (WURP) is a project aimed at "renewing" or regenerating Wembezi Township as a means to improve the quality of life of the local community. The urban renewal programme's objectives are:



The overall objectives of the URP are to enable systematic and sustained interventions to alleviate poverty and significantly address under development and socio-economic exclusion. Its specific objectives include to promote local economic development, to relieve poverty and unemployment; to provide a safe and secure environment by lighting crime; to support education, training and skills development, to create a quality urban environment where people can live with dignity and pride; to develop efficient integrated and user-friendly transport systems; and to create job opportunities through the Extended Public Works Programme (EPWP)

- To encourage socially cohesive communities, who are viable, safe, mutually supportive, productive and involved.
- To improve living standards, quality of life and create prospects for a better life for the township residents.

Based on abroad overview of the development challenges in the area, it is suggested that WURP should focus on the following:

- the development of new and improvement of the existing infrastructure such as the resurfacing of roads and building of pavements;
- implementation of an environmental program intended to create a balanced environment where development does not compromise the quality of the environment;
- social upliftment through the upgrading of dilapidated houses, upgrading of informal settlements and development of the area into a sustainable human settlement;
- development of Wembezi into an integrated community through the creation of opportunities for middle income housing development;
- consolidation of the community through the development of social infrastructure such as sports complex, community centre (Thusong Centre), and taxi rank;
- the development of the local economy through job creation and Small, Micro and Medium Enterprise (SMME) projects, like

- grass-cutting and the setting up of vegetable gardens and agriculture projects; and
- creating an environment conducive for private sector investment through unlocking strategically located land for commercial development in support of Wembezi and portions of Imbabazane Municipality.

Weenen

Weenen is identified in the in the SDF as a secondary node or an urban community centre. Weenen, a previously economically thriving little town has over the last few decades experienced a sharp decline and decay. As already indicated, this is suggested by an apparent dominance of poorly maintained buildings, deteriorating infrastructure and lack of new investment. The key challenge is to reverse this trend and reposition the town as a rural service centre, community commercial centre and an agricultural town serving the surrounding land reform projects and the existing Weenen community. As such, future spatial development in Weenen should focus on the following:

- Spatial integration of Weenen and Nobamba. The latter was established as an emergency camp in the 1960s, but has since developed into a relatively large urban settlement. It is located approximately 10km from Weenen. Spatial integration may include the upgrading of community facilities located between the two areas, development of community gardens along the road to Kwanobamba, etc.
- Amendment of zoning and land use controls applicable to the large residential properties so as to accommodate future need

for residential properties. Effectively, this means that in the short to medium term, residential development should occur in the form of densification and infill using these properties.


- Urban renewal focusing mainly on infrastructure upgrading and maintenance, refurbishment of existing buildings, delineation of flood lines, upgrading the image of the town, and promoting new investments.
- Mixed land use corridor development linking the two commercial nodes. The corridor is located along the main road and should thus be undertaken with due cognisance of the requirements of the Department of Transport (DOT). The corridor has potential to integrate the two areas and provide for the processing or packaging of local agricultural products.
- Developing the small sub-divisions into viable intensive crop production and general agricultural entities. This includes the development of an irrigation system linked to the Bushman's River. It is understood that most of this land is subject to land restitution claims. Therefore, the target beneficiaries should be the land restitution claimants. The maintenance of the existing canal system is the responsibility of Umtshezi Municipality.

- Integrated environmental management system which includes the Bushman's River system, open space system and environmentally sustainable development.
- While Weenen town centre should serve as the main commercial area, the node along the main road should be consolidated as a civic centre for the delivery of social services. The area should be considered for the location of various government services and a tourism information office.
- The urban boundary should be redefined to include the urban built-up area and the immediate small agricultural lots which are proposed for future residential development.

Estcourt

Estcourt is identified in the SDF as a sub-regional centre (primary node), and a constituent part of Uthukela economic system. Its threshold extends beyond the municipal area and includes portions of Imbazazane and Mooi Mpofana Municipalities. Future spatial planning and development in the area should focus on the following:

 Defining a clear urban edge (fence) as a means to contain urban sprawl and promote urban densification, while also integrating the town functionally to the N3 national corridor.



□ Land along Umtshezi River should be developed as a green corridor comprising of active open space or recreational areas and urban agriculture.
□ The waste disposal site located to the south of the landing strip should be relocated to pave way for the upgrading of the landing strip and development of the area as a mixed land use precinct.

- Settlement such as Rensbergdrift located just outside the current scheme boundary poses a serious threat to this ideal, and should be controlled.
- Land located between the railway line and the river from the CBD to Estcourt North off-ramp should be planned and developed as a mixed land use activity corridor. The area has potential for a system mix of industrial, commercial, residential and other types of land uses. The main road services as a spine and is not subject to limited access controls (at least within the TPS area).
- In regenerating the CBD the Municipality needs to focus its energy on a series of principles which should be regarded as the major components of urban regeneration in CBDs. These principles are include establishing effective CBD management systems, building public confidence in the CBD, creating an attractive public environment; promoting new markets and the 24-hour CBD; and focusing development energy into specific areas so as to obtain the maximum benefit.
- Future residential development in Estcourt should provide for the delivery of a range of housing products with a particular focus on opening housing opportunities for the poor in close proximity to employment and social opportunity areas. Te plan identifies at least three areas for future residential development.
- Upgrading of the existing informal settlements (using the housing delivery program) so as to curb uncontrolled future

- expansion. The municipality should develop and implement a policy to address land invasions.
- Direction of growth should move towards the N3 so as to seize the development opportunities the N3 presents to Estcourt and create a strong functional linkage between the N3 and the town. As such, all the N3 off-ramps have been identified as potential missed land use nodes with Estcourt South having potential to develop into a light industrial node.
- Development of an integrated open space system focusing mainly on the integration of open space and its use to promote integrated and sustainable development. This includes a clear identification of green corridors and a formulation of rules to govern development along these areas.
- Urban agriculture in selected areas, particularly along the Umtshezi River. Areas not suitable for development or that may not be required for development in the short to medium term should be left as agricultural (grazing) areas.

Land Use Management Framework

The primary aim of the Land Use Management Framework (LUMF) is to bridge the gap between the Integrated Development Plan and the detailed requirements of land use management applied at municipal level. Although it is not a legal requirement, it is an important aspect of spatial planning. It provides for the refinement of the SDF, identification of areas that require different levels of detail in terms of land use schemes and the formulation of broad principles to guide the development of land use schemes. It enables development

control, at differing levels of complexity, to extend over rural areas, and giving property owners, developers and the authorities a clear point of reference from which to manage the conservation and development of land.

Umtshezi Land Use Management Scheme would be based on both policy and zoning system. Land use policies would be developed mainly for agricultural, conservation and settlement areas while zoning system would be used primarily in the urban areas. This will occur as follows (refer to Map 25):

- o A comprehensive Land Use Scheme (LUS) for Estcourt Town.
- o A primary Land Use Scheme for Wembezi and Weenen.
- Elementary schemes for the settlements areas.
- Environmental Management Overlay as a means to integrate environment management into the administration of the scheme.
 This overlay will identity and introduces controls that apply specifically to the environmentally sensitive areas.

A parent zone refers to an area that is set aside for a particular bundle of land use rights. They identify land set aside for different land uses, and form the basis for the identification of sub-zones (districts) based on potential impact and intensity of development. Ideally, there should be a continuum of land use districts ranging from intrusive and high impact uses to low impact uses.

Land Use Scheme may also identify special zones as a means to enable the municipality to manage land developments with unique or specific characteristics and where a proposed land use does not comply with the standard zones. As a key aim of a Scheme is clarity, the use of Special Zones should be kept to a minimum. Besides Special Site Planning Areas that would

have controls for the duration of the development, all other Special Zones should be temporary mechanisms with the specific intention that they will be incorporated into a scheme during the regular scheme review process. Land reform projects are specifically identified as special zone areas for the following number of reasons:

- They planned settlements and/or land use areas with an approved plan.
- They are established outside of the LUMS framework through a piece legislation that is not administered by the municipality.
- In most instances, actual development on the ground does not follow the provisions and stipulations of the plan.

Within a zone a municipality may wish to provide for different intensities of development, over fairly large areas or relatively small areas. The intensity of development can be described in a number of ways, including density (in terms of number of dwelling units per hectare), minimum lot sizes, bulk (in absolute terms, or as a composite of coverage) and height. The scheme shall make provision for at least four types of development rights, namely:

- Freely permitted: This category includes land uses that are considered to be compatible with the surrounding land uses and which may be permitted by the municipality.
- Development Permit: This category includes compatible and/or ancillary uses considered to be low impact uses that require limited procedural mechanisms for consideration. The procedure usually involves obtaining written consent from the neighbours or community consent.

- Special Consent Use Permit: This category includes ancillary uses that might have a more intrusive impact and may require special conditions to protect the amenity of the area or mitigate the impact of the proposed use.
- Prohibited Uses: This category includes land uses which are considered to be incompatible with the surrounding land uses, and which a municipality is precluded from considering.

The municipality will make use of an overlay to depict an area of environmental importance or an Environmental Service Area where it is appropriate to zone an area for a particular use but where additional controls are required to sustain the provision of specific environmental services in an area. The use of the overlay implies that there will be an element of management applied to the affected area. The area depicted on an Environmental Service overlay may not be based on cadastral base, but factors such as extent of flood line. Areas to be depicted on an Environmental Service Overlay include:


- Open space systems including fully functional, partially functional, and isolated areas, water courses, wetlands
- Areas of indigenous vegetation, breeding sites, etc.
- Other elements as determined by the municipality concerned e.g. sites of cultural or archaeological significance
- o Catchment management areas and areas of bio-diversity.


The LUS should define and introduce measures to conserve high potential agricultural land. An agriculture parent zone has, as its primary aim, the identification, protection and reservation of land which has agricultural value and that should be optimally utilised in accordance with national and provincial legislation, policy and guidelines related to sustainable development, agricultural production and use and or protection of natural resources. It may include the following sub-zones:


- Agriculture only zone where the primary use is agriculture and buildings ancillary thereto.
- An agricultural zone where low impact non-agricultural uses and activities (agri-tourism, limited processing, etc) may be allowed, but the primary use remain agriculture
- Agriculture zone where a range of non-agricultural uses (e.g agricultural industry such as milling, game farming, themes estates or resorts, etc) with potential to impact on agricultural practices may be permitted.


A consolidated spatial development framework for Umtshezi Municipality is presented on Map 26.


Development Areas






















































































CONCLUSION

1. SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK AND LUMS

• Our SDF has been approved and adopted by Council. LUMS as well has been adopted. We take pride on the fact that we have a well-prepared SDF, which is fully aligned to the PSEDS and the NSDP. We have improved drastically on the Implementation Plan for the rollout to ensure the full implementation of the recommendations reflected within our SDF. This was designed to ensure that we implement all the recommendations within our adopted SDF. EMP was prepared as part of the adopted SDF. Proper incorporation of all environmental sector plans was realised this time around. We have covered the details of our Capital Investment Framework within Our CIP. This will give a certain degree of indication of the proportion of our municipal budget that will be spent on priority corridors and nodes identified within our SDF. Further details to this are also provided within our comprehensive adopted SDF. We have accordingly considered all matters of emphasis earnestly to try and ensure ongoing improvement in our current IDP.

2. IMPLICATIONS OF KZN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ACT 6 of 2008

• We are aware of the implications with respect to the anticipated role of the municipal IDP to assist in the processing of certain types of Development Applications and with respect to LUMS as we start to implement the provisions of the PDA. We are prepared to incorporate norms and standards into our Integrated Development Plans and Scheme. We take joy to the fact that our municipality has fully participated and is participating in the PDA process since it was incepted. This municipality has been and will continue to participate in all intergovernmental engagements. We have a diligent IDP Manager who always strive hard to source information for the organisation and go an extra mile to engage all sector departments in an attempt to ensure proper alignment with all their sector plans and MTEF's.

RESPONSE TO MEC LETTER

The comments of the MEC are noted. The High Level Spatial development Framework forms part of the full SDF and therefore it is not form part of an annexure.

SECTION E SECTORATIVO EVENENT

SECTOR INVOLVEMENT TO ACHIEVE CREDIBLE IDP

In it's aim to achieve a credible IDP 2011/2012 with inputs from Sector Departments, the municipality organized two stakeholder meetings to engage the sector departments on the projects, programmes and budgets for the IDP. Despite the timeous invitations the attendance was very poor. The sector department submitted the following information:

1. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

LAND REFORM PROJECTS

| AREA | INTERVENTION | BUDGET |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Thuthuka Mgwenya | Livestock | R 450 000. 00 |
| Cecillia Killian | Livestock | R 225 000. 00 |
| Cecillia Base | Livestock | R 225 000. 00 |
| Baviaan Krantz Emseni | Livestock | R 660 000. 00 |
| Hampton Green | Livestock | R 30 000. 00 |
| Mgwenya | Livestock | R 365 467. 00 |

2. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SETTLTMENTS

| Name | Project | Instrument | Total | End Date | 11/12 | Total Cost |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------|------------|--------|-------------------|
| | Stage | | Units | | Budget | |
| Msobetsheni | New | PLS | 500 | 31/03/2012 | 50 000 | TBD |
| Mimosadale Ph 2 | New | PLS | 1000 | 2011/2012 | 50 000 | TBD |
| Rensburgdrift | Conditional | PLS | 1 000 | 2011/2012 | 78M | 78M |
| Owl and | New | PLS | 400 | 2011/2012 | 50 000 | TBD |
| Elephant | | | | | | |

3. <u>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS</u>

| PROGRAMME | SUB PROG | PROJECT | TOTAL | COMP | 2011/2012 | 2012/2013 | 2013/2011 |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------|------------|------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| ' | | NAME | BUDGET | DATE | | | |
| District | Upgrades, | Estcourt | R | | R | RO | RO |
| hospitals | additions | replacement clinic | 12.000.000 | | 12.000.000 | | |
| District | Upgrades, | Estcourt | R | | | | |

| Hospitals | additions | Hospital | 129.100.000 | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----|----|
| District | Upgrades, | Estcourt | R81.105.000 | | | |
| Hospitals | additions | Hospital | | | | |
| District | Upgrades, | Estcourt | R 685.000 | R685.000 | RO | RO |
| Hospitals | additions | Hospital | | | | |
| District | Repairs and | Estcourt | R 9.330.000 | | | |
| Hospital | renovations | Hospital | | | | |
| | to nurses | | | | | |
| | homes and | | | | | |
| | wards | | | | | |
| Estcourt Prison | Upgrades, | | R 180 000 | R 180 000 | | |
| | additions | | 000 | 000 | | |

4.DEPARTMENT OF ARTS CULTURE AND TOURISM

| PROGRAMME | ACTIVITY | TIME FRAME | BUDGET |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Providing support to Arts | Facilitate meetings at local and | | R 60 000 |
| & Culture Forums | regional level | | (District) |
| Moral regeneration | Skills development workshop and | | R 75 000 |
| | training children's act | | (District) |
| | Disabled Skills development | | |
| | Workshop | | |
| Visual Arts and Craft | Arts & Craft Exhibition & | | R 40 000 |
| Promotion | Marketing | | (District) |
| Performing Arts and Visual | Design, Develop and Implement | | R 50 000 |
| Arts & Craft Development | a Training Programme | | (District) |
| Performing Arts | Design, develop and | | R 45 000 |
| | Implement a training | | (District) |
| | programme | | |
| Music song and dance | Auditions/ Identification, | | R 190 000 |
| project | mentorship district selection kzn | | (District) |
| | performing Arts Festival | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Exhibition | Publicity, Identification, Selection, | R 50 000 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | District Exhibition, Provincial | (District) |
| | Exhibition | |
| Theatre, Hip Hop & Poetry | Auditions/ Identification, | R 90 000 |
| | Mentorship District Exhibition | (District) |
| | KZN Performing Arts Festival | |
| Affiliated Libraries Support | Library services | Ongoing support |

5. ESKOM

| PROJECT NAME | BUDGET | NUMBER OF CONNECTIONS |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Ekuthuleni - Colenso | R 1.600.975 | 213 |
| Nhlawe | R 8.105.500 | 1247 |
| Kamberg Mdwebu interconnector | R 305. 786.66 | Pre-engineering |
| Mdwebu SS 2.5MVA 11/22kV | R 4.483.489.36 | Pre-engineering |

6. MIG FUNDING :CIVIL - TOTAL BUDGET - R 8.739.000(2010/2011),

R10.510.000(2011/2012),R12.779.000(2012/2013)

| PROJECT NAME | BUDGET | STATUS |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Brymbella Gravel road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Phofini Gravel Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Esiphethwini Gravel Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Frere Creche | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Gomba Gravel Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Madondo Gravel Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Msobotsheni Gravel Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Mhlumba Creche | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Mahashini Creche | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Chievely Gravel Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Ganahoek Gravel Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Nkaseni Access Road | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Mshayazafe Community Hall | Awaiting confirmation | |
| Ezintendeni Gravel Road: | Awaiting confirmation | |

| Rehabilitation | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| Nontehe Creche | Awaiting confirmation | |

7. KZN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

NEW SCHOOLS

There are no schools planned for this Financial Year.

UPGRADES AND ADDITIONS

| Name of school | Budget |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| Kwenzokuhle Primary | R 1000 000 |
| Heavitree Primary | R 2 260 000 |
| Cecilia Primary | R 8 370 000 |
| Celukuphiwa Primary | R 14 610 000 |
| Stockton Primary | R 750 000 |
| Selbourne Primary | R 750 000 |
| Weenen Combined | R 750 000 |
| Florencebooth Primary | R 750 000 |

WATER AND SANITATION

| Name of school | Budget |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Riverdale Primary | R 760 000 |

EZEMVELO KZN WILDLIFE

| Name of project | Budget |
|---|--------------|
| Weenen Combined School Computer Project | R 206 868.25 |

SECTION F MELENEATANON REAL

CHAPTER 5

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND MONITORING

| UMTSHEZI KEY CHALLENGES | OBJECTIVES | STRATEGIES | KEY OUTCOME | TIME |
|---|--|---|--|---------|
| | | | | FRAMES |
| Lack of Skills Most of the community members are illiterate because there was no money for their education. Therefore Umtshezi community is lacking skills | To create an environment that allows training and development | The Umtshezi Municipality must assist in sourcing bursaries, In-service training, Internships and Learnerships in partnership with relevant stakeholders. | The community will be economically active and they will be able to participate in different programs. | Ongoing |
| Communication There is a communication breakdown between the Municipality and the community which therefore result in slow progress of Municipal Development Processes | To ensure full community participation in Development process of the Municipality will be ensured. Ensure that there is effective and efficient internal communication channels | The Municipal Communication Strategy has been developed and must be implemented | The community will be continuously updated about what is happening in their area through the Municipality. | Ongoing |
| There is no water and electricity in some of the areas within Umtshezi Municipality. Even the farmers use boreholes because there is lack of Infrastructure for services | To facilitate the process for the District Municipality to provide bulk Infrastructure to the community for water supply and ESKOM to address electricity backlogs. | The Municipality need to Formulate Infrastructure Business Plan to source funds | There will be fully-serviced households. | 2014 |
| Under-resourced Service Centres (nodes) Banks and shopping centres are concentrated in Estcourt. Community members from Weenen and Wembezi have to travel to Estcourt for these service centres | To Improve Socio-economic Development in Service Centres | Enabling environments for investors in Service Centres have to be created. | There will be well-resourced Service Centres | 2012 |
| Inadequate Safety and Security. There is a shortage of Police Stations in the municipality with the result that many clusters are not covered. This makes | To create a Safer Town and surroundings where all citizens enjoy a better quality of life is in great need. | The Municipality needs to Develop the Crime Prevention Strategy and Provide basic households needs. | There will be a Safe and Secured environment. | Ongoing |

| effective policing virtually non-existent and | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| leads to an increase of criminal elements | | | | |
| Umtshezi is however served by SAPS | | | | |
| Estcourt; Weenen and Wembezi, also | | | | |
| Estcourt Stock Theft Unit. The SAPS | | | | |
| together with the Department of Justice | | | | |
| and the South African Demarcation Board | | | | |
| are in the process of re-aligning SAPS, | | | | |
| Magisterial and Municipal boundaries | | | | |
| which might bring about minor changes to | | | | |
| the boundaries of the various stations | | | | |
| serving the Umtshezi Local Municipality | | | | |
| There is a High Prevalence of Epidemic | To minimise the prevalence | Consultants need to be | Prevalence of Epidemic and | 2012 |
| and Pandemic diseases because the | of Epidemic and Pandemic | appointed to help the | Pandemic diseases will | |
| community is not well informed of these | diseases. | community with Health | definitely be reduced. | |
| diseases such as HIV/Aids, Tuberculosis and Kwashiorkor | | Awareness Programs | | |
| and Kwasillorkoi | | | | 2014 |
| Low Economic Growth and investment | To create an enabling | Economic Growth Sectors | This will create a Vibrant | 2014 |
| There is a potential of high economic | environment to Economic | and engage potential | Economic Growth and | |
| growth because Estcourt is situated in | Growth Sectors and engage | investors will be identified. | Investment. | |
| between of Durban and Johannesburg, on | potential investors. The | Offering of incentives to | | |
| a main road and railway line. | Municipality also need to | willing investors and Maintain | | |
| | adjust the rates to avoid | existing investors | | |
| | factories from leaving the | | | |
| | area. | | | |

SECTION G PROJECTS

PROJECTS SCHEDULE PER KPA

1. BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT:

1.1 ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. NO. | FUNDER | REMARK | CAS | HFLOW | |
|--|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | | | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| 1.Replace Transformer, Cables & Switch | ISE1 | DBSA | | 0 | 500.000.00 | 300.000.00 |
| Sub 57 upgrade Part1: Switchgear protection, HV bay) | ISE 2 | DBSA | | 4.500.000.00 | 3 000 000.00 | 3000 000.00 |
| 3.Replace Circuit Breakers | ISE 3 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 363.000 | 330.000 | 330 000.00 |
| 4.Street lights: Wembezi A+C, Rensburg Drift and High Mast in Rensburg Drift | ISE 4 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 0 | 363.000.00 | 363 000.00 |
| 5.Protection Upgrade for substation | ISE 5 | Umtshezi Municipality | | R 363.000 | 363.000 | 363.000 |
| 6.Scada system | ISE 6 | Umtshezi Municipality | Enable remote switching | 200.000.00 | 500 000.00 | 500 000.00 |
| 7.Electrification Projects | ISE 7 | INEP | | 4.500.000 | 851 000.00 | 0 |
| 8. Replacement of Cherry Picker Truck | ISE8 | DBSA | | | 0 | 0 |
| Undertake street light improvement | ISE 9 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 50 000.00 | 100 000.00 | 50 000 |
| 10. Western Side | ISE 10 | Province | | | 300 000.00 | 300 000.00 |
| 10Tools and Equipment | ISE 10 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 100 000.00 | 200 000. 00 | |

1.2 CIVIL ENGINEERING

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. NO. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASH | FLOW | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------|-------------|------------|----------|
| | | | | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| 1Roller | ISC1 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | 450 000.00 | |
| 2. Roller Trailer | ISC2 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | 300 000.00 | |
| 3Truck 6m3 | ISC3 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | |
| 4. Concrete/Asphalt Roller | ISC4 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 100 000.00 | | |
| 5. 1000 Diesel Trailer with pump and meter | ISC5 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 50 000.00 | | |
| 6Tar Road – slurry to existing road | ISC6 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7New tarred roads 1 km - Estcourt | ISC7 | Umtshezi Muhicipality | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gravel Road – NEQW road 50km – including low water crossing | ISC8 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9. EPWP Programme | ISC9 | Umtshezi Municality | | 0 | 150 000.00 | 0 |
| 10. Grader | ISC10 | DBSA | | 0 | 0 | |
| 11. TLB | ISC11 | DBSA | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12.Tipper Trucks | ISC 12 | DBSA | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13. Roller Trailers | ISC 13 | DBSA | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14. MIG Project | ISC 14 | MIG | | 8. 010. 000 | 10279000 | 13482000 |

1.3 HUMAN SETTLEMENTS(Funding detailed in the sector plan)

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. NO. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASHF | LOW | |
|--|-------------|-------------------|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | 2011/012 | 2012/ 013 | 2013/ 14 |
| Wembezi A Housing Development | ISH1 | KZN Dept of HS | 100 Units approved and handed over to beneficiaries. / Some transfers outstanding | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wembezi C Phase Two Housing Development | ISH2 | KZN Dept of HS | Development completed and awaiting ownership Transfer to beneficiaries. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kwanobamba Phase One Housing Development | ISH3 | KZN Dept of HS | Development completed and awaiting ownership Transfer to beneficiaries. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kwanobamba Phase Two Housing Development | ISH4 | KZN Dept of HS | Complete | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Kwezi Hostel Upgrade | ISH5 | KZN Dept of HS | Construction to begin in June 2008. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. Brewit Park Housing Development | ISH6 | KZN Dept of HS | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. Mimosadale Urban Renewal (Ward 3) | ISH7 | KZN Dept of HS | | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| 8. Mimosadale Insitu Upgrade (Ward 3) | ISH8 | KZN Dept of HS | | R 5 million | R10 million | R 20 million |
|---|--------|-------------------|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Wembezi C Phase Three (Ward 2) | ISH9 | KZN Dept of HS | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10. Wembezi A Phase Two (Ward 1) | ISH10 | KZN Dept of HS | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rensbergdrift Housing Development 12.1.Construction of Top Structures (Houses). | ISH 11 | KZN Dept of HS | | R 5 million | R 10 million | R 20 million |
| 12. Msobotsheni,Engodini and Nhlawe Housing Development | ISH12 | KZN Dept of HS | Application submitted to Human Settlements for Msobotsheni only | 0 | 0 | |
| 12.1. Construction of Top Structures (Houses). | | | | | | |
| 12.2.Bulk Water (Engodini) | SH13 | Uthukela DM | The KZN DOH is no longer funding Bulk Services and as a result the Uthukela District Municipality is funding the service from its MIG Funds. | R4 075 000.00 | | |
| 12.3.Bulk Sewer (Engodini) | ISH14 | Uthukela DM | The KZN DOH is no longer funding Bulk Services and as a result the Uthukela District Municipality is funding the service from its MIG Funds. | R2 035 000.00 | | |

| 13. Thembalihle Housing Development | ISH15 | KZN Dept of HS | Project cannot be submitted for Preparation Funding due to Land Issues. | 0 | 0 | |
|--|--------|-------------------|---|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 14. Cornfields, Chievely and Frere Housing Development | ISH16 | KZN Dept of HS | Application submitted to Human Settlements for Cornfields only | 0 | 0 | |
| 15. Papkuilsfontein Phase Two | ISH17 | KZN Dept of HS | EIA and Bulk Services to be finalised | 0 | 0 | |
| 16. Bambanani Machunu Housing Development | ISH18 | KZN Dept of HS | Lack of bulk services | 0 | 0 | |
| 17. Owl and Elephant Housing Development | ISH19 | KZN Dept of HS | Application submitted to Human Settlements | R5 million | R 10 million | R 20 million |
| 18. Wembezi Urban Renewal project | ISH 20 | National Treasury | Grant funding approved | R30 million | | |
| 19. Nobamba Agricultural Catchment Node including refurbishment of Weenen Canals | ISH 21 | UDM, UM, DWAF | Awaiting Approval | R50million | | |

1.4 CORPORATE SERVICES

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASHFLOW | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|-----------|------------|---------------|
| | NO. | | | 2011/012 | 2012/ 013 | 2013/ 14 |
| 1. Replacement of air conditioners – MM, PA, | COR1 | CNL | | 0 | 100 000.00 | 0 |
| Mayors PA | | | | | | |
| 2. Lap Top | COR2 | CNL | | 15000.00 | | |
| Paint Main Building | COR3 | CNL | | | 200 000.00 | |
| 4. Furniture in the Foyer | COR4 | CNL | | 10 000.00 | | |
| MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS5. Paint Main BuildingOffices | COR5 | CNL | | 0 | 200 000.00 | 0 |
| 6. Recarpet Main Building | COR6 | CNL | | | | 300 000.00 |
| TOWN LIBRARY 7. Air Conditioner and Air Curtain | COR7 | CNL | | 60 000.00 | 50 000.00 | 0 |
| 8. Study Tables and Chairs | COR8 | CNL | | 15 000.00 | 10 000.00 | 0 |
| 9. Computers x7 and 2 printers | COR9 | CNL | | 40 000.00 | 0 | 10 000.00 |
| COUNCIL 10.Tables and Chairs | COR10 | CNL | | 80 000.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 11. Cladding | COR11 | CNL | | | | |

| 12. Crockery, cutlery and | COR12 | CNL | 20 000.00 | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Tablecloths | | | | | |
| TOWN HALL OFFICES | | | | | |
| 13. Computer and Printer - | COR13 | CNL | | 15 000 | 0 |
| senior Caretaker | | | | | |
| 14. Hall Chairs | COR 14 | CNL | | 80 000.00 | |
| 15. Refurbish Town Hall | COR 15 | CNL | | 250.000 | |
| COLLITA HALL | | | | | |
| 16. Security Fencing (concrete) | COR16 | CNL | 0 | 170 000 | 0 |
| 17. Repainting | COR17 | CNL | 0 | 20 000.00 | 0 |
| 18.Upgrade Parking area | COR18 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 30 000.00 |
| 19. Install fans | COR19 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| FORDERVILLE HALL | | | | | |
| 20. Refurbish Hall | COR20 | CNL | 0 | 100 000.00 | 0 |
| 21. Hall Chairs | COR21 | CNL | 0 | 10 000 | 80000 |
| 22.Curtaining | COR22 | CNL | 0 | 50 000 | 0 |
| 23. Upgrade parking area | COR23 | CNL | 0 | 50 000.00 | 0 |
| 24. Upgrade kitchen | COR24 | CNL | 0 | 30 000.00 | 0 |
| 25. Upgrade outdoor cooking | COR 25 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 50 000.00 |
| 26. Install fans | COR 26 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| FORDERVILLE LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 27. Air Conditioner | COR27 | CNL | 0 | 30 000.00 | 0 |
| 28. Chair x24 | COR28 | CNL | 0 | 5000.00 | 0 |

| 29. Music Centre | COR29 | CNL | 0 | 1000.00 | 0 |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----|--------|------------|-----------|
| PAAPKUILSFONTEIN HALL | | | | | |
| 30. Burglar Guards(windows) | COR30 | CNL | 10 000 | 0 | 20 000.00 |
| 31. Fans | COR31 | CNL | 5000 | 1000 | 0 |
| 32. Increase toilet facilities | COR32 | CNL | 0 | 20 000.00 | 0 |
| 33. Install Kitchen | COR33 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34. Install security fencing and | COR34 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 30 000.00 |
| gates | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| FORT DURNFORD MUSEUM | | | | | |
| 35. Re-thatching of huts | COR 35 | CNL | 0 | 50 000 | 0 |
| 36. Office desk and chairs | COR 36 | CNL | 0 | 3000.00 | 0 |
| 37. Office carpet and curtains | COR 37 | CNL | 0 | 3000.00 | 0 |
| 38. Air conditioner | COR38 | CNL | 0 | 5000.00 | 0 |
| 39. Microwave | COR39 | CNL | 0 | 1000.00 | 0 |
| 40. New signage | COR 40 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 2000.00 |
| PROTECTION SERVICES | | | | | |
| 41. Robot(Gerrys Motors) | COR 41 | CNL | 0 | 200.000.00 | 0 |
| 42. Refurbish Traffic offices | COR 42 | CNL | 0 | 200 000.00 | 0 |
| WEMBEZI | | | | | |
| 43. Polisher | COR43 | CNL | 0 | 3000.00 | 0 |
| 44. Security Lights | COR44 | CNL | 0 | 5000.00 | 5000 |
| 45. Tables and chairs | COR 45 | CNL | 0 | 2000.00 | 0 |
| 46. Counter chairsx4 | COR 46 | CNL | 0 | 2000.00 | 0 |

| 47. Painting | COR47 | CNL | 0 | 30 000.00 | 0 |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----------|-----------|
| 48. Fitted office cupboards | COR48 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 10 000.00 |
| WEMBEZI COMMUNITY HALL | | | | | |
| 49. Refurbish Hall roof | COR49 | CNL | 0 | 77.200 | 0 |
| 50. Curtaining | COR50 | CNL | 0 | 10.000.00 | 0 |
| 51. Upgrade Kitchen | COR51 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 20 000.00 |
| 52. Install fans | COR52 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| 53. Chairs | COR53 | CNL | 0 | 20 000.00 | 0 |
| WEMBEZI COMMUNITY HALL | | CNL | | | |
| C SECTION | | | | | 20 000.00 |
| 54. Burglar Guards | COR54 | | 10 000 | 0 | 20 000.00 |
| 55. Tarred Parking | COR 55 | CNL | | 100 000 | |
| MIMOSADALE COMMUNITY | | CNL | | | |
| HALL | | | | | |
| 56. Burglar Guards(windows) | COR56 | | 10 000 | 0 | 20 000.00 |
| 57. Tarred Parking | COR 57 | CNL | 0 | 100 000 | 0 |
| 58. Tables | COR58 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000. 00 |
| 59. Install fans | COR59 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 10 000.00 |
| 60. Upgrade parking area | COR60 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 10 000.00 |
| CORNFIELDS COMMUNITY | | | | | |
| HALL | | | | | |
| 61. Burglar guards(windows) | COR 61 | CNL | 10 000 | 0 | 20 000 |
| 62. Tarred Parking | COR62 | CNL | 0 | 100 000 | 0 |
| 63. Table | COR63 | CNL | 0 | 10 000.00 | 0 |
| 64. Install ceiling fan | COR64 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000.00 |

| KWANOBAMBA HALL | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----|--------|-----------|-----------|
| 65. Burglar guards(windows) | COR65 | CNL | 10 000 | 50 000.00 | 20 000.00 |
| 66. Tarred Parking | COR66 | CNL | 0 | 100 000 | 0 |
| 67. Tables | COR67 | CNL | 0 | 20 000 | 0 |
| 68. Install ceiling fan | COR68 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| WEENEN COMMUNITY HALL | | | | | |
| 69.Hall(major repairs) | COR69 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEENEN MUSEUM | | | | | |
| 70.Painting and repairs | COR70 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71. Repair ox wagon | COR71 | CNL | | | |
| 72. New signage | COR72 | CNL | 0 | 2000.00 | 2000.00 |
| 73. Visitors chairs | COR73 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 2000.00 |
| WEENEN LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 74. Repair floor | COR74 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 75. Computer and printer | COR75 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76. General Library furniture | COR76 | CNL | 0 | 15000.00 | 0 |

1.5 FINANCE

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASHFLOW | | |
|----------------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | NO. | | | 2011/012 | 2012/ 013 | 2013/ 014 |
| Furniture and Office | FIN1 | CNL | | 0 | 7000.00 | 0 |
| equipment | | | | | | |
| 2. Software | FIN2 | CNL | | 20 000.00 | 50 000.00 | 0 |
| 3. Computersx5 | FIN3 | CNL | | 30 000.00 | 100 | 0 |

1.6 PLANNING, ECONOMIC & COMMUNITY SERVICES

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASHFLOW | | |
|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | NO. | | | 2011/012 | 2012/ 013 | 2013/ 14 |
| REFUSE SERVICES | | | | | | |
| <u>WEMBEZI</u> | | | | 0 | 850 000 | 0 |
| 1. rear end compactor with | PEC1 | CNL | | | | |
| winch | | | | | | |
| 2. Tractorx1 | PEC2 | CNL | | | 150 000 | 150 000 |
| 3. Trailers | PEC3 | CNL | | | 100 000 | |
| 4. Shelters for tractors – | PEC4 | CNL | | | 25 000 | |
| Ehlathini workshop area | | | | | | |
| WEENEN | | | | | | |
| 5. Tractor | PEC5 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. Trailors | PEC6 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ESTCOURT/WEMBEZI | | | | 0 | 2000000 | 0 |

| 7. Skip Loader (replace NE | PEC7 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| 9810) | | | | | |
| 8. TLB | PEC8 | | 0 | 600 000 | 0 |
| 9. Tipper Truck | PEC9 | CNL | 0 | 400 000 | 0 |
| 10. Rear End Compactor with | PEC10 | | 0 | 2000000 | 0 |
| winchx2 | | | | | |
| 11. Borehole | PEC11 | CNL | 50 000 | 2000000 | 0 |
| 12. Water Pump | PEC12 | CNL | 10 000 | 10 000 | 0 |
| 13. Fencing – Landfill site | PEC13 | MIG | 2500000 | 2500000 | 0 |
| 14. Trenches | PEC14 | CNL | | | 5000 |
| 15. Installation – electricity | PEC15 | | 0 | 50 000 | 0 |
| landfill site | | | | | |
| 16. Weigh Bridge office | PEC16 | | 50 000 | 0 | 0 |
| 17. Weigh Bridge | PEC17 | | 10 000 | | |
| 18. Tools | PEC18 | CNL | 10 000 | 10 000 | |
| 19. Heavy Duty grease | PEC19 | CNL | 2000 | 2000 | |
| gun(replacement) | | | | | |
| 20. High Pressure washer | PEC20 | CNL | | 2000 | |
| PARKS AND VERGES | | | | | |
| WEMBEZI | | | | | |
| 21. Tractorx1 | PEC21 | CNL | 0 | 275.000 | 250.000 |
| 22. Slashers (tractorx2) | PEC22 | CNL | 40.000 | 44.000 | 35.300 |
| 23. Kubota Tractor x1 | PEC23 | CNL | 200.000 | 220.000 | 0 |
| 24. brushcutters x6 | PEC24 | CNL | 45.000 | 50.000 | 0 |
| 25. Chainsaw x1 | PEC25 | CNL | 8000 | 9000 | 0 |

| 26. Childrens playground – C & | PEC26 | CNL | 0 | 60.000 | 0 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----|-------|---------------|-----------|
| VQ section | | | | | |
| 27. Combi – court- resurfacing | PEC27 | CNL | 0 | 40.000 | 0 |
| 28. Slasher with box x1 | PEC28 | CNL | | | 110000 |
| ESTCOURT | | | | | |
| 29. Tractor x3 | PEC29 | CNL | 250.0 | 500.000 | 1.200.000 |
| 30. Parks office and workshop | PEC30 | CNL | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| extension | | | | | |
| 32. Workshop Tools | PEC32 | CNL | 10.00 | 00 10.000 | 0 |
| 33. Slashers x2 | PEC33 | CNL | 40.00 | 00 40.000 | 0 |
| 34. Kubota Tractor | PEC34 | CNL | 0 | 200.000 | 200.000 |
| 35. Gyromower roller x1 | PEC35 | CNL | 25.00 | 25.000 | |
| 36. Gyromower box x1 | PEC36 | CNL | 25.00 | 00 25.000 | 0 |
| 37. Chainsaw | PEC37 | CNL | 8.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 38. Palyground Equipment | PEC38 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (upgrade and new equipment) | | | | | |
| 39.Brushcutters | PEC39 | CNL | 70.00 | 0 0 | 0 |
| 40. CRU - Hostel | PEC40 | | 20.00 | 200000000 | 20000000 |
| 41.NDPG grant | PEC41 | | 4.000 | 0.000 3000000 | 1000000 |
| 42. Marking machine | PEC42 | CNL | 17.00 | 0 0 | 0 |
| WEENEN | | | | | |
| 43. Tractor | PEC43 | CNL | 0 | 250000 | 0 |

| 44. Slasher | PEC44 | CNL | 20.000 | 0 | 0 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----|--------|---------|---------|
| 45. Brushcutters | PEC45 | CNL | 45.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 46. Gyromower | PEC46 | CNL | 50.000 | | |
| 47. Renovation of Building | | | | | |
| (painting of toilets, roofs, doors, | | | | | |
| gates) | | | | | |
| 48. Sportfield development | PEC48 | CNL | | | 250.000 |
| (Kwanobamba) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| PARKS AND RECREATION | | | | | |
| PARKS ADMIN - ESTCOURT | | | | | |
| 49. Computer | PEC49 | CNL | 5.000 | 20.000 | 0 |
| 50. Digital Camera | PEC50 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 2.000 |
| 51.Health and Safety | PEC51 | CNL | 6000 | | |
| equipment (Building Control | | | | | |
| Officer) | | | | | |
| 52. Office furniture and | PEC52 | CNL | 15.000 | | |
| Equipment(Building Control | | | | | |
| Officer) | | | | | |
| 53. Kubota Tractor | PEC53 | CNL | | 100.000 | |
| 54. Roller mowers 1.2m | PEC54 | CNL | | 100.000 | |
| 55. Playgroung Equipment | PEC55 | CNL | | | 80 200 |
| WEMBEZI | | | | | |
| 56. Slashers (tractorsx 2) | PEC56 | CNL | | 275.000 | 35.300 |
| 57. Kubota Tractor | PEC57 | CNL | | 220.000 | |

| 58.Trailor for tractor | PEC58 | CNL | | | 50.000 |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----|--------|-----------|--------|
| 59. Chainsaw | PEC59 | CNL | | 9000 | |
| 60. Childrens Playground C | PEC60 | CNL | | | 60 000 |
| and VQ sections | | | | | |
| WEENEN | | | | | |
| 61Slasher x1 | PEC61 | CNL | 0 | 400.000 | 0 |
| 62.Park (Kwanobamba) | PEC62 | CNL | 0 | 275.000 | 0 |
| , | | | 0 | 275.000 | |
| 63. Gyromower | PEC63 | CNL | | | 55.000 |
| FIRE | | | | | |
| 64. major Fire tender(terminator | PEC64 | CNL | 0 | 2.500.000 | 0 |
| tanker pumper) | | | | | |
| 65. Torches x5 | PEC65 | CNL | 500 | 0 | 0 |
| 66.Life hammer x1 | PEC66 | CNL | 1.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 67.Fire Extinguishers x5 | PEC67 | CNL | 1.975 | 0 | 0 |
| 68. Foam Drums x20 | PEC68 | CNL | 50.000 | | |
| 69. Soccer Table x1 | PEC69 | CNL | | | 15000 |
| 70. TV set with DVD for | PEC70 | CNL | 5.000 | | |
| lectures | | | | | |
| 71. Tools | PEC71 | CNL | 20.000 | 30.000 | |
| 72. Akron Branch | PEC72 | CNL | 8.000 | 0 | 8.000 |
| 73. uniforms | PEC73 | CNL | | | |
| 74.Breathing apparatus x5 | PEC74 | CNL | 15.000 | 30.000 | 10.000 |
| 75. Gas Detector x1 | PEC75 | CNL | 12.000 | 0 | 40.000 |
| 76. Flood light x1 | PEC76 | CNL | | | |

| 77. Fire hoses | PEC77 | CNL | 30.000 | 50.000 | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----|---------|---------|-----------|
| 78. Measuring Wheel | PEC78 | CNL | 700 | | |
| 79. Laptop | PEC79 | CNL | 7.000 | | |
| 80. Hydrant pressure test | PEC80 | CNL | 200.000 | | |
| 81. Digital camera with flash | PEC81 | CNL | 2.000 | | |
| 82. Computer and Software | PEC82 | CNL | 7.000 | | 20.000 |
| CLININCS | | | | | |
| 83.Extension new clinic | PEC83 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 84. Furniture and Equipment | PEC84 | CNL | 5.000 | | 5000 |
| 85. Air conditioners | PEC85 | CNL | 20.000 | | |
| LOCAL ECONOMIC | | | | | |
| DEVELOPMENT | | | | | |
| 86. LED – Canning Factory and | PEC86 | CNL | 0 | 900.000 | 1.000.000 |
| market | | | | | |
| 87. Tourism PLan | PEC87 | CNL | 10.000 | 450.000 | |
| SPORTS FIELD- WEMBEZI | | | | | |
| 88. Ground Marking machine | PEC88 | CNL | 17.000 | 18.700 | |
| 89. Concrete Fencing – C | PEC89 | CNL | | 250.000 | |
| section soccer field | | | | | |
| 90. Regrassing of field and | PEC90 | CNL | | | 100000 |
| drainage – C section | | | | | |
| 91. Soccer nets | PEC91 | CNL | 25.000 | | |
| FORDERVILLE | | | | | |
| 92. Upgrade field and fencing | | | | | |

| <u>ESTCOURT</u> | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|-----|--------|---------|---------|
| 93. Lambert Park – | PEC93 | | 0 | 500000 | 0 |
| improvements facilities | | | | | |
| 94. Zamphumpu Hostel | PEC94 | | 0 | 110.000 | 40.000 |
| (drainage sports field – after | | | | | |
| hostel complete | | | | | |
| 95. Sports Equipment (soccer | PEC95 | | | 143.000 | 130.000 |
| and netball poles) | | | | | |
| 96. Cricket Screen | PEC96 | | 20.000 | | |
| | | | | | |
| AERODROME | | | | | |
| 97. Runway tarring | PEC97 | | 0 | 660.000 | 600.000 |
| 98. Palisade Fencing | PEC97 | | 0 | 660.000 | 600.000 |
| CEMETRIES - WEENEN | | | | | |
| 99. New cemetry – | PEC99 | CNL | 0 | 500.000 | 0 |
| Kwamobamba (land) | | | | | |
| 100. New cemetry EIA | PEC100 | CNL | | 100.000 | |
| assessment | | | | | |
| WEMBEZI | | | | | |
| 101. Establishemtn of cemetry | PEC101 | CNL | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| CLINICS | | | | | |
| 102. Park homes | PEC102 | CNL | 0 | 20.000 | 0 |
| 103. Construction of water | PEC103 | CNL | | 60.000 | |
| proof covering as waiting area | | | | | |

| 104. Additional male/female | PEC104 | CNL | | 300.000 | |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| toilet block | | | | | |
| 105. Medical Equipment | PEC105 | CNL | | 6000 | |
| Glucometer and | | | | | |
| haemoglobinmeter | | | | | |
| 106. TV and DVD for waiting | PEC106 | CNL | | 6000 | |
| room | | | | | |
| 107. Oil heater | PEC107 | CNL | | 600 | |
| 108. Fridge | PEC108 | CNL | | 3000 | |
| | | | | | |
| 109. <u>Estcourt</u> – development | PEC109 | CNL | | | 126 400 |
| of new cemetry | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| SWIMMING POOLS | | | | | |
| <u>ESTCOURT</u> | | | | | |
| 110. Bert tucker swimming pool | PEC110 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 50000 |
| painting | | | | | |
| 111. Bert tucker swimming pool | PEC111 | CNL | 200.000 | 50.000 | |
| filteration plant repairs(Phase2) | | | | | |
| 112. Hilldene swimming pool | PEC112 | CNL | 200.000 | 0 | 50.000 |
| fence replacement | | | | | |
| 113. Hilldene swimming pool | PEC113 | CNL | 0 | 0 | 80.000 |
| filteration plant repairs | | | | | |
| 114. Hilldene Pool painting | PEC114 | CNL | | 50.000 | |

| 115. Bert Tucker swimming | PEC115 | | | 90.000 | |
|-------------------------------|--------|----------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| pool re surfacing | | | | | |
| <u>ESTCOURT</u> | | | | | |
| 116. Hostel | PEC116 | Province / CRU | 0 | 7.000.000 | 7.000.000 |
| redevelopment/CRU | | | | | |
| programme | | | | | |
| 117. New cemetry EIA | PEC117 | CNL | | | |
| assessment | | | | | |
| WEMBEZI | | | | | |
| 118. Interchange/ LED project | PEC118 | CNL | 0 | 12400 | 7.000.000 |

1.7 MUNICIPAL MANAGER

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASHFLOW | | |
|------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | NO. | | | 2011/012 | 2012/ 013 | 2013/ 14 |
| 119. Blinds | MM119 | CNL | | 1000 | | |
| 120. Chairs | MM120 | CNL | | 1.500 | | |
| 121. Furniture and Equipment | MM121 | CNL | | | 5.000 | 10.000 |
| 122. Filing Cabinets | MM122 | CNL | | 0 | | |

2. MUNICIPAL Transformations AND INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. NO. | FUNDER | REMARK | CAS | CASHFLOW | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--------|------------|----------|---------|--|
| | | | | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | |
| 1.Councillors Education programme | IDT1 | Municipality | | 100 000.00 | 000.00 | | |
| 2.Policy development | IDT2 | Municipality | | 100 000.00 | 000.00 | | |
| 3.Local Labour Forum | IDT3 | Municipality | | 50 000.00 | 000.00 | | |
| 4.Management / Councillors Strategic planning workshop | IDT4 | Municipality | | 50 000.00 | 000.00 | | |

3. LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. NO. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASHFLOW | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|----------------|----------|---------|--|
| | | | | 2011/012 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | |
| 1.Spatial Development Framework | LED1 | DTLGA | The development of the framework is in the final phase. | 365 000 | | | |
| 2.Integrated Waste Management Plan | LED2 | DTLGA / MAP | | 360 000 | | | |
| 3.Land Audit | LED3 | MSIG | Simultaneous with the property valuation process | 000.00 200 000 | | | |
| 4.Municipal Ward Profiles & GIS Data Cleansing | LED4 | Umtshezi Municipality | Includes aerial photography | 000.00 | 400 000 | | |
| 5.Umtshezi Sports field upgrade / construction | LED5 | KZN Sports & Recreation department | | 1.500 000 | | | |
| 6.Concrete Palisade Fencing Swimming pools & Dumpsite | LED6 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 000.00 | 1000 000 | | |
| 7.SMME / CO-OPERATIVES DATABASE | LED7 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 150000 | | | |
| 8.Tourism plan / agency | LED8 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 000.00 | | | |
| 9.Special Programmes (HIV; Sports; Gender; Youth and disabled persons, pensioners) | LED9 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 300 000 | | | |
| 10.Urban regeneration strategy | LED10 | Umtshezi Municipality | | 000.00 | 150 000 | | |

LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. NO. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASHFLOW | | | |
|---|-------------|--|-------------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| | | | | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | | |
| 11.Umtshezi Resort | LED11 | IDC | Awaiting approval | 000.00 | 000.00 | | |
| 12.Taxi Rank and Informal Trade Development | LED12 | IDC | Awaiting approval | 000.00 | 000.00 | | |
| 13.Aerodrome Upgrade | LED13 | IDC | Awaiting approval | 000.00 | 000.00 | | |
| 14.Weenen Cultural Village | LED14 | IDC | Awaiting approval | 000.00 | 000.00 | | |
| 15.LED support | LED15 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | | |
| 16.Keep Umtshezi Clean | LED16 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | | |
| 17.HIV /AIDS Projects | LED17 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | | |
| 18.Designated groups projects | LED18 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | | |
| 19.Tourism support | LED19 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | | |
| 20.Wilde Vees (Wildsfees) | LED20 | Dept of Arts, Culture and Tourism | | | | | |
| 21.Training in Indigenous Music (Isicathamiya & Maskandi) | LED21 | Dept of Arts, Culture and Tourism | | | | | |
| 22.Co-operatives support | LED22 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | | |
| 23.SMMS's support | LED23 | Umtshezi Municipality | | | | | |

4. GOOD GOVERNANCE AND COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

| NAME OF PROJECT | REF. NO. | FUNDER | REMARK | CASH | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|------------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| 1.Ward Committees/stakeholders | DG1 | Municipality | | 50 000.00 | 50 000.00 | |
| 2.HIV / AIDS Council | DG2 | Municipality | | 100 000.00 | 100 000.00 | |
| 3.Field Workers | DG3 | Municipality | | 150 000.00 | 175 000.00 | |
| 4.Relief Programmes/ pauper burials | DG4 | Municipality | | 375 000.00 | 400 000.00 | |
| 5.Relief Programmes/emergency relief | DG5 | Municipality | | 250 000.00 | 250 000.00 | |
| 6.Sports/leisure/youth | DG6 | Municipality | | 975 000.00 | 1000 000.00 | |
| 7.Educational Support – bursaries | DG7 | Municipality | | 140 000.00 | 140 000.00 | |
| 8.Public Participation Programmes | DG8 | Municipality | | 800 000.00 | 850 000.00 | |
| 9. Special Programmes | DG9 | Municipality | | 500 000.00 | 550 000.00 | |

5. FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGEMENT.

| NAME OF PROJECT | CT REF. FUNDER NO. | | REMARK | CASH | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| | | | | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| 1.Debt Collection | Fvm1 | Municipality | | 100 000.00 | 100 000.00 | |
| 2.Budget processes | Fvm2 | Municipality | | 100 000.00 | 100 000.00 | |
| 3.Free Basic services | Fvm3 | Municipality | | 3000 000.00 | 3 200 000.00 | |
| 4.Income regeneration strategy | Fvm4 | | | 100 000.00 | 000.00 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

KZN234 Umtshezi - Table A4 Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure)

| Description | Ref | 2007/8 | 2008/9 | 2009/10 | Current Year 2010/11 | | | | 2011/12 Medium Term Revenue & Expenditure Framework | | |
|---|-----|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| R thousand | 1 | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Original Budget | Adjusted Budget | Full Year Forecast | Pre-audit outcome | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 |
| Revenue By Source | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property rates | 2 | 23,787 | 26,232 | 29,164 | 31,332 | 31,332 | 31,332 | 31,332 | 33,839 | 36,546 | 39,469 |
| Property rates - penalties & collection charges | | 4,769 | 5,183 | 5,008 | 5,357 | 5,357 | 5,357 | 5,357 | 5,786 | 5,843 | 5,902 |
| Service charges - electricity revenue | 2 | 53,298 | 71,850 | 96,669 | 126,782 | 126,619 | 126,619 | 126,619 | 155,741 | 191,562 | 235,621 |
| Service charges - water revenue | 2 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Service charges - sanitation revenue | 2 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Service charges - refuse revenue | 2 | 4,084 | 4,771 | 5,055 | 5,222 | 5,222 | 5,222 | 5,222 | 5,535 | 5,867 | 6,219 |
| Service charges - other | | 1,001 | ., | 5,000 | ,, | -, | -, | 5,222 | 0,000 | ,,,,,, | , |
| Rental of facilities and equipment | | 306 | 749 | 748 | 840 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 778 | 817 | 858 |
| Interest earned - external investments | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | · · · | |
| Interest earned - outstanding debtors | | 1,366 | 2,591 | 333 | 237 | 237 | 237 | 237 | 249 | 261 | 274 |
| Dividends received | | 1,000 | 2,001 | 000 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 2.10 | 201 | 2 |
| Fines | | 239 | 199 | 346 | 293 | 293 | 293 | 293 | 299 | 305 | 311 |
| Licences and permits | | 4,349 | 4,554 | 4,010 | 4,602 | 4,370 | 4,370 | 4,370 | 4,589 | 4,818 | 5,059 |
| Agency services | | 4,040 | 4,004 | 4,010 | 4,002 | 4,070 | 4,010 | 4,010 | 4,000 | 4,010 | 0,000 |
| Transfers recognised - operational | | 13,157 | 18,328 | 20,894 | 35,935 | 25,916 | 25,916 | 25,916 | 30,398 | 32,320 | 35,571 |
| Other revenue | 2 | 1,289 | 4,247 | 2,371 | 787 | 982 | 982 | 982 | 1,031 | 1,083 | 1,137 |
| Gains on disposal of PPE | | 1,203 | 4,247 | 2,011 | 707 | 302 | 302 | 302 | 1,031 | 1,005 | 1,107 |
| Total Revenue (excluding capital transfers and | | | | | | 201,069 | 201,069 | 201,069 | 238,244 | 279,422 | 330,421 |
| contributions) | | 106,644 | 138,704 | 164,597 | 211,387 | | | | | | , |
| Expenditure By Type | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employee related costs | 2 | 37,874 | 37,012 | 47,351 | 47,258 | 53,106 | 53,106 | 53,106 | 57,620 | 62,518 | 67,832 |
| Remuneration of councillors | | 3,299 | 3,621 | 3,843 | 3,964 | 3,796 | 3,796 | 3,796 | 4,024 | 4,265 | 4,521 |
| Debt impairment | 3 | 1,767 | 8,342 | 10,489 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Depreciation & asset impairment | 2 | 6,307 | 17,220 | 18,576 | 27,100 | 27,100 | 27,100 | 27,100 | 36,486 | 36,486 | 36,486 |
| Finance charges | | 305 | 491 | 917 | 964 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 1,050 | 1,071 | 1,092 |
| Bulk purchases | 2 | 40,614 | 55,371 | 74,023 | 98,242 | 96,242 | 96,242 | 96,242 | 121,948 | 149,996 | 184,495 |
| Other materials | 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contracted services | | 1,771 | 1,868 | 2,477 | 1,966 | 2,891 | 2,891 | 2,891 | 3,180 | 3,338 | 3,504 |
| Transfers and grants | | 1,050 | 00.000 | C= =0 : | 4= 0=0 | 04 =0= | 0.4 = 0= | 04.505 | 60 ==6 | 01000 | 00.0:= |
| Other expenditure | 4, | 19,552 | 36,683 | 27,594 | 15,372 | 24,527 | 24,527 | 24,527 | 23,778 | 24,966 | 26,215 |

| | 5 | | | | | ĺ | | | | | |
|---|---|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Loss on disposal of PPE | | | | 134 | | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | |
| Total Expenditure | | 112,539 | 160,607 | 185,404 | 200,866 | 216,177 | 216,177 | 216,177 | 258,086 | 292,641 | 334,146 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | | (5,896) | (21,903) | (20,806) | 10,521 | (15,108) | (15,108) | (15,108) | (19,842) | (13,219) | (3,725) |
| Transfers recognised - capital | | 4,030 | 18,895 | 23,055 | 28,900 | 21,750 | 21,750 | 21,750 | 39,010 | 36,279 | 35,142 |
| Contributions recognised - capital | 6 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Contributed assets | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) after capital transfers & contributions | | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 19,168 | 23,060 | 31,417 |
| Taxation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) after taxation | | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 19,168 | 23,060 | 31,417 |
| Attributable to minorities | | | | 2 240 | 20.424 | 6 642 | 6 642 | 6.642 | 10.169 | 22.060 | 24 447 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) attributable to municipality | | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 19,168 | 23,060 | 31,417 |
| Share of surplus/ (deficit) of associate | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) for the year | | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 19,168 | 23,060 | 31,417 |

References

- 1. Classifications are revenue sources and expenditure type
- 2. Detail to be provided in Table SA1
- 3. Previously described as 'bad or doubtful debts' amounts shown should reflect the change in the provision for debt impairment
- 4. Expenditure type components previously shown under repairs and maintenance should be allocated back to the originating expenditure group/item; e.g. employee costs
- 5. Repairs & maintenance detailed in Table A9 and Table SA34c
- 6. Contributions are funds provided by external organisations to assist with infrastructure development; e.g. developer contributions (detail to be provided in Table SA1)
- 7. Equity method
- 8. All materials not part of 'bulk' e.g road making materials, pipe, cable etc.

| , | check balance | 500 | -200 | -1 | 300 | 6,642,000 | 6,642,000 | | 19,168,262 | 23,059,789 | 31,417,230 | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | Total revenue | 110,674 | 157,599 | 187,653 | 240,287 | 222,819 | 222,819 | 222,819 | 277,254 | 315,701 | 365,563 | |

SECTION H

FINANCIAL PLAN AND SOBIP

(SERVICE DELIVERY BUDGET IMPLEMENTATION PLAN)

- > FINANCIAL PLAN
- > SDBIP

FINANCIAL PLAN

Introduction

In the current economic climate where over 900,000 people have lost their jobs and where the country is trying to recover from a recession, financial resources have become very scarce. Consumers who are biggest contributor of these resources find themselves in a position where they are unable to meet their daily needs. It is therefore critical that in order to make the Integrated Development Plan (IDP) a credible document, that financial resources are obtained and allocated to prioritized projects in order to ensure that service delivery occurs. By developing financial strategies and making use of the limited financial resources available, projects can be funded, service delivery would occur and consumer confidence and satisfaction would be achieved.

Business Plan and Financial Strategy

The business plan and financial strategy that the municipality is adopting focuses on a long term and sustainable strategy that deals specifically with the following key issues:

- The current economic climate
- Business Retention and Expansion Programmes
- Debt levels
- Business Incentives
- Infrastructure Development
- Poverty

1. Current economic climate

As mentioned in the introduction, South Africa is currently recovering from a recession. It is emerging that the full effects of the recession is only felt now and will continue for at least one to two years. It is difficult to increase municipal tariffs to recover expenses for consumers and businesses that are finding it difficult to manage. The introduction of the Municipal Property Rates Act resulted in many property values increasing and consumers and businesses finding it difficult to pay for the rates now levied. In addition to this, the increases in the electricity tariffs have resulted in less disposable income for households and have resulted in many businesses retrenching staff. With these challenges, increases in tariffs for the next three years have been carefully considered. Service delivery needs to occur and funds need to be obtained to ensure that it is achieved. Increases in tariffs are proposed to increase as follows:

| | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Property rates | 8% | 8% | 8% |
| Electricity | 23% | 23% | 23% |
| Refuse | 6% | 6% | 6% |
| Other charges | 6% | 6% | 6% |

The securing of external loans to fund capital expenditure is also planned, but financial institutions are imposing very stringent rules in obtaining in these funds. Repayment of the loans is carefully considered by the financial institutions and as a result, we have ensured that the budget for the next three years includes future loan repayments. We hope that this would ensure assurance or give the financial institutions the confidence that the loans could be granted to us and that they would be repaid.

2. Business Retention and Expansion Programmes

We have identified that in order to ensure long term sustainability of the economy of the town, the current businesses need to be retained. The municipality had embarked on a business retention and expansion programme to assess the current business satisfaction and confidence in the area. These results were analysed and steps are in place to address the concerns raised in the survey. Business stakeholder relations have been developed with the municipality and are proving fruitful. The continuation of this programme that is co-ordinated by a task team will ensure that business confidence improves and that additional businesses are drawn to uMtshezi.

3. Increasing Debt Levels

No Municipality in the country can exist or operate effectively if consumers do not pay for services rendered. Point 1 above highlights that the economic situation had caused the debt levels to increase. As a result, the Municipality has embarked on an aggressive campaign to reduce the debt. The following were achieved:

- Councillor commitment to the debt collection strategy
- Full implementation of the debt collection and credit control policy
- Engagement of a debt collection company (Venn Nemeth and Hart) to assist the municipality with the collection of its outstanding debt

The policy is being fully implemented with the support of the council and is proving successful. The continuation of this will ensure that the debt levels reduce and the attitude of a payment culture amongst consumers is developed. A payment culture of consumers will ensure a sustained low debt level in future and much realized revenue for the Municipality.

4. Business Incentives

For economic growth to occur, businesses need to be attracted to uMtshezi. The council had approved an incentive policy that would assist in drawing potential investors to the area. More investors, more development, more jobs created resulting in economic growth. Economic growth then leads to an increased rates base and reduced poverty levels enabling consumers to pay for services.

5. Infrastructure Development

The Municipality has embarked in increasing its electricity infrastructure and roads networks to allow for the expansion and incentive programmes. Electricity supply is currently being increased and the erection of the new N3 interchange is opening up alternative road access networks in the area also enhancing the possibility of economic development and growth.

6. Poverty

A large number of the community in the uMtshezi area is indigent as is indicated in this document. In order to change this, many local economic initiatives have been embarked on as is highlighted in this IDP. The emphasis on business expansion, as mentioned in point 4 above, would also assist with job creation and poverty alleviation. In addition to this, the major capital projects planned for the next three years is labour intensive which would ensure that the local community is employed.


Operating Budget


The following table is the draft medium term revenue and expenditure framework for the 2011/2012 to 2013/2014 financial years.

| Description | 2007/8 | 2008/9 | 2009/10 | Cur | rent Year 201 | 0/11 | 2011/12 Medium Term Revenue & Expenditure Framework | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| R thousand | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Original Budget | Adjusted Budget | Full Year Forecast | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 | |
| Revenue By Source | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property rates | 23,787 | 26,232 | 29,164 | 31,332 | 31,332 | 31,332 | 33,839 | 36,546 | 39,469 | |
| Property rates - penalties & collection charges | 4,769 | 5,183 | 5,008 | 5,357 | 5,357 | 5,357 | 5,786 | 5,843 | 5,902 | |
| Service charges - electricity revenue | 53,298 | 71,850 | 96,669 | 126,782 | 126,619 | 126,619 | 155,741 | 191,562 | 235,621 | |
| Service charges - refuse revenue | 4,084 | 4,771 | 5,055 | 5,222 | 5,222 | 5,222 | 5,535 | 5,867 | 6,219 | |
| Rental of facilities and equipment | 306 | 749 | 748 | 840 | 741 | 741 | 778 | 816 | 857 | |
| Interest earned - outstanding debtors | 1,366 | 2,591 | 333 | 237 | 237 | 237 | 249 | 261 | 275 | |
| Fines | 239 | 199 | 346 | 293 | 293 | 293 | 299 | 305 | 311 | |
| Licences and permits | 4,349 | 4,554 | 4,010 | 4,602 | 4,370 | 4,370 | 4,589 | 4,818 | 5,059 | |
| Transfers recognised - operational | 13,157 | 18,328 | 20,894 | 35,935 | 25,916 | 25,916 | 29,608 | 32,320 | 35,571 | |
| Other revenue | 1,289 | 4,247 | 2,371 | 787 | 982 | 982 | 1,511 | 1,586 | 1,665 | |
| Total Revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions) | 106,644 | 138,704 | 164,597 | 211,387 | 201,069 | 201,069 | 237,934 | 279,926 | 330,950 | |
| Expenditure By Type | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employee related costs | 37,874 | 37,012 | 47,351 | 47,258 | 53,106 | 53,106 | 57,620 | 62,518 | 67,832 | |
| Remuneration of councillors | 3,299 | 3,621 | 3,843 | 3,964 | 3,796 | 3,796 | 4,024 | | , | |
| | 1.767 | , | , | 6,000 | , | , | , | 4,266 | 4,522 | |
| Debt impairment | , , | 8,342 | 10,489 | | 6,000 | 6,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| Depreciation & asset impairment | 6,307 | 17,220 | 18,576 | 27,100 | 27,100 | 27,100 | 31,686 | 31,686 | 31,686 | |
| Finance charges | 305 | 491 | 917 | 964 | 515 | 515 | 1,050 | 1,071 | 1,092 | |
| Bulk purchases | 40,614 | 55,371 | 74,023 | 98,242 | 96,242 | 96,242 | 121,948 | 149,996 | 184,495 | |
| Contracted services | 1,771 | 1,868 | 2,477 | 1,966 | 2,891 | 2,891 | 3,180 | 3,338 | 3,504 | |
| Transfers and grants | 1,050 | | | | | | | | | |
| Other expenditure | 19,552 | 36,683 | 27,594 | 15,372 | 24,527 | 24,527 | 24,643 | 25,875 | 27,168 | |

| Loss on disposal of PPE | | | 134 | | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Total Expenditure | 112,539 | 160,607 | 185,404 | 200,866 | 216,177 | 216,177 | 254,151 | 288,750 | 330,300 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | (5,896) | (21,903) | (20,806) | 10,521 | (15,108) | (15,108) | (16,217) | (8,824) | 650 |
| Transfers recognised - capital | 4,030 | 18,895 | 23,055 | 28,900 | 21,750 | 21,750 | 39,010 | 35,779 | 35,142 |
| Contributions recognised - capital | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Surplus/(Deficit) after capital transfers & contributions | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 22,793 | 26,955 | 35,792 |
| Taxation | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) after taxation Attributable to minorities | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 22,793 | 26,955 | 35,792 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) attributable to municipality | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 22,793 | 26,955 | 35,792 |
| Share of surplus/ (deficit) of associate | | | | | | | | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) for the year | (1,866) | (3,008) | 2,249 | 39,421 | 6,642 | 6,642 | 22,793 | 26,955 | 35,792 |

The operating revenue has been budgeted on an accrual basis that is consistent with the requirements of the National Treasury Budget Reforms. The operating expenditure has been budgeted based on realistic anticipated revenues. From the table, you will see that operating deficits and surpluses of (R16,217,000), (R8,824,000), and R650,000 are budgeted for the 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 financial years respectively. Although operating deficits are being budgeted for, these are non-cash flow deficits. The operating expenditure has been carefully budgeted to ensure that adequate funds are available to pay for the expenditure to be incurred. Sufficient funds would be available.





The 2012 Division of Revenue Bill has allocated funds to the municipality as follows:

| ODED ATING COANITS | 2011/2012 R'000 | 2012/2013 R'000 | 2013/2014 R'000 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| OPERATING GRANTS | | | |
| National | | | |
| Equitable Share | 24,820 | 27,550 | 29,367 |
| Councillors grant | 1,023 | 1,080 | 1,143 |
| Finance Management Grant | 1,450 | 1,500 | 1,750 |
| Municipal Systems Infrastructure | 790 | 800 | 900 |
| Grants in Kind - NDPG | 1,000 | 500 | 446 |
| - - | 29,083 | 31,430 | 33,606 |
| Provincial | | | |
| Museum | 362 | 390 | 422 |
| Provincialisation of Libraries | 852 | 894 | 1,878 |
| Community Library Services | 101 | 106 | 111 |
| - - | 1,315 | 1,390 | 2,411 |
| TOTAL OPERATING GRANTS | 30,398 | 32,820 | 36,017 |
| OPERATING GRANTS less MSIG | 29,608 | | |

CAPITAL GRANTS

| National | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| Municipal Infrastructure Grant | 10,510 | 12,779 | 13,482 |
| NDPG | 4,000 | 3,000 | 1,000 |
| INEP | 4,500 | 0 | 660 |
| | | | |
| | 19,010 | 15,779 | 15,142 |
| | | | |
| Provincial | | | |
| CRU Programme | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| | | | |
| | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| | | | |
| TOTAL CAPITAL GRANTS | 39,010 | 35,779 | 35,142 |
| | | | |
| TOTAL GRANTS | 69,408 | 68,599 | 71,159 |
| | 20, .00 | , | , |

These grants would be used for both capital projects and operating expenditure.

1. Tariffs

As mentioned above under the business plan and financial strategy section, tariffs for the next three years were carefully considered. They are as follows:

| | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Property rates | 8% | 8% | 8% |
| Electricity | 23% | 23% | 23% |
| Refuse | 6% | 6% | 6% |
| Other charges | 6% | 6% | 6% |

The increase in the electricity tariffs results in projected gross profit for electricity below the Nersa benchmarks. Nersa benchmarks allow a 70% purchases versus income percentage, but uMtshezi, after the increase would have 78% purchases to income percentage. This inevitably means that the tariffs are low. An increase in tariffs of 20.38% is proposed by Nersa, but this increase would not be feasible as the Eskom increase is 26.71% for the purchase of electricity.

Property rates tariffs have increased by 9% in the 2011 financial year. For the next three years the tariff increases are 8%, 8% and 8%. The income generated form property rates income was not sufficient to pay for salaries and wages of operations staff and not sufficient to pay for expenditure relating to repairs and maintenance. Considering the economic climate and the effect that it is having on the consumer, it was prudent to limit the increase to 8%.

Refuse and other charges are budgeted to increase by 6% for the next three years which is in line with National Treasury guidelines.

It was important to consider the effect of the municipal operations and the affordability of the consumers when setting the tariffs. The effect of the increases on the consumer household bill will be as follows:

| Description | 2007/8 | 2008/9 | 2009/10 | Cur | rent Year 201 | 0/11 | 2011/12 Medium Term Revenue & Expenditure Framework | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Original Budget | Adjusted Budget | Full Year Forecast | Budget Year 2011/12 % incr. | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 |
| Monthly Account for Household - 'Large' | | | | | | | 76 IIICI. | | | |
| Household Rates and services charges: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property rates | 402.43 | 442.00 | 489.00 | 528.12 | 582.12 | 582.12 | 8.0% | 570.37 | 616.00 | 665.27 |
| Electricity: Consumption | 711.00 | 896.00 | 985.00 | 1,270.00 | 1,270.00 | 1,270.00 | 23.0% 6.0% | 1,562.10 | 192,138.00 | 2,363.29 |
| Refuse removal | 51.33 | 53.58 | 57.00 | 60.42 | 60.42 | 60.42 | | 64.04 | 67.88 | 71.95 |
| sub-total | 1,164.76 | 1,391.58 | 1,531.00 | 1,858.54 | 1,912.54 | 1,912.54 | 18.2% | 2,196.51 | 192,821.88 | 3,100.51 |
| Total large household | 1,164.76 | 1,391.58 | 1,531.00 | 1,858.54 | 1,912.54 | 1,912.54 | 18.2% | 2,196.51 | 192,821.88 | 3,100.51 |
| bill: % increase/- decrease | | 19.5% | 10.0% | 21.4% | 2.9% | _ | | 14.8% | 8,678.6% | (98.4%) |
| Monthly Account for Household - 'Small' Household Rates and services | | | | | | | | | | |
| charges: | | | | | | | 8.0% | | | |
| Property rates Electricity: | 80.94 | 89.04 | 106.87 | 115.42 | 115.42 | 115.42 | 23.0% | 124.65 | 134.62 | 145.39 |
| Consumption | 282.53 | 353.33 | 391.91 | 505.18 | 505.18 | 505.18 | 6.0% | 621.37 | 764.29 | 940.08 |
| Refuse removal | 51.30 | 53.58 | 57.00 | 60.42 | 60.42 | 60.42 | | 64.04 | 67.88 | 71.95 |
| sub-total | 414.77 | 495.95 | 555.78 | 681.02 | 681.02 | 681.02 | 18.9% | 810.06 | 966.79 | 1,157.42 |
| Total small household | 414.77 | 495.95 | 555.78 | 681.02 | 681.02 | 681.02 | 18.9% | 810.06 | 966.79 | 1,157.42 |
| bill: % increase/- decrease | | 19.6% | 12.1% | 22.5% | | - | | 18.9% | 19.3% | 19.7% |
| - | | | 0.38 | 0.87 | 1.00 | - | | | | |

| Monthly Account for Household - 'Small' Household receiving free basic services Rates and services charges: | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Property rates Electricity: Consumption | 53.18 125.81 | 59.79 201.91 | 65.33 343.11 | 70.55 442.27 | 70.55 442.27 | 70.55 442.27 | 8.0% 23.0% | 76.19 543.99 | 82.29 669.11 | 88.87 823.01 |
| Refuse removal | | | | | | | 20.00/ | | | |
| sub-total | 178.99 | 261.70 | 408.44 | 512.82 | 512.82 | 512.82 | 20.9% | 620.18 | 751.40 | 911.88 |
| Total small household bill: % increase/- decrease | 178.99 | 261.70 46.2% | 408.44 56.1% | 512.82 25.6% | 512.82 | 512.82 | 20.9% | 620.18 20.9% | 751.40 21.2% | 911.88 21.4% |

Capital Budget


The Capital Budget for the next three years has been based on realistic anticipated revenues and grants that would be received to fund capital projects. The backlogs in the IDP have been prioritized and it was established that most of those projects need to be funded by sector departments. In order to ensure that the backlogs relating to the local municipality are adequately resolved, it was necessary to purchase capital equipment. Transformers need to be purchased to ensure that there is an increase in available electricity for some of the long term strategies as mentioned above and to deal with the expansion needs of the current businesses and housing projects in uMtshezi.


The capital budget is represented below:

| Vote Description | 2007/8 | 2008/9 | 2009/10 | | Current Ye | ear 2010/11 | | 2011/12 Medium Term Revenue & Expenditure Framework | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| R thousand | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Audited Outcome | Original Budget | Adjusted Budget | Full Year Forecast | Pre- audit outcome | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 |
| Single-year expenditure to be appropriated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate Services | 3,265 | 403 | 491 | 518 | 803 | 803 | 803 | 240 | 2,250 | 691 |
| Municipal Manager | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| Finance and Budget | - | - | 214 | 26 | 362 | 362 | 362 | 50 | 57 | - |
| Civil Services Planning,Economic and | 2,278 | 109 | 12,068 | 12,741 | 12,339 | 12,339 | 12,339 | 7,679 | 11,179 | 13,482 |
| Community Services | 2,090 | 18,114 | 15,768 | 22,814 | 20,392 | 20,392 | 20,392 | 28,954 | 45,048 | 32,079 |
| Electrical Services | 2,623 | 1,826 | 1,524 | 8,470 | 8,117 | 8,117 | 8,117 | 10,426 | 6,507 | 5,206 |
| Capital single-year expenditure sub-total | 10,256 | 20,451 | 30,065 | 44,568 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 47,352 | 65,046 | 51,468 |
| Total Capital Expenditure - Vote | 10,256 | 20,451 | 30,065 | 44,568 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 47,352 | 65,046 | 51,468 |

| Capital Expenditure - Standard | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Governance and administration | 2,851 | 403 | 705 | 502 | 1,139 | 1,139 | 1,139 | 290 | 1,908 | 691 |
| Executive and council | 1,367 | | | 175 | 207 | 207 | 207 | 90 | - | - |
| Budget and treasury office | | | 214 | 26 | 362 | 362 | 362 | 50 | 57 | - |
| Corporate services | 1,484 | 403 | 491 | 302 | 570 | 570 | 570 | 150 | 1,851 | 691 |
| Community and public safety | 923 | 18,114 | 15,535 | 8,006 | 5,068 | 5,068 | 5,068 | 24,956 | 40,250 | 27,930 |
| Community and social services | 509 | 138 | 15,515 | 7,964 | 5,041 | 5,041 | 5,041 | 4,931 | 19,457 | 7,930 |
| Public safety | 414 | | | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | - | 400 | - |
| Housing | | 17,977 | | | | | | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Health | | | 20 | 15 | - | _ | _ | 25 | 393 | _ |
| Economic and environmental services | 2,278 | 109 | 11,816 | 24,741 | 24,339 | 24,339 | 24,339 | 11,679 | 15,179 | 17,482 |
| Planning and development | | | | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Road transport | 2,278 | 109 | 11,816 | 12,741 | 12,339 | 12,339 | 12,339 | 7,679 | 11,179 | 13,482 |
| Trading services | 4,204 | 1,826 | 1,857 | 11,320 | 11,468 | 11,468 | 11,468 | 10,426 | 7,708 | 5,356 |
| Electricity | 2,623 | 1,826 | 1,776 | 8,470 | 8,117 | 8,117 | 8,117 | 10,426 | 6,508 | 5,206 |
| Waste management | 1,581 | | 81 | 2,850 | 3,351 | 3,351 | 3,351 | - | 1,200 | 150 |
| Other | | | 153 | | | | | | | |
| Total Capital Expenditure - Standard | 10,256 | 20,451 | 30,065 | 44,568 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 47,352 | 65,045 | 51,459 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Funded by: | | | | | | | | | | |
| National Government | 1,192 | 18,895 | 12,104 | 21,399 | 21,730 | 21,730 | 21,730 | 19,010 | 15,779 | 15,142 |
| Provincial Government | | | 15,515 | 7,500 | _ | _ | _ | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Transfers recognised - capital | 7,024 | 18,895 | 27,619 | 28,899 | 21,730 | 21,730 | 21,730 | 39,010 | 35,779 | 35,142 |
| Borrowing | | | | 13,500 | 13,026 | 13,026 | 13,026 | 4,500 | - | - |
| Internally generated funds | 3,232 | 1,556 | 2,446 | 2,169 | 7,257 | 7,257 | 7,257 | 3,842 | 29,267 | 16,326 |
| Total Capital Funding | 10,256 | 20,451 | 30,065 | 44,568 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 42,012 | 47,352 | 65,046 | 51,468 |

The capital budget will be funded from grants, external loans and council revenues as is depicted diagrammatically below:





CONCLUSION

The implementation of sound financial planning and budgeting will ensure sustainable service delivery that would result in growth for the municipality. These budgets, business plans and strategies have been developed to ensure that backlogs are addressed and that future financial problems are avoided and timeously corrected. It is also to ensure that projects planned are adequately funded and that future projects can be realistically planned and budgeted.

UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY




DRAFT SERVICE DELIVERY AND BUDGET IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (SDBIP) 2011/2012

INTRODUCTION

In developing a good performance management tool for the Municipality, the IDP is drafted, the budget is drafted and the service delivery and budget implementation plan is developed in order to put into effect the budget. The SDBIP is a monitoring and implementation tool that is the vital link between the Mayor, Council and Administration as it facilitates the process for holding management accountable for its performance. The SDBIP quantifies the strategic objectives as highlighted in the budget to measurable outcomes. It is then, that as a monitoring tool, the Mayor and Council are able to monitor the performance of the Municipal Manager. The Municipal Manager can also monitor the performance of Senior Managers and the Community is able to monitor the Municipality.

The SDBIP is therefore defined as a contract between the administration, council and community where the goals and objectives as set out by the Council are quantified and can be implemented by the administration of the Municipality. National Treasury, MFMA Circular No. 13, diagrammatically depicts this relationship as follows:



COMPONENTS OF SDBIP

The Municipal Finance Management Act defines the SDBIP in Section 1 as follows: "a detailed plan approved by the Mayor of a Municipality in terms of section 53(1)(c)(ii) for implementing the Municipality's delivery of services and the execution of its annual budget and which must include the following:

(a) projections of each month of-

- revenue to be collected by source; and
- > operational and capital expenditure, by vote
- (b) service delivery targets and performance indicators for each quarter."

OVERVIEW

Revenue to be collected, by source

The total revenue to be collected by the Municipality as shown in the budget is R240,287million. This amount is made up of revenue billed for services and other municipal charges, as well as capital and operating grants receivable. A monthly cash flow budget is included in the SDBIP to highlight the actual amounts receivable. These figures do differ from the amounts that would be billed.

Operational and Capital expenditure by Vote

This table details the operating budgets, separating them into their expenditure and revenue components, and also provides capital expenditure by vote. This would be monitored on a monthly basis.

Quarterly Projections

Whilst the above two components focused on amounts of revenue and expenditure, this component contains objectives in the form of service delivery targets and other performance indicators.

CORPORATE STRATEGY AND STRATEGIC FOCUS

Vision

The vision of the uMtshezi Municipality is as follows:

By 2020, uMtshezi Municipality will be the champion of a sustainable development and safe environment

Mission Statement

The Umtshezi Municipality in partnership with other sectors /stakeholders is committed to:


Providing and enabling an economic and social development environment

- Striving to provide housing with bulk infrastructure
- Establishing and maintaining community networks that promote healthy engagements between the Municipality and its stakeholders
- Developing Umtshezi in a way that does not compromise future generations
- Work co-operatively with other spheres of government and the private sector
- Continuously provide service in an equitable manner taking into account Batho Pele principles

The Municipality has used its vision and mission as its corporate strategy. The IDP is aligned to this strategy, the budget is aligned to the IDP, which means that the budget contains projects that fulfill the vision and mission of the Municipality. The SDBIP which is the 'action plan' for the budget and which is based on the budget, therefore encompasses the Municipality's vision and mission.

PROCESS OF PREPARING AND APPROVING THE SDBIP

The process for preparing and approving the SDBIP, as depicted in MFMA Circular No.13, is diagrammatically summarized as follows:



CONCLUSION

The SDBIP is an important management tool as it allows for monitoring of performance and implementation of identified strategies and goals. Adherence to this SDBIP will ensure that the objectives set out in the budget are achieved and hence the objectives and goals identified in the IDP will be realized, thus ensuring service delivery and that the Municipality meets the needs of the people.

Ms P.N Njoko Municipal Manager KZN234 Umtshezi - Supporting Table SA26 Budgeted monthly revenue and expenditure (municipal vote)

| Description | Ref | Budget Year 2011/12 | | | | | | | | | | | | n Term Rever | | |
|--|-----|---------------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| R thousand | | July | August | Sept. | October | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 |
| Revenue by Vote | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate Services | | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 621 | 622 | 7,451 | 7,823 | 8,487 |
| Municipal Manager | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | _ | _ |
| Finance and Budget | | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 7,659 | 91,910 | 105,530 | 119,000 |
| Civil Services | | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 899 | 10,783 | 19,517 | 34,745 |
| Planning, Economic and Community Services | | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 503 | 6,038 | 6,340 | 6,657 |
| Electrical Services | | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 13,397 | 160,761 | 176,494 | 197,203 |
| Total Revenue by Vote | | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,080 | 276,944 | 315,705 | 366,092 |
| Expenditure by Vote to be appropriated | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate Services | | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 1,899 | 22,792 | 23,934 | 25,130 |
| Municipal Manager | | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 1,031 | 1,082 | 1,136 |
| Finance and Budget | | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 2,730 | 32,763 | 41,697 | 48,301 |
| Civil Services | | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,431 | 19,115 | 27,367 | 37,969 |
| Planning, Economic and Community Services | | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 3,020 | 36,241 | 38,053 | 44,475 |
| Electrical Services | | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 11,851 | 142,209 | 156,616 | 173,289 |
| Total Expenditure by Vote | | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,017 | 254,151 | 288,750 | 330,300 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) before assoc. | | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 2,063 | 22,793 | 26,955 | 35,792 |

KZN234 Umtshezi - Supporting Table SA25 Budgeted monthly revenue and expenditure

| Description | | Budget Year 2011/12 | | | | | | | | | | , | | n Term Rev nditure Fra | |
|---|--------|---------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| R thousand | July | August | Sept. | October | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 |
| Revenue By Source | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property rates Property rates - penalties & collection | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,819 | 33,839 | 36,546 | 39,469 |
| charges | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 5,786 | 5,843 | 5,902 |
| Service charges - electricity revenue | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,979 | 155,741 | 191,562 | 235,621 |
| Service charges - refuse revenue | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 462 | 5,535 | 5,867 | 6,219 |
| Rental of facilities and equipment | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 64 | 778 | 816 | 857 |
| Interest earned - outstanding debtors | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 249 | 261 | 275 |
| Fines | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 299 | 305 | 311 |
| Licences and permits | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 4,589 | 4,818 | 5,059 |
| Transfers recognised - operational | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 1,743 | 29,608 | 32,320 | 35,571 |
| Other revenue | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 850 | 1,511 | 1,586 | 1,665 |
| Total Revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions) | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,827 | 237,934 | 279,926 | 330,950 |
| Expenditure By Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employee related costs | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 57,620 | 62,518 | 67,832 |
| Remuneration of councillors | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 4,024 | 4,266 | 4,522 |
| Debt impairment | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 833 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Depreciation & asset impairment | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 2,641 | 31,686 | 31,686 | 31,686 |
| Finance charges | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 1,050 | 1,071 | 1,092 |
| Bulk purchases | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,163 | 121,948 | 149,996 | 184,495 |
| Contracted services | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 3,180 | 3,338 | 3,504 |
| Other expenditure | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 1,893 | 24,643 | 25,875 | 27,168 |

| Loss on disposal of PPE | _ | _ | - 1 | - | - | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | - 1 | ī | - | - | _ |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Total Expenditure | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,194 | 21,018 | 254,151 | 288,750 | 330,300 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,366) | (1,191) | (16,217) | (8,824) | 650 |
| Transfers recognised - capital | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 39,010 | 35,779 | 35,142 |
| Contributions recognised - capital | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - |
| Contributed assets | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | _ |
| Surplus/(Deficit) after capital transfers & contributions | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 1,885 | 2,060 | 22,793 | 26,955 | 35,792 |

KZN234 Umtshezi - Supporting Table SA28 Budgeted monthly capital expenditure (municipal vote)

| Description | | _ | | | • | Budget \ | ear 2011/12 | 2 | | | | | Medium Term Revenue and Expendit Framework | | |
|---|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| R thousand | July | August | Sept. | October | Nov. | Dec. | January | Feb. | March | April | May | June | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 |
| Single-year expenditure to be appropriated | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate Services | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 240 | 2,250 | 691 |
| Municipal Manager | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| Finance and Budget | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 50 | 57 | - |
| Civil Services | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 7,679 | 11,179 | 13,482 |
| Planning,Economic and Community Services | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 2,413 | 28,954 | 45,048 | 32,079 |
| Electrical Services | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 869 | 10,426 | 6,507 | 5,206 |
| Capital single-year expenditure sub-total | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 47,352 | 65,046 | 51,468 |
| Total Capital Expenditure | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 47,352 | 65,046 | 51,468 |

KZN234 Umtshezi - Supporting Table SA30 Budgeted monthly cash flow

| MONTHLY CASH FLOWS | | Budget Year 2011/12 | | | | | | | | | | Medium Term Reve Expenditure Fram | | | |
|---|--------|---------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| R thousand | July | August | Sept. | October | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | Budget Year 2011/12 | Budget Year +1 2012/13 | Budget Year +2 2013/14 |
| Cash Receipts By Source | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Property rates | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 2,820 | 33,839 | 36,546 | 39,469 |
| Property rates - penalties & collection charges | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 482 | 5,786 | 5,843 | 5,902 |
| Service charges - electricity revenue | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 12,978 | 155,741 | 191,562 | 235,621 |
| Service charges - sanitation revenue | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 461 | 5,535 | 5,867 | 6,219 |
| Rental of facilities and equipment | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 778 | 817 | 858 |
| Interest earned - outstanding debtors | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 249 | 261 | 274 |
| Fines | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 299 | 305 | 311 |
| Licences and permits | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 4,589 | 4,818 | 5,059 |
| Transfer receipts - operational | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | 30,398 | 32,320 | 35,571 |
| Other revenue | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 721 | 757 | 794 |
| Cash Receipts by Source | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 19,828 | 237,935 | 279,096 | 330,078 |
| Other Cash Flows by Source | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfer receipts - capital | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 3,251 | 39,010 | 35,779 | 35,142 |
| Total Cash Receipts by Source | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 23,079 | 276,945 | 314,875 | 365,220 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash Payments by Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employee related costs | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 57,620 | 62,518 | 67,832 |
| Remuneration of councillors | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 4,024 | 4,265 | 4,521 |

| Collection costs | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 258 | 3,100 | 3,255 | 3,418 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Interest paid | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 1,050 | 1,071 | 1,092 |
| Bulk purchases - Electricity | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 10,162 | 121,948 | 149,996 | 184,495 |
| Contracted services | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 3,180 | 3,338 | 3,504 |
| General expenses | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 2,068 | 24,818 | 26,058 | 27,361 |
| Cash Payments by Type | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 17,978 | 215,740 | 250,501 | 292,223 |
| Other Cash Flows/Payments by Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital assets | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 3,946 | 47,352 | 65,046 | 51,469 |
| Total Cash Payments by Type | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,924 | 21,925 | 263,092 | 315,547 | 343,692 |
| NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CASH HELD | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 1,154 | 13,853 | (672) | 21,528 |
| Cash/cash equivalents at the month/year begin: | | 1,154 | 2,309 | 3,463 | 4,618 | 5,772 | 6,927 | 8,081 | 9,235 | 10,390 | 11,544 | 12,699 | _ | 13,853 | 13,181 |
| Cash/cash equivalents at the month/year end: | 1,154 | 2,309 | 3,463 | 4,618 | 5,772 | 6,927 | 8,081 | 9,235 | 10,390 | 11,544 | 12,699 | 13,853 | 13,853 | 13,181 | 34,709 |

Quarterly Projections for Service Delivery Targets and other Performance Indicators : 2011/2012

DEPARTMENT - PLANNING, ECONOMIC & COMMUNITY SERVICES

| | Annual Target | Revised Target | Quarter E 30 Se | | Quarter E 31 De | | Quarter E 31 Mai | | Quarter E 30 Ju | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| Unit of Measurement | | | Projected | Actual | Projected | Actual | Projected | Actual | Projected | Actua |
| | | | • | | • | | • | | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Submit in January 2011 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Submit in February 2011 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Published in June 2011 | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Co-ordination of NDPG project | 100% | | 25% | | 25% | | 30% | | 20% | |
| Local economic development initiatives | 100% | | 20% | | 30% | | 40% | | 10% | |
| Procurement of outdoor tables & chairs | 100% | | 50% | | 50% | | | | | |
| Purchase of vehicles from DBSA funding | 100% | | 100% | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approval by April 2011 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Approval by June 2011 | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | 50,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Borehole | 50,000 | | | | 50,000 | | | | | |
| Purchase waterpump | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 | | | | | |
| Purchase fencing landfill site | 2,831,000 | | | | 1,415,500 | | 1,415,500 | | | |
| Weigh Bridge office | 50,000 | | | | | | 50,000 | | | |
| Purchase tools | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | | | | | | |
| Purchase heavy duty grease gun | 2,000 | | 2,00 0 | | | | | | | |
| Purchase slashers | 40,000 | | 40,000 | | | | | | | |
| Purchase tractor | 200,000 | | | | 200,000 | | | | | |

Quarterly Projections for Service Delivery Targets and other Performance Indicators : 2010/2011

DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING - CIVIL

| Vote/Indicators | Unit of Measurement | Annual Target | Qtr Ending; 30 Sept | Qtr Ending; 31 Dec | Qtr Ending; 31 March | Qtr Ending; 30 June |
|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | Proj | Proj | Proj | Proj |
| DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING - CIVIL Vote: Finance & Administration Compilation of agenda and review of | | | | | | |
| minutes | Number of agendas and meetings | 36 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Enquiries and complaints Draft 2011/2012 budget Draft SDBIP for 2011/2012 budget year Budget related policies Draft 2010/2011 budget Establish roads maintenance plan | Number of repplies to be attended on a monthly basis Submit in January 2011 Submit in February 2011 Finalised in February 2011 Tabled at council meeting in March 2011 Finalised in September 2010 Tabled at council meeting in March | 12 1 1 1 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 1 1 1 | 3 |
| Draft SDBIP for 2011/2012 | 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Vote: Road Transport MIG Project | | 7,679,000 | 2,559,667 | 2,559,667 | 2,559,663 | |
| | | 7,679,000 | | | | |

Quarterly Projections for Service Delivery Targets and other Performance Indicators : 2010/2011

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE

| Unit of Measurement | Annual Target | Qtr Ending; 30 Sept | Qtr Ending; 31 Dec | Qtr Ending; 31 March | Qtr Ending; 30 June |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Proj | Proj | Proj | Proj |
| Submit to Auditor General in August 2010 Submit in August 2010 Statements prepared on a monthly basis Submit reports quarterly to the council Start the process in December 2010 Tabled to the council in January 2011 Tabled in February 2011 Finalised in February 2011 Tabled at council meeting in March 2011 Tabled at council meeting in March 2011 | 1 1 12 4 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 3 1 | 3 1 1 | 3 1 1 1 1 1 | 3 1 |
| Forward in April 2011 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Forward in April 2011 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Forward in April 2011 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Update every quarter | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| Start in July 2010 | 12 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 |
|--|------------------|--------|----------------|--------|------|
| Start in August 2010 Complete update in October 2010 Finalise in July 2010 Reporting on a monthly basis to the | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| council | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Account queries to be resolved immediately every month | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Complete in August 2010 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Review reading results on a monthly basis | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Resolve on a monthly basis | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Finalise in August 2010 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Submit on a monthly basis | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Submit on a monthly basis | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Review within 7 working days after every month end | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of creditors to be paid on time | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Sa Rayana | 20.000 | | 20000 | | |
| Software Computers v. 5 | 20,000 30,000 | | 20000 30000 | | |
| Computers x 5 | 50,000 | | 30000 | | |

Quarterly Projections for Service Delivery Targets and other Performance Indicators : 2010/2011 DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING - ELECTRICAL

| Vote/Indicators | Unit of Measurement | Ward | Annual Target | Qtr Ending; 30 Sept | Qtr Ending; 31 Dec | Qtr Ending; 31 March | Endi | tr ng; 30 ne |
|--|---|------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------|--------------------|
| | | | | Proj | Proj | Proj | Proj | Act |
| DEPARTMENT: ENGINEERING - ELECTRICAL Vote: Electricity | | | | | | | | |
| Sub 57 upgrade part 1 switch gear protection | Sub 57 upgrade part 1 switch gear protection | All | 4,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | | |
| Replace circuit breakers Projection upgrade for substation | Replace circuit breakers Projection upgrade for substation | All | 363,000 363,000 | 121,000 121,000 | 121,000 121,000 | 121,000 121,000 | | |
| Scada system | Purchase scada system | | 200,000 | Ź | 200,000 | ĺ | | |
| Electrification projects Fault Locator | Electrification projects Purchase Fault Locator | All | 4,500,000 350.000 | 1,500,000 350,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | | |
| Undertake street light improvement Tools & Equipment | Undertake street light improvement Purchase tools & Equipment | All | 50,000 100,000 | 100,000 | 50,000 | | | |
| | | | 10,426,000 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | |

Quarterly Projections for Service Delivery Targets and other Performance Indicators : 2011/2012 DEPARTMENT - CORPORATE SERVICES

| | | Annual Target | Quarter Ending September | Quarter Ending December | Quarter Ending March | Quarter Ending June |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Vote/Indicator | Unit of Measurement | | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |
| DEPARTMENT - CORPORATE SERVICES | | | | | | |
| 1. Vote: Finance & Administration | | | | | | |
| 1.1 Administration Compilation of agenda & minutes | Number of agendas and meetings | 24 | | | | |
| | Number of responses to be | | | | | |
| Enquiries and complaints Municipal Website | attended on a monthly basis Upgrade performed on a | Ongoing | | | | |
| upgrade | monthly basis | 12 | | | | |
| Skills Development Plan | Submit report by July 2010 | 1 | | | | |
| Draft 2011/2012 budget Approved 2011/2012 | Submit in January 2011 | 1 | | | | |
| budget Performance agreements | Published in June 2011 | 1 | | | | |
| and S D B I P | Made public in July 2010 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Implementing media communication policy | Finalise in August 2010 | 1 | | | | |

| Train staff on customer care and Batho Pele principles Appoint customer care liason officer | Training to take place in August 2010 To be appointed in July 2010 | 1 | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Establish a strategy to deal with the trucks stopping within the town and the surrounding areas. | Finalise in September 2010 | 1 | | |
| Address the issue of parking in town | Finalise in December 2010 | 1 | | |
| Attend issues regarding the taxi rank permits | Finalise in October 2010 | 1 | | |

| |] | | | | 1 | l I |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| | | | | | | |
| Update staffs' career profile | Finalise in January 2011 | 1 | | | | |
| 1.2 Other | | | | | | |
| Furniture Foyer | Purchase of furnisher for foyer Purchase aircon for Town | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | |
| Air Conditioner | Library Purchase study tables & chairs | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | |
| Study Tables & Chairs | for Town Library Purchase 7 computers & 2 | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | |
| Computers x7 & 2 printers | printers for Town Library Purchase tables & chairs for | 40,000 | | | 40,000 | |
| Council tables & chairs | council chambers Purchase crockery & cutlery & | 80,000 | 80,000 | | | |
| Crockery & Cutlery | table cloth Purchase burglar guards for | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | |
| Burglar Guards | Paapkuilsfontein Hall Purchase fans for | 10,000 | | | | 10000 |
| Fans | Paapkuilsfontein Hall Purchase burglar guards for | 5,000 | | | | 5000 |
| Burglar Guards | Wembezi C Section Hall Purchase burglar guards for | 10,000 | | | | 10000 |
| Burglar Guards | Mimosadale hall | 10,000 | | | | 10000 |
| Burglar Guards | Purchase burglar guards for Cornfiellds hall Purchase burglar guards for | 10,000 | | | | 10000 |
| Burglar Guards | Kwanombamba hall | 10,000 240,000 | | | | 10000 |

Quarterly Projections for Service Delivery Targets and other Performance Indicators : 2010/2011 DEPARTMENT - MUNICIPAL MANAGER'S OFFICE

| Vote/Indicators | Unit of Measurement | Annual Target | Qtr Ending; 30 Sept | Qtr Ending; 31 Dec | Qtr Ending; 31 March | Qtr Ending; 30 June |
|--|---|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | Proj | Proj | Proj | Proj |
| DEPARTMENT - MUNICIPAL MANAGER'S OFFICE Vote: Executive and Council | | | | | | |
| 1. Mayoral Office Report on implementation of budget | Submit quarterly to the Council | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Prepare and review performance indicators in SDBIP | Made public in July 2010 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Prepare and review performance agreements in SDBIP Set time schedule of key deadlines for budget | Made public in July 2010 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| and IDP process Mid year performance assessment report | Tabled in August 2010 Tabled in January 2011 | 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | |
| Adjustments budget Revisions to IDP, resolutions and other related | Adjustments should have been done and tabled by February 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| documents | Tabled at council meeting in March 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Issues raised in the Auditor General report | Will be addressed by March 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Consultation on draft budget | Meeting to be held in April 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Responds to submissions of community Service Delivery and Budget Implementation | Effect amendments in budget in May 2011 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Plan | Approve in June 2011 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| 2. Municipal Manager | | | | | | |
| Establish register of outstanding issues | Finalise and present to MANCO in January 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |

| Decrees an adjust on the action line issues | | | | | | | Ī |
|---|--|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| Progress reporting on the outstanding issues by each departmental head Report to Council on expenditure incurred on | Tabled in MANCO meeting in March 2011 and May 2011 | | | | | | |
| staff salaries, wages, allowances and benefits and other important issues Mid year perfomance assessment report to | Meetings and submissions to the council every quarter | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Mayor, National Treasury and Provincial Treasury. Promote local economic development to large, | Submit in January 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| medium and small business owners and other stakeholders | Meetings to take place on a quarterly basis | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Time schedule of key deadlines for budget and IDP process Public involvement and revision of | Hold izimbizo as follows: in September 2010, November 2010, January 2011, April 2011 and June 2011 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| municipality's rates and engagement of chamber | Public meetings to be held quarterly | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Hosting of local sports achievers' function | Event takes place in March 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| Undertake meetings with Traditional councils (Amakhosi) Establish area based managers at Weenen and | Meet on a quarterly basis | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Wembezi and second a senior person to these area Hold management meetings at Wembezi and Weenen in order to attend querries and | Finalise appointments in July 2010 | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| concerns of the public in these areas | Meeting to take place on a monthly basis | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Issue a newsletter to the public Place advertisement (article) in the local news | Publish on a quarterly basis | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| paper in respect of municipality's services rendered and municipal programms Municipal website update regarding municipal | Publish on a monthly basis | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| plans, strategies and policies) Hold Mayoral breakfast with the bursary | Update every quarter | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| holders | Meeting to take place every year in June 2011 | 1 | | | | 1 | |

| Monthly budget statements to the Mayor and PT Annual financial statements to Auditor General | Tabled every month to the council Submit at the end of August 2010 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|--|--|-------|------|---|---|---|
| IDP consolidation processes Engages with national and provincial sector | Start in August 2010 | 3 | 3 | | | |
| departments on sector specific programmes for allignment with the IDP Impact of different service delivery levels on | Start in September 2010 | 4 | 4 | | | |
| rates and tariffs | Inform community in October 2010 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| National policies, budget plans, and potential | | | | | | |
| price increase of bulk resources | Review with dept heads in October 2010 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Changes to the IDP for incorporation into IDP | | | | | | |
| review | Review in November 2010 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Annual report | Made public in January 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Annual report to Auditor General, Provincial | | | | | | |
| Treasury and Department of Provincial Local | | | | | | |
| Government | Submit in January 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Draft budget and plans for the next 3 years to | | | | | | |
| the Mayor | Submit in March 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Oversight report of annual report | Made public in March 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Draft budget, plans and proposed revisions to | | | | | | |
| IDP | Publish in March 2011 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Approved budget and budget related policies Draft SDBIP and annual performance | Publish in July 2011 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| agreements to the Mayor | Submit in July 2010 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Approved SDBIP to National Treasury | Submit in July 2010 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 1.4 Capital Expenditure | | | | | | |
| Blinds | Puchase blinds | 1,000 | 1000 | | | |
| Chairs | Purchase Chairs | 1,500 | 1500 | | | |
| | | 2,500 | | | | |

DETAILED CAPITAL BUDGET UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY

FUNDING 2010-2011

| UWI I SHEZI WUNICIPALITY | | | | |
|---|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 |
| DEPARTMENT | | R | R | R |
| Economic,planning, community services | | | | |
| REFUSE SERVICES | | | | |
| WEMBEZI | | | | |
| Rear-end compactor with winch | | | 850000 | |
| Tractors x1 | | | 150000 | 150000 |
| Trailers x1 | | | 100000 | |
| Shelters for tractors - Ehlathini workshop area | | | 100000 | 0 |
| | | 0 | 1200000 | 150000 |
| WEENEN | | | | |
| Tractor | | | 0 | 0 |
| Trailer | | | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| ESTCOURT / WEMBEZI | | | | |
| Skip loader (replace NE 9810) | | | 2000000 | |
| TLB | | | 600000 | |
| Tipper truck | | | 400000 | |
| Rear-end compactor with winch x2 | | | 2000000 | |
| Borehole | CNL | 50000 | 2000000 | |
| Water pump | CNL | 10000 | 10000 | |
| Fencing - Landfill site | MIG | 2831000 | 2500000 | |
| Trenches | CNL | | | 0 |
| Installation - Electricity landfill site | | | 50000 | |
| weigh bridge office | | 50000 | | |
| weigh bridge | | 0 | 400000 | |
| Tools | CNL | 10000 | 10000 | |
| Heavy duty grease gun(replacement) | CNL | 2000 | 2000 | |
| High pressure washer(replacememnt) | CNL | | 2000 | |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 2,953,000 | 9974000 | 0 |
| Parks & Verges | | | | |
| WEMBEZI | | | | |
| Tractor x1 | CNL | | 275,000 | 250,000 |
| Slashers (tractor) x2 | CNL | 40,000 | 44,000 | 0 |
| Kubota Tractor x1 | CNL | 200,000 | 220,000 | 0 |
| brushcutters x6 | CNL | 45,000 | 50,000 | |
| Chainsaws x1 | CNL | 8,000 | 9,000 | |
| | | | | |

| Combi- court - resurfacing | CNL | | 0 | 60000 |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | CNL | | 40,000 | |
| Slasher with box x1 | CNL | | 110000 | 0 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 293,000 | 748,000 | 310,000 |
| ESTCOURT | | | | |
| Tractor x3 | CNL | 250,000 | 500,000 | 200,000 |
| Parks office and workshop extension | | | 100,000 | |
| Workshop tools | CNL | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| Slashers x2 | CNL | 40,000 | 40,000 | |
| Kubota Tractor | | | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Gyromower roller x1 | CNL | 25,000 | 25,000 | |
| Gyromower box x1 | | | | |
| Chainsaw | CNL | 8,000 | | |
| Playground equipment (upgrade and new equipment) | | | | |
| Brushcutters | CNL | 70,000 | | |
| HOSTEL | CRU | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| NDPG grant | NDPG | 4,000,000 | 3000000 | 1000000 |
| Marking machine | CNL | 0 | | |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 24,403,000 | 23,875,000 | 21,400,000 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| WEENEN | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Tractor | CNL | | 250000 | |
| Tractor Slasher | CNL CNL | 20,000 | 250000 | |
| Slasher Brushcutters | CNL CNL | 45,000 | 250000 | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower | CNL CNL CNL | | 250000 | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat | CNL CNL CNL es) | 45,000 | 250000 | 250000 |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) | CNL CNL CNL | 45,000 50,000 | | 250000 |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat | CNL CNL CNL es) | 45,000 | 250,000 250,000 | 250000 250,000 |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) | CNL CNL CNL es) | 45,000 50,000 | | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) | CNL CNL CNL es) | 45,000 50,000 | | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL | CNL CNL CNL es) | 45,000 50,000 | | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL Parks & Recreation | CNL CNL CNL es) | 45,000 50,000 | | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL Parks & Recreation Parks admin | CNL CNL CNL es) | 45,000 50,000 | | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL Parks & Recreation Parks admin Estcourt | CNL CNL CNL es) CNL | 45,000 50,000 115,000 | 250,000 | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL Parks & Recreation Parks admin Estcourt Computer | CNL CNL CNL es) CNL | 45,000 50,000 115,000 | 250,000 20,000 | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL Parks & Recreation Parks admin Estcourt Computer Digital camera Health and safety equipment(Building control officer) | CNL CNL es) CNL CNL CNL | 45,000 50,000 115,000 5,000 | 250,000 20,000 | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL Parks & Recreation Parks admin Estcourt Computer Digital camera Health and safety equipment(Building control officer) Office furniture and Equipment(building control) | CNL CNL es) CNL CNL CNL CNL CNL | 45,000 50,000 115,000 5,000 6000 | 250,000 20,000 | |
| Slasher Brushcutters Gyromower Renovation of building -(painting toilets,roof,doors.gat Sports field development (kwanobamba) TOTAL Parks & Recreation Parks admin Estcourt Computer Digital camera Health and safety equipment(Building control officer) Office furniture and Equipment(building controll officer) | CNL CNL es) CNL CNL CNL CNL CNL CNL | 45,000 50,000 115,000 5,000 6000 15,000 | 250,000 20,000 2,000 | |

| TOTAL | | 26,000 | 222,000 | 80,200 |
|---|------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | |
| WEMBEZI | | | | |
| Slashers(tractor) x2 | CNL | 0 | 275,000 | 0 |
| Kubota tractor | CNL | | 220000 | 0 |
| Trailer for tractor | CNL | 0 | 0 | 50,000 |
| Chainsaw | CNL | 0 | 9,000 | 0 |
| Children's playground C and VQ Sections | CNL | 0 | 0 | 60,000 |
| TOTAL | | 0 | 504,000 | 110,000 |
| Weenen | | | | |
| 1x Slasher | CNL | | 400000 | |
| 1x Park (Kwanobamba) | CNL | | 275000 | |
| Gyromower | CNL | 0 | 0 | 55,000 |
| TOTAL | ONE | 0 | 675000 | 55000 |
| | | | 0.0000 | |
| FIRE | | | | |
| Major fire tender(terminator tanker pumper) | CNL | 500,000 | 2,500,000 | |
| Torches x5 | CNL | 5,000 | | |
| Life Hammer x1 | CNL | 1,000 | | |
| Fire extinguishers x5 | CNL | 1,975 | | |
| Foam Drums x20 | CNL | 50,000 | | |
| Soccer table x1 | CNL | | | 15000 |
| TV set with DVD for Lectures | CNL | 0 | | |
| Tools | CNL | 20,000 | 30,000 | |
| Akron branch | CNL | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| uniforms | CNL | | | |
| Breathing appaatus x5 | CNL | 15,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 |
| Gas Detector 1x | CNL | 12,000 | 0 | 40,000 |
| Flood light x1 | CNL | 5,000 | | |
| Fire Hoses | CNL | 10,000 | 50,000 | |
| Measuring wheel | CNL | 700 | | |
| Laptop | CNL | 0 | | |
| Hydrant pressure test | CNL | 220,000 | | |
| Digital camera with Flash | CNL | 2,000 | | |
| Compure and Software | CNL | 7,000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 857,675 | 2,610,000 | 93,000 |
| CLINICS | | | | |
| CLINICS Extension New Clinic | CNII | | | |
| Extension New Clinic | CNL | F 000 | | 5000 |
| Furniture & Equipment | CNL | 5,000 | | 5000 |

| Airconditioner x2 | CNL | 20,000 | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 25,000 | 0 | 5,000 |
| | | | | |
| LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | 2 | | | |
| LED - Canning factory & market | CNL | | 900,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Tourism plan | CNL | 20,000 | 450,000 | |
| TOTAL | | 20,000 | 1,350,000 | 1,000,000 |
| | | | | |
| SPORTS FEILDS WEMBEZI | | | | |
| Ground marking machine x1 | CNL | 17,000 | 18,700 | |
| Concrete fencing- C section soccer field | CNL | .,,,,,,,,, | 250,000 | |
| Regrassing of feild and drainage - C Section | CNL | 10,000 | , | 0 |
| Soccer nets | CNL | 10,000 | | |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 37,000 | 268,700 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| Forderville | | | | |
| Upgrade field and fencing | | | | |
| | | | | _ |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> ESTCOURT | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 0 | 0 500000 | 0 |
| ESTCOURT | stel complte | | | 40000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities | stel complte | | 500000 | |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho | stel complte CNL | | 500000 110000 | 40000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) | · | 0 | 500000 110000 | 40000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL | · | 0 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 | 40000 130000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome | · | 0 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome Runaway tarring | · | 0 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 600,000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome Runaway tarring Palisade fencing | · | 5,000 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 660,000 660,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 600,000 600,000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome Runaway tarring | · | 0 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 600,000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome Runaway tarring Palisade fencing TOTAL CEMETERIES | · | 5,000 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 660,000 660,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 600,000 600,000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome Runaway tarring Palisade fencing TOTAL CEMETERIES WEENEN | CNL | 5,000 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 660,000 660,000 1,320,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 600,000 600,000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome Runaway tarring Palisade fencing TOTAL CEMETERIES WEENEN New cemetry- Kwanobamba (land) | CNL | 5,000 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 660,000 660,000 1,320,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 600,000 600,000 |
| ESTCOURT Lambert park - improvements facilities Zamphumpu hostel(drainag-sports feild) - after ho Sports Equioment (soccer and netball poles) Cricket Screen TOTAL Aerodrome Runaway tarring Palisade fencing TOTAL CEMETERIES WEENEN | CNL | 5,000 5,000 | 500000 110000 143000 753,000 660,000 660,000 1,320,000 | 40000 130000 170,000 600,000 600,000 |

| Wembezi | | | | |
|---|------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Establishment of cementary | CNL | | 100,000 | |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | | 100,000 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| <u>clinics</u> | | | | |
| <u>clinics</u> | | | | |
| park homes | CNL | | 20000 | |
| construction of water proof covering as waiting area | CNL | | 60000 | |
| additional male and female toilet block medical equipment Glucometer and | CNL | | 300000 | |
| Haemoglobinmeter | CNL | | 6000 | |
| Tv and DVD for waiting room | CNL | | 6000 | |
| Oil heater | CNL | | 600 | |
| fridge | CNL | | 3000 | |
| Estcourt | | | | |
| Development of new cemetry | CNL | 0 | | 126,400 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 395,600 | 126,400 |
| SWIMMING POOLS ESTCOURT Bert tucker swimming pool painting Bert tucker swimming pool filtration plant repairs (Phase 2) Hilldene swimming pool fence replacement (Phase 2) bert tucker swimming pool resurfacing hilldene swimming pool painting | CNL CNL | 100,000 120,000 | 0 50,000 0 90,000 50,000 | 50000 50,000 |
| Hilldene swimming pool filtration plant repairs | | | 0 | 80,000 |
| TOTAL | | 220,000 | 190,000 | 130,000 |
| ESTCOURT New cementary - EAI assessment Hostel Redevelopment / CRU Programme TOTAL | CNL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEMBEZI | | | | |
| Interchange / LED Project | NDPG | | 12400 | 7,000,000 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 12,400 | 7,000,000 |
| Hildene swimming pool | CNL | | | |
| Parking lot- Estcourt | CNL | | | |

| TOTAL PLANNING, ECONOMIC AND COMMUNIT | TY SERVICES | 28,954,675 | 45,047,700 | 32,079,600 |
|---|-------------|------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT | | | | |
| Replace transfomer cables and switch | DBSA | 0 | 500000 | 300000 |
| Sub 57 upgrade part 1 switchgear protection | DBSA | 4,500,000 | 3000000 | 3000000 |
| Replace circuit breakers | CNL | 363,000 | 330000 | 330000 |
| Street lights Wembezi A+C Rensburg drift & Mast | CNL | 0 | 363000 | 363000 |
| Protection upgrade for substation | CNL | 363,000 | 363000 | 363000 |
| Scada system | CNL | 200,000 | 500000 | 500000 |
| Electrification projects | INEP | 4,500,000 | 851000 | 0 |
| Fault locator | CNL | 350,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Undertake street light improvement | CNL | 50,000 | 100000 | 50000 |
| Tools and equipment | CNL | 100,000 | 200000 | 0 |
| Western side | Province | 100,000 | 300000 | 300000 |
| TOTAL | Province | 10,426,000 | 6,507,000 | 5,206,000 |
| | | | 2,001,000 | 5,255,555 |
| TOTAL ELECTRICITY SERVICES | | 10,426,000 | 6,507,000 | 5,206,000 |
| MUNICIPAL MANAGER | | l | | |
| Blinds | CNL | 1,000 | | |
| Chairs | CNL | 1,500 | | |
| Furniture and Equipment | CNL | 0 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| Filling carbnets | CNL | 0 | 3,333 | . 5,555 |
| TOTAL | | 2,500 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| | | , | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| TOTAL MUNICIPAL MANAGER | | 2,500 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| CORPORATE SERVICES | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ADMIN Deplement of airconditioners, MM, MM | | | | |
| Replacement of airconditioners- MM, MM PA,MAYORS PA | CNL | | 100000 | |
| Laptop | | | | |
| paint main building | | | 200000 | |
| Furniture - Foyer | | 10000 | | |
| TOTAL | | 10000 | 300000 | |
| | | | | |
| MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS | | | | |
| Paint Municipal Building Offices | | | 200000 | |
| Recarpet Main Buildings | CNL | 0 | | 300000 |

| TOWN LIBRARY | TOTAL | | 0 | 200000 | 300000 |
|--|----------------------------------|------|-------|---------|--------|
| Air conditioner | <u>TOTAL</u> | | | 20000 | |
| Air conditioner | TOWN LIBRARY | | | | |
| Study tables and chairs CNL 15000 10,000 | | CNI | 30000 | 50,000 | |
| Computers x7 and 2 printers | | | | | |
| COUNCIL COuncil tables & chairs CNL 80000 80000 Refurblish Town Hall CNL Security fencing(concrete) Security fencing(concrete) CNL Security fencing(concrete) Security fencing(concrete) CNL Security fencing(concrete) Security fencing(concrete) | - | | | 10,000 | 10 000 |
| Council tables & chairs | | J.12 | | 60000 | 10000 |
| Council tables & chairs | | | | | |
| Concisery & cutlery & table cloth | COUNCIL | | | | |
| Crockery & cutlery & table cloth 10000 90000 | Council tables & chairs | CNL | 80000 | | |
| TOTAL 90000 | cladding | CNL | | | |
| TOWN HALL OFFICES Computer & Printer - Senior Caretaker CNL | Crockery & cutlery & table cloth | | 10000 | | |
| Computer & Printer - Senior Caretaker CNL 15000 | <u>TOTAL</u> | | 90000 | | |
| Computer & Printer - Senior Caretaker CNL 15000 | | | | | |
| Name | | | | | |
| Refurbish Town Hall | | CNL | | | |
| TOTAL COLITA HALL CNL 170000 Repainting CNL 0 20,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 30,000 Install fans CNL 0 5000 TOTAL 0 190000 35000 FORDERVILLE HALL Refurbish Hall CNL 0 100,000 0 Hall chairs CNL 0 10,000 80000 Curtaining CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 50,000 FORDERVILLE LIBRARY FORDERVILLE LIBRARY 0 240000 135000 | | | | 80000 | |
| COLITA HALL Security fencing(concrete) CNL 170000 Repainting CNL 0 20,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 30,000 Install fans CNL 0 5000 TOTAL 0 190000 35000 FORDERVILLE HALL Refurbish Hall CNL 0 100,000 0 Hall chairs CNL 0 10,000 80000 Curtaining CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 13500 | Refurbish Town Hall | CNL | | 0 | |
| Security fencing (concrete) | <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 95000 | |
| Repainting | COLITA HALL | | | | |
| Upgrade parking area CNL 0 30,000 | Security fencing(concrete) | CNL | | 170000 | |
| Stable FORDERVILLE HALL FORDERVILLE HALL Refurbish Hall CNL 0 100,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Repainting | CNL | 0 | 20,000 | |
| TOTAL 0 190000 35000 FORDERVILLE HALL Refurbish Hall CNL 0 100,000 0 Hall chairs CNL 0 10,000 80000 Curtaining CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 135000 | Upgrade parking area | CNL | 0 | | 30,000 |
| FORDERVILLE HALL Refurbish Hall CNL CNL 0 100,000 80000 Curtaining CNL Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL CNL 0 0 50,000 TOTAL CNL 0 0 0 50,000 TOTAL FORDERVILLE LIBRARY | Install fans | CNL | 0 | | 5000 |
| Refurbish Hall CNL 0 100,000 0 Hall chairs CNL 0 10,000 8000 Curtaining CNL 50,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 135000 | <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 190000 | 35000 |
| Refurbish Hall CNL 0 100,000 0 Hall chairs CNL 0 10,000 8000 Curtaining CNL 50,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 135000 | FORDERVILLE HALL | | | | |
| Hall chairs CNL 0 10,000 80000 Curtaining CNL 50,000 50,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 135000 | | CNL | 0 | 100,000 | 0 |
| Curtaining CNL 50,000 Upgrade parking area CNL 0 50,000 Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 135000 | Hall chairs | CNL | 0 | | 80000 |
| Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 135000 | Curtaining | CNL | | 50,000 | |
| Upgrade kitchen CNL 0 30,000 Upgrade outdoor cooking CNL 0 0 50,000 Install fans CNL 0 0 5,000 TOTAL 0 240000 135000 | Upgrade parking area | CNL | 0 | 50,000 | |
| Install fans | Upgrade kitchen | CNL | 0 | 30,000 | |
| TOTAL 0 240000 135000 FORDERVILLE LIBRARY | Upgrade outdoor cooking | CNL | 0 | 0 | 50,000 |
| FORDERVILLE LIBRARY | Install fans | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5,000 |
| | <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 240000 | 135000 |
| | FORDERVILLE LIBRARY | | | | |
| | | CNL | 0 | 30,000 | 0 |

| Chair x 24 | CNL | 0 | 5,000 | 0 |
|---|------|-------|-----------------|--------|
| Music center | CNL | 0 | 1,000 | 0 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 36000 | 0 |
| PAAPKUILSFONTEIN HALL | | | | |
| Burglar Guards (windows) | CNL | 10000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| Fans | CNL | 5000 | 1,000 | |
| Increase toilet facilities | CNL | 0 | 20,000 | |
| Install kitchen | CNL | 0 | 0 | |
| Install security fencing & gates | CNL | 0 | 0 | 30,000 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 15000 | 21000 | 50,000 |
| EORT DURNEORD MUSEUM | | | | |
| FORT DURNFORD MUSEUM | CNL | 0 | E0 000 | 0 |
| Re-tatching of huts Office desks & chairs | CNL | 0 | 50,000 3,000 | 0 |
| office carpert & curtains | CNL | 0 | 3,000 | 0 |
| Air conditioner | CNL | 0 | 5,000 | 0 |
| Microwave | CNL | 0 | 1,000 | 0 |
| New signage | CNL | 0 | 0 | 2,000 |
| TOTAL | CINE | 0 | 62,000 | 2000 |
| TOTAL | | | 02,000 | 2000 |
| PROTECTION SERVICES | | | | |
| Robot -(Gerry's Motors) | CNL | 0 | 200,000 | |
| Refurbish traffic offices | CNL | U | 200,000 | |
| TOTAL | ONL | 0 | 400000 | 0 |
| TOTAL | | | 400000 | |
| WEMBEZI | | | | |
| WEMBEZI LIBRARY | | | | |
| Polisher | CNL | 0 | 3000 | |
| Security Lights | CNL | | 5000 | 5000 |
| Tables& chairs | CNL | | 2000 | 0 |
| Counter Chairs x 4 | CNL | 0 | 2000 | 0 |
| Painting | CNL | 0 | 30,000 | 0 |
| Fitted office cuboards | CNL | 0 | 0 | 10,000 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 42000 | 15000 |
| | | | | |
| WEMBEZI COMMUNITY HALL | ONU | | 77.000 | |
| Refurbish Hall roof | CNL | | 77,200 | |
| Curtaining | CNL | | 10,000 | 20.000 |
| Upgrade kitchen | CNL | | 0 | 20,000 |

| Install fans | CNL | | | 5000 |
|----------------------------------|-----|-------|---------|----------|
| Chairs | CNL | 0 | 20,000 | 0 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 107,200 | 25000 |
| | | | | |
| WEMBEZI COMMUNITY HALL-C SECTION | | | | |
| Burglar guards(windows) | CNL | 10000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| Tar parking | | | 100000 | |
| TOTAL | | 10000 | 100000 | 20,000 |
| MIMOSADALE COMMUNITY HALL | | | | |
| Burglar guards (windows) | CNL | 10000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| Tar parking | CNL | | 100000 | |
| Tables | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| Install fans | CNL | 0 | 0 | 10000 |
| Upgrade parking area | CNL | 0 | 0 | 10000 |
| TOTAL | | 10000 | 100000 | 45,000 |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |
| CORNFIELDS COMMUNITY HALL | | | | |
| Burglar guards (windows) | CNL | 10000 | 0 | 20000 |
| Tar parking | CNL | 0 | 100000 | |
| Table | CNL | 0 | 10000 | 0 |
| Install ceiling fans | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| TOTAL | | 10000 | 110000 | 25000 |
| KWANOBAMBA COMMUNITY | | | | |
| Burglar guards (windows) | CNL | 10000 | 50000 | 20000 |
| Tar parking | CNL | 0 | 100000 | |
| Tables | CNL | 0 | 20000 | 0 |
| Install ceiling fans | CNL | 0 | 0 | 5000 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 10000 | 170000 | 25000 |
| | | | | |
| WEENEN COMMUNITY HALL | | | | |
| Hall (Major repairs) | CNL | | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 0 | | |
| Estcourt MUSEUM | | | | |
| Re- thatching of huts | | 0 | | |
| Ç | | 0 | | |
| | | | | |
| WEENEN MUSEUM | | | | |
| Painting & repairs | CNL | | 0 | 0 |

| Rapair Ox wagon | CNL | 0 | | |
|---------------------------|-----|---|-------|------|
| New signage | CNL | 0 | 2000 | 2000 |
| Visitors chairs | CNL | 0 | 0 | 2000 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 2000 | 4000 |
| | | | | |
| WEENEN LIBRARY | | | | |
| Repair floor | CNL | | 0 | 0 |
| Computer & printer | CNL | | 0 | 0 |
| General library furniture | CNL | | 15000 | 0 |
| <u>TOTAL</u> | | 0 | 15000 | 0 |
| | | | | |

| TOTAL CORPORATE SERVICES | | 240,000 | 2,250,200 | 691,000 |
|--|------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | _ | | |
| FINANCE | | | | |
| FURNITURE AND OFFICE EQUIPMENT | CNL | | 7000 | 0 |
| Software | CNL | 20,000 | 50,000 | 0 |
| Computers x 5 | CNL | 30,000 | 100 | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 50,000 | 57100 | 0 |
| TOTAL FINANCE | | 50,000 | 57,100 | 0 |
| TOTALTIMANOL | | 30,000 | 57,100 | |
| | | | | |
| CIVIL | | | | |
| Roller | CNL | | 450000 | |
| Roller Trailler | CNL | | 300000 | |
| Truck 6m3 | CNL | | | |
| Concrete /Asphalt roller | CNL | 0 | | |
| 1000 Diesel trailer with pump & meter | CNL | 0 | | |
| Tar Road - slurry to existing road | CNL | | | |
| New tarred road 1 km - estcourt Gravel road - neqw road 50km - including low water | CNL | | | |
| crossing | CNL | | | |
| EPWP PROGRAMME | CNL | | 150000 | |
| Grader | DBSA | | | |
| TLB | DBSA | | | |
| Tipper Trucks | DBSA | | | |
| Roller Trailers | DBSA | | | |
| MIG project | MIG | 7,679,000 | 10279000 | 13482000 |
| | | 7,679,000 | 11,179,000 | 13,482,000 |

| TOTAL | 47,352,175 | 65,046,000 | 51,468,600 |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| FUNDING | | | |
| MIG | 10,510,000 | 12,779,000 | 13,482,000 |
| INEP | 4,500,000 | 851,000 | 0 |
| CRU | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |

7,679,000

4,000,000

4,500,000

3,842,175

47,352,175

11,179,000

3,000,000

3,000,000

25,416,000

65,046,000

13,482,000

1,000,000

3,000,000

13,986,600

51,468,600

TOTAL CIVIL

NDPG DBSA

Council Funded



ORGINSATIONAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Performance Management System draws together the information and analysis required at all levels to monitor and evaluate inputs, outputs and outcomes of the implementation programme and use this information in continued planning and implementation.

The purpose of the Performance Management System is to enable the assessment of the effectiveness of the Municipality's programme of delivery against it's developmental objectives, with the intention of progressively improving the quality of its planning and delivery.

The key responsibility for ensuring it is in place, and its management, effectively rests with the Municipal Manager. The operational management of the PMS is been co-ordinated by the IDP/LED Manager. The Organizational Performance Management System is reviewed annually in-house. During the 2009\2010 FINANCIAL Year ESP Consulting was appointed by KZN COGTA to assist the municipality in the development of the scorecard and its alignment to the IDP 2010\2011.

RESPONSE TO MEC LETTER

The comments of the MEC are noted and we have complied with the request of the MEC in terms of including statistics of previous years targets which form the baseline of the scorecard as evidenced in the draft scorecard 2011/2012.

Performance Management Framework for the

Umtshezi Municipality

29 July 2010

Table of Contents

| 1. | INTRODUCTION |
|------|---|
| 2. | THE LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK FOR PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT |
| 3. | MANAGING AND MEASURING PERFORMANCE AT VARIOUS LEVELS |
| 4. | OBJECTIVES OF THE UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM |
| 5. | PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE OPMS OF THE MUNICIPALITY |
| 6. | PREFERRED PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT MODEL |
| 7. | THE PROCESS OF MANAGING PERFORMANCE |
| 8. | THE AUDITING OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES |
| 9. | GENERAL ISSUES RELATED TO PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT |
| 10. | Requirements for the implementation of the framework |
| 11. | CONCLUSION |
| Anr | nexures |
| "A". | Organisational Scorecard |
| "B". | 2001 Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations |
| "C" | 2006 Performance Regulations for Section 57 Managers |
| "D" | Article on making the most of a Municipality's first performance report |
| "E" | Recommended data management system and process |
| "F". | Example of an integrated IDP, OPMS and Budget cycle |

- "G" Job Profile and Description: Manager responsible for Organisational Performance Management
- "H" Summary of implementation requirements as per the OPMS cycle

1. Introduction

The Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations stipulate that a municipality's Organisational Performance Management System (OPMS) must entail a framework that describes and represents how the municipality's cycle and processes of performance planning, monitoring, measurement, review, reporting and improvement will be conducted, organised and managed, including determining the roles of the different roleplayers.

In line with the said legal requirement this framework should be seen as a policy document that will set out:

- The requirements that the Umtshezi Municipality's OPMS will need to fulfill,
- The principles that must inform its development and subsequent implementation,
- The preferred performance management model of the Municipality,
- The process by which the system will work,
- The delegation of responsibilities for different roles in the process and
- A plan for the implementation of the system.

2. The legislative framework for performance management

The major OPMS policy instruments are the 1998 White Paper on Local Government supported by the Batho Pele principles encompassed in the White Paper on the Transformation of Public Service Delivery (1997). These policies were given legal stature through the adoption of the Municipal Systems Act in 2000 (Act 32 of 2000).

The Municipal System Act requires all municipalities to:


- Develop a performance management system
- Set targets and monitor and review the performance of the Municipality based on indicators linked to their Integrated Development Plan (IDP)
- Publish an annual performance report on performance of the Municipality forming part of its annual report as per the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA).
- Incorporate and report on a set of general (sometimes also referred to as national) indicators prescribed by the Minister responsible for local government
- Conduct, on a continuous basis, an internal audit of all performance measures
- Have their annual performance report audited by the Auditor-General
- Involve the community in setting indicators and targets and reviewing municipal performance

The Minister responsible for local government published the Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations (2001) in terms of the Municipal Systems Act setting out in detail the requirements for a municipal OPMS. The Regulations also contain the general indicators prescribed by the Minister responsible for local government and have been attached as **Annexure "B"**. In 2006 the Minister published a further set of Regulations dealing with Performance Management for Municipal Managers and Managers Directly Accountable to Municipal Managers. A copy thereof is attached as **Annexure "C"**.

It is also important to note that the MFMA contains various important provisions related to municipal performance management. For instance, the MFMA requires municipalities to annually adopt a Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) with service delivery targets and performance indicators – provision is also made for this at departmental level in a circular issued by the National Treasury. Whilst considering and approving the annual budget the Municipality must also set measurable performance targets for each revenue source and vote. Finally, the Municipality must compile an annual report, which must include a performance report compiled in terms of the Systems Act

3. Managing and measuring performance at various levels

Performance management can be applied to various levels within any organisation. The legislative framework as set out above provides for performance management at various levels in a municipality including organisational (sometimes also referred to as municipal, corporate or strategic) level, operational (also referred to as services, departmental or section/team level) and lastly, at individual level as. These levels are however integrated and interdependent on each other.



Strategic (Organisational) Performance linked to the integrated development plan (IDP) of a municipality

At this level the performance of the municipality is measured and managed against the progress made in achieving the strategic objectives as set out in the integrated development plan (IDP) of the municipality. This is done on the basis of key performance indicators and targets set for each of the IDP objectives of a municipality. Given that an IDP has a five-year timespan the measures set at this level should be of a strategic and mostly long-term nature with an outcome and impact focus.

The measures set for the Municipality at organisational level must be captured in an organisational scorecard structured in terms of the preferred performance management model of the Municipality (see section 6). A copy of the scorecard as developed for the Umtshezi Municipality is attached as **Annexure "A"**.

Operational (Departmental) Performance linked to the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) of a municipality

The validity of the strategy of the municipality and the extent to which it is successfully implemented is also measured and managed at operational (sometimes also referred to as departmental) level. At this level this is achieved by measuring the progress made with service delivery and implementing the budget of the municipality through service delivery measures and targets captured in the annual service delivery and budget implementation plan (SDBIP) of a municipality. Given that a SDBIP has a one-year timespan the measures set at this level should be of a operational and short to medium-term nature with an input (budget) and output (service delivery) focus.

Individual Performance linked to OPMS and the individuals key performance areas and job descriptions

The performance of individuals is measured against personal performance targets, which are set in accordance with job descriptions and their roles linked to the strategy of the municipality and the business plans (SDBIP's) of the operational units (departments) at a municipality. At section 57 level the 2006 Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers reporting directly to Municipal Managers has put in place a legislative framework for linking the individual performance of section 57 Managers to the strategy and operations of a municipality.

By cascading performance measures from organisational to operational to individual level, both the IDP and the SDBIP form the link to individual performance management. This ensures that performance management at the various levels relate to one another, which is a requirement of the 2001 Municipal Planning and Performance Regulations. The MFMA specifically requires that the annual performance agreements of managers must be linked to the SDBIP of a municipality and the measurable performance objectives approved with the budget.

4. Objectives of the Umtshezi Municipality Performance Management System

As indicated in the previous section, the Municipality's OPMS is the primary mechanism to monitor, review and improve the implementation of its IDP and to gauge the progress made in achieving the objectives set out in the IDP. The system should fulfill the following objectives:

• Facilitate increased accountability

The performance management system should provide a mechanism for ensuring increased accountability between the local community, politicians, the Municipal Council and the municipal management team.

• Support municipal oversight

The performance management system should support oversight by the Council and community over the performance of the Executive Committee and Municipal Administration.

Facilitate learning and improvement

The OPMS should facilitate learning in order to enable the Municipality to improve delivery.

Provide early warning signals

It is important that the system ensures decision-makers are timeously informed of performance related risks, so that they can facilitate intervention where necessary.

Facilitate decision-making

The performance management system should provide appropriate management information that will allow efficient, effective and informed decision-making, particularly on the allocation of resources.

The objectives listed above are not exhaustive, but summarise the intended benefits of the system. These intended objectives should be used to evaluate and review the performance management system on a regular basis (see section 9.2).

5. Principles governing the OPMS of the Municipality

The performance management system for the Umtshezi Municipality is guided by the following principles:

- simplicity so as the facilitate implementation given any current capacity constraints,
- politically acceptable to all political roleplayers,
- administratively managed in terms of its day-to-day implementation,
- implementable within any current resource constraints,
- transparency and accountability both in terms of developing and implementing the system,
- efficient and sustainable in terms of the ongoing implementation and use of the system,
- public participation in terms of granting citizens their constitutional right to participate in the process,
- integration of the OPMS with the other management processes within the Municipality,
- objectivity based on credible information and lastly,
- reliability of the information provided on the progress in achieving the objectives as set out in its IDP.

6. Preferred performance management model

In section 3 above reference is made to **Annexure "A"** as the organisational scorecard of the Umtshezi Municipality structured in terms of their preferred performance management model. A performance management model can be defined as the grouping together of performance indicators, sometimes based on the type of indicator, into logical categories or groups (often called perspectives), as a means to enhance the ability of an organisation to manage and analyse its performance. In this way the model provides a common framework for what aspects of performance are going to be measured and managed. It further ensures that a balanced set of

measures are employed that do not rely on only one facet of performance but represent a holistic and integrated assessment of the performance of an organisation.

Models have proved useful in performance management for the following reasons. They provide:

Simplicity

A good model organise what would otherwise be a long list of indicators attempting to sufficiently cover performance, into a set of categories sufficiently covering all key areas of performance.

Mapping of Inter-relationships

Models map out the inter-relationships between different areas of performance. These inter-relationships relate to the extent to which poor performance in a particular category would lead to poor performance in other related areas and the converse. These inter-relationships help in both the performance planning, analysis and review stages, particularly in the diagnosis of causes of poor performance.


Alignment to strategic planning methodology

A good model will align the processes of performance management to the strategic planning processes of the organisation. The categories of key performance areas provided by a model should relate directly to identified priority areas of the strategic plans of the organisation.

A number of performance models are available and any of them could be applied by the Municipality. The available models include the Municipal Scorecard, Balanced Scorecard and the Key Performance Area Model. The Municipality has chosen as its preferred model the Key Performance Area model. In the said model all indicators are grouped together under the national key performance areas and the local key performance areas as per the Umtshezi Municipality IDP. The said Model therefore enables the Umtshezi Municipality to assess its performance based on the national key performance areas and its own local key performance areas.

7. The process of managing performance

The process of managing performance at organisational level in the Umtshezi Municipality involves the stages as set out in the diagram below:



The diagram provides for the cycle of performance management in the Umtshezi Municipality to commence with performance planning followed by performance monitoring, performance measurement, performance analysis, performance reporting and lastly performance review. The outcome of the performance review feeds back into the performance planning process. It is important to note that each of the stages in the cycle is underpinned by Council and community oversight over the performance of the Municipal Executive and Administration.

The following table spells out in more detail the role and responsibilities of all the relevant roleplayers in the context of each of the above stages of the Umtshezi Municipality's organisational performance management cycle:

| | COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY OVERSIGHT | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Performance Planning | Performance Monitoring | Performance Measurement | Performance Analysis | Performance Reporting | Performance Review | |
| Citizens and Communities | 1. Be consulted on their needs 2. Participate in the development of the long term vision for the area 3. Influence the identification of priorities 4. Be consulted on the adoption of the Municipality's performance management framework 5. Be consulted on the mechanisms, systems and processes for performance management as set out in the performance management framework 6. Be consulted on and influence the choice of indicators and the setting of targets | 1. As part of the community's general oversight role review whether performance monitoring has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.2) | 1. As part of the community's general oversight role review whether performance measurement has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.3) | As part of the community's general oversight role review whether performance analysis has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.4) Be involved in the analysis process when required | 1. As part of the community's general oversight role review whether performance reporting has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.4) 2. Attend meetings of Council/Exco where the performance scorecards are to be reviewed 3. As part of the community's general oversight role monitor that where targets have not been met corrective action are taken 4. Be kept informed about how the Municipality performed to date against targets set (in-year reporting) 5. Be informed about and provided with the Municipality's annual report (year-end reporting) and citizens report if compiled 6. Make representations on the Municipality's annual report as tabled | 1. Be given the opportunity to participate in the review of municipal performance (see section 7.5.2) 2. Be given the opportunity participate in the deliberations of the Municipal Oversight Committee 3. Be given the opportunity to influence the outcome of the oversight report 4. Be given an opportunity to influence what areas needs to be focused on in the next planning cycle 5. Be given the opportunity to suggest new indicators and targets | |

| | | COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY OVERSIGHT | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--|--|----|--|--|----|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | | Performance Planning | Performance Monitoring | | Performance Measurement | Performance Analysis | | Performance Reporting | | Performance Review |
| Municipal Council | 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Facilitate the development of a long-term vision. Develop strategies to achieve vision Identify priorities Participate in the IDP process Adopt the Municipality's performance management system Adopt indicators and set targets as recommended by Exco Participate in the annual review of the Municipality's performance management system and agree on any amendments thereto. | 1. As part of the Council's general oversight role review whether performance monitoring has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.2) | 1. | As part of the Council's general oversight role review whether performance measurement has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.3) | As part of the Council's general oversight role review whether performance analysis has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.4) Be involved in the analysis process when required | 2. | As part of the Council's general oversight role review whether performance reporting has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.4) Attend meetings of Exco where the performance scorecards are to be reviewed As part of the Council's general oversight role monitor that where targets have not been met corrective action are taken Receive and consider the report from Exco on the review of the performance of the Municipality for the previous quarter | 3. 4. | Review the decisions taken by Exco in considering the quarterly performance report. Establish and serve on the oversight committee Fulfill its oversight role over the Executive and Administration by considering the annual report and adopting an oversight report Influencing what areas needs to be focused on in the next planning cycle Suggesting new indicators and targets |

| | COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY OVERSIGHT | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|---|------------------------|--|----|--|----|---|--|---|
| | Perform: Planni | | Performance Monitoring | | Performance Measurement | Po | erformance Analysis | | Performance Reporting | | Performance Review |
| Executive Committee | 1. Play to leading role in prostrategic of and devel strategies policies for organisati. 2. Manage developm IDP 3. Recordindicators targets to 4. Manage developm Municipa performan managem system 5. Assiging responsib manage the developm performan managem system to Municipa. | viding direction oping and or the on ge the ent of the namend and Council ge the ent of the lity's nee ent of the ent of the lityer in the lities to be ent of the namend and council ge the ent of the lityer in the litter in the litter in the litter in the name and council ge the ent of the litter in the litte | Review whether performance monitoring has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.2) - Exco member responsible for each Department to work closely with HOD in ensuring that regular performance monitoring takes place. | 2. | Review whether performance measurement has taken place as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.3) Take appropriate action against those HODs who on a regular basis do not meet the reporting deadlines | 2. | Consider the analysis of performance as set out in the quarterly performance scorecards by the senior management team (see section 7.4 and 7.5.1) Decide whether the causal reasons for poor performance have been captured adequately through the analysis process | 2. | Consider the quarterly performance reports submitted by the management team (see section 7.5.1) Monitor the drafting of the annual report and ensure that it is submitted to Council through the Mayor within seven months after each financial year (see section 7.5.2) | | Conduct the Quarterly reviews of municipal performance, determining whether targets had or had not been met, what the causal reasons were and to adopt appropriate response strategies based on recommenda-tions by the management team Submit a report to Council on the review undertaken of the Municipality's performance (see section 7.5.1) Decide what areas needs to be focused on in the next planning cycle Suggesting new indicators and targets |

| | COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY OVERSIGHT | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance Planning | Performance Monitoring | Performance Measurement | Performance Analysis | Performance Reporting | Performance Review | | |
| Municipal Manager and Head of Departments | Assist the Municipal Manager in: 1. Providing strategic direction and developing strategies and policies for the organisation 2. Managing the development of the IDP 3. Develop service plans for their Departments and ensure that it is integrated within the strategy of the organisation 4. Managing the development of the Municipality's performance management system as delegated by Exco 5. Identify and propose indicators and targets | 1. Monitor performance against targets set as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.2) 2. Take interim action in instances where targets are not going to be met (see section 7.2) 3. Get line Managers in Department to monitor the performance of their sectors (see section 7.2) | 1. Measure Performance according to agreed indicators and targets on a quarterly basis as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.3) | Analyse and capture the underlying reasons why targets have/have not been met as provided for in this Framework (see section 7.4) Where targets have not been met compile a draft recommendation with proposed corrective action (see section 7.4) Submit measurements, analysis and proposed corrective action via the OPMS Manager to the senior management team (see section 7.4) Participate in the meeting of senior management at which the completed performance report is discussed (see section 7.4) | Ensure that a quality quarterly performance report is submitted to Exco (see section 7.5.1) Compile the annual performance report for his/her Department (see section 7.5.2) Provide input and assist in the compilation of the Municipality's annual report (see section 7.5.2) | 1. Attend the Exco meeting at which the quarterly performance report is reviewed and answer any questions Exco might have re the content of the report 2. Attend the Council meeting at which Exco reports on the decisions taken in respect of the quarterly performance report and answer any questions Council might have 3. Participate in the annual process to review the performance of Council (see section 7.5.2) | | |

In addition to the above a number of other roleplayers also have a very important role to play in the Municipality's organisational performance management system. Their respective roles and responsibilities are set out elsewhere in the framework. These roleplayers are as follows:

- The Manager responsible for Organisational Performance Management (see section 7.6)
- The Municipality's Internal Audit Section (see section 8.1)
- The appointed Performance Audit Committee (see sections 8.2, 8.3 and 9.2)
- The appointed Municipal Oversight Committee (see section 7.6)

The balance of this section looks at each of the stages in more detail and how they will unfold in the process of managing performance in the Municipality. Although the stages and what follows relate mainly to performance management at organisational level, the principles and approaches employed could also be applied to performance management at operational level.

7.1 Performance Planning

The performance of the Umtshezi Municipality at strategic level is to be managed in terms of its IDP. The process of compiling an IDP and the annual review thereof therefore constitutes the process of planning for performance. As part of the IDP process key performance indicators and targets must be adopted for each of the IDP objectives. During the IDP review process the key performance indicators for those objectives that were changed must also be reviewed and amended if need be.

It should be noted that the last component of the cycle is that of performance review and that the outcome of the review (both in-year and annual) process must inform the next cycle of IDP compilation/review by focusing the planning processes on those key performance areas in which the Municipality has under-performed.

7.2 Performance monitoring

Performance monitoring is an ongoing process through which a Manager accountable for a specific indicator as set out in the organisational scorecard (and a related service delivery target contained in a SDBIP) continuously monitors current performance against the targets set. The aim of the monitoring process is to take appropriate and immediate interim (or preliminary) action where there is an indication that a target is not going to be met by the time that the formal process of performance measurement, analysis, reporting and review is due.

In the instance of Umtshezi Municipality it is recommended that the organisational scorecard of the Municipality as per **Annexure "A"** be reported on a quarterly basis to the Executive Committee. The same will apply to the various departmental SDBIPs. Performance monitoring requires that in between the formal cycle of performance measurement, appropriate action should be taken if it becomes evident through monitoring that a specific performance target is not going to be met. It is therefore proposed that at least on a weekly basis departmental Managers track performance trends against targets for those indicators that lie within their area of accountability in order to identify performance related problems as early as possible and take to take timely and appropriate remedial action.

It is further recommended that each Manager delegate to their direct line manager the responsibility to monitor the performance for his/her sector/section. Such line managers are, after all, best placed given their understanding of their sector to monitor on a regular basis whether targets are currently being met or will be met in future, what is contributing to the current level of performance, or lack thereof, and what interim remedial action needs to be undertaken.

7.3 Performance measurement

Performance measurement refers to the formal process of collecting and capturing relevant and applicable performance data to enable reporting to take place for each key performance indicator and its related targets. Provision has been made in the organisational scorecard for the name of an official to be made responsible for reporting on each indicator (please note that this might not necessarily be the same official accountable for performance on an indicator).

The said official will, when performance measurement is due, have to collect and collate the necessary performance data or information and capture the result's against the target for the period concerned on the organisational scorecard. These results must be reported to his/her Manager making use of the said scorecard after completing the next stage (see performance analysis below).

7.4 Performance analysis

Performance analysis is about making sense of the measurements collected. It requires interpretation of the measurements as conducted in terms of the previous stage to determine whether targets have been met, not met or exceeded and to project whether or not future targets will be met. Where targets have not been met, performance analysis requires that the underlying reasons therefore should be examined and appropriate corrective action recommended. Where targets have been met or exceeded, the key factors that resulted in such success should be documented and shared so as to ensure organisational learning.

In practice the aforementioned entails that the Manager responsible for each indicator will have to, after capturing the performance data against the relevant targets on the organisational scorecard, analyse the underlying reasons why a target has/has not been met and capture a summary of his/her findings on the scorecard. The Manager will then have to draft a recommendation for the corrective action proposed in instances where a target has not been achieved and also capture this on the relevant scorecard. Provision has been made on the reporting format of the organisational scorecard to capture both the 'reason for the performance status' (in other words the results of the analysis undertaken) and the 'corrective action' proposed.

The completed organisational scorecard must then be submitted to a formal meeting of the senior management team for further analysis and consideration of the draft recommendations of the relevant Managers. This level of analysis should allow for examination of performance across the organisation in terms of all its priorities with the aim of revealing and capturing whether any broader organisational factors are limiting the ability or the municipality to meet any other performance targets in addition to those aspects already identified and captured by the relevant Manager.

The analysis of the organisational scorecard by senior management should also ensure that quality performance reports are submitted to the Executive Committee and that adequate response strategies are proposed in cases of poor performance. Only once senior management has considered the scorecard, agreed to the analysis undertaken and captured therein and have reached consensus on the corrective action proposed, can the organisational scorecard be submitted to the Executive Committee for consideration and review.

7.5 Performance reporting and review

The next two stages in the process of performance management, namely that of performance reporting and performance review, will be dealt with together. This section is further divided into three sub-sections dealing with the requirements for 'in-year' versus annual reporting and reviews and lastly a summary of the various reporting requirements.

7.5.1 In-year performance reporting and review

The submission of the scorecard to the Executive Committee for consideration and review of the performance of the Municipality as a whole is the next step in the process. The first such report is a major milestone in the implementation of any OPMS and it marks the beginning of what should become a regular event, namely using the performance report as a tool to assess and review the Municipality's performance and to make important political and management decisions on how the municipality can improve its performance. Further guidance on the submission of performance reports are set out in **Annexure "D"**.

As indicated earlier it is recommended that the organisational scorecard be submitted to the Executive Committee for consideration and review on a quarterly basis. The reporting should therefore take place in October (for the period July to end of September) January (for the period October to the end of December), April (for the period January to end of March) and July (for the period April to the end of June).

The review in January will coincide with the mid-year performance assessment as per Section 72 of the MFMA. This Section determines that the accounting officer must, by 25 January of each year, assess the performance of the municipality and report to the Executive Committee via the Mayor on, inter alia, its service delivery performance during the first half of the financial year and the service delivery targets and performance indicators as set out in its SDBIP.

Performance review is the process whereby the leadership of an organisation, after the performance of the organisation has been measured and reported to it, reviews the results and decides on appropriate action to be taken. The Executive Committee, when reviewing the organisational scorecard submitted to it, will have to ensure that the targets committed to in the scorecard have been met, and where they have not, that satisfactory and sufficient reasons for this have been provided by senior management and that the sufficient and appropriate corrective action has been proposed to address the reasons for poor performance. If satisfied with the corrective action as proposed these must be adopted as formal resolutions of Council and must be minuted and actioned accordingly.

Section 44(4) of the Municipal Structures Act, 1998 (Act 117 of 1998) as amended requires that the Executive Committee must report to Council on all its decisions taken. The outcome of the quarterly performance reviews by the Executive Committee must, in line with this requirement, be reported to the full Council for it to perform its oversight function over the performance of the Municipal Executive and Administration. In doing so Council must review the decisions taken and resolve whether it is satisfied with the corrective action adopted by the Executive Committee. If they are not satisfied then the Executive Committee recommendation must be amended accordingly and the amendments minuted and actioned.

7.5.2 Annual performance reporting and review

A comprehensive report on the performance of the Municipality also needs to be compiled on an annual basis. The requirements for the compilation, consideration and review of such an annual report are set out in chapter 12 of the MFMA. In summary the MFMA requires that:

- All municipalities for each financial year compile an annual report which report must include the municipal
 performance report
- The annual report be tabled within seven months after the end of the financial year
- The annual report be made public immediately after it has been tabled and that the local community be invited to submit representations thereon
- The municipal Council considers the annual report within nine months of the end of the financial year and adopts an oversight report containing the Council's comments on the annual report
- The oversight report as adopted be made public
- The annual report as tabled and the Council's oversight report be forwarded to the Auditor-General, the Provincial Treasury and the Department responsible for local government in the Province
- The annual report as tabled and the Council's oversight report be submitted to the Provincial legislature.

It is important to note that the municipal performance report of a municipality is only one element of the annual report. To ensure that the annual report compilation, tabling and review process is completed in time to inform the next cycle of performance planning in accordance with the IDP compilation/review process, it is recommended that the annual performance report be compiled and completed as soon after the end of each financial year as possible but ideally not later that two months after financial-year end.

The oversight report to be adopted provides the opportunity for the full Council to review the performance of the Municipality in line with its oversight role. The requirement that the annual report, once tabled, and the oversight report be made public also provides a mechanism for the general public to review the performance of the Municipality in line with the community's oversight role.

In order to facilitate the oversight process it is recommended that a municipal oversight committee be established consisting of a selected number of Councillors not serving on the Executive Committee. Council should also consider in line with oversight best practice that the chairperson of the oversight committee be a member of an opposition party.

The oversight committee will be responsible for the detailed analysis and review of the annual report and the drafting of the oversight report. In doing so the committee must establish mechanisms to receive and review representations made by the public on the annual report and also seek inputs from other Councilors and Council portfolio committees. Such mechanisms could involve all or any combination of the following:

 Producing a user-friendly citizens' report in addition to the annual report for public consumption. The citizens' report should be a simple, easily readable and attractive document that translates the annual report for public consumption.

- Using of various forms of media including radio, newspapers and billboards to convey the annual report.
- Inviting the public to submit comments on the annual report via telephone, fax and email.
- Holding public hearings in a variety of locations to obtain their input on the annual report.
- Making use of existing structures such as ward and/or development committees to disseminate the annual report and invite comments.
- Debating the annual report at a meeting of the IDP Representative Forum
- Hosting a number of public meetings or road shows at which the annual report could be discussed and input invited.
- Producing a special issue of the municipal newsletter in which the annual report is highlighted and the public are invited to comment.
- Posting the annual report on the council website and inviting input

It is further proposed that the oversight committee functions as a standing committee on municipal accounts (municipal scopa). As such the committee must examine:

- the financial statements of all executive organs of Council;
- any audit reports issued on those statements;
- any reports issued by the AG on the affairs of any municipal entity; and
- any other financial statements or reports referred to the committee by Council.

and

- may report on any of those financial statements or reports to Council;
- may initiate any investigation in its area of competence; and
- must perform any other oversight function assigned to it by resolution of Council.

As the oversight committee performs an oversight function on behalf of Council it is not a duplication of, and must not be confused with either the audit committee or the finance portfolio committee. The audit committee is an independent advisory body that advises Council and the Executive on financial and risk matters and can act as an advisory body to the oversight committee. The finance portfolio committee deals with financial management issues such as budgetary, revenue and expenditure management and supply chain management.

7.5.3 Summary of various performance reporting requirements

The following table, based on the legislative framework for performance management and this OPMS framework, provides a summary of the various performance reporting deadlines which apply to the Municipality:

| Report | | Frequency | Submitted for consideration and/or review to | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| 1. | SDBIP's | Quarterly | Executive Committee | See MFMA Circular 13 of National Treasury for further information |
| 2. | Monthly budget statements | Monthly | Mayor (in consultation with Exco) | See sections 71 and 54 of the MFMA |
| 3. | Organisational Scorecard | Quarterly | Executive Committee and then in terms of an Exco report to full Council | This OPMS framework (see section 7.5.1 above) |
| 4. | Implementation of the budget and financial state of affairs of the Municipality | Quarterly | Council | See section 52 of the MFMA |
| 5. | SDBIP mid-year budget and performance assessment | Annually during January of each year | Mayor (in consultation with Exco) | See sections 72 and 54 of the MFMA |
| 6. | Performance report | Annually | Council | See section 46 of the Municipal Systems Act as amended. Said report to form part of the annual report (see 7 below) |
| 7. | Annual report | Annually | Council | See chapter 12 of the MFMA |

7.6 Council and community oversight

One of the objectives of the performance management system is to support oversight by the Council and community over the performance of the Executive Committee and Municipal Administration. The cycle of performance management as set out at the beginning of this section also highlights the importance of Council and community oversight in each of the stages of the cycle. It is therefore important to reflect briefly on this aspect and how it relates to the cycle and process of performance management in the Umtshezi Municipality.

Oversight refers to the role of legislatures and citizens in monitoring and reviewing the actions of executive organs of government for efficiency, probity and accountability. The general purpose of oversight is to ensure that government policies are properly and efficiently implemented, that government departments run smoothly and in line with their democratic mandate and that the law is upheld. Oversight is exercised in the interests of society in general and should ensure that the considerable powers that government executives and administrations assume are monitored to avoid abuse and under-performance.

In the municipal context oversight has two facets namely internal and external. The internal dimension refers to the oversight of Council over the performance of the Executive Committee and the Municipal Administration in line with the separation of powers between the Council, the Executive and the Administration. The external dimension refers to the community and other spheres of government's oversight over the performance of a municipality as a whole. In this framework the oversight role of the Council and community is dealt with in the table included at the beginning of section 7.5 as well in sub-section 7.5.2 above.

It is important to note that reporting on performance as provided for in this framework will not in itself enable Councilors to fully exercise their internal oversight role effectively. Not everything a Department does would be reflected in quarterly performance reports or lends itself to review through key performance indicators and targets. It is therefore important for each of the Departments to, in addition to the required performance reports; submit monthly progress reports to the relevant Portfolio Committee of Council dealing with the broader activities of each Department during the preceding month.

For further guidance in respect of the oversight function at the municipal sphere of government the following resources would be of assistance:

- The "Towards a Framework for Councilor Oversight" publication produced by the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Local Government and Traditional Affairs.
- MFMA Circular no. 56 of 2003 as issued by National Treasury.

8. The auditing of performance measures

8.1 The role of internal audit in terms of performance management

The MFMA requires that the Municipality must establish an internal audit section. This service could be outsourced depending on its resources and specific requirements. Section 45 of the Municipal Systems Act stipulates that the results of the Municipality's performance measures must be audited by the internal audit section as part of the internal auditing process and annually by the Auditor-General.

The Municipal Planning and Performance management Regulations stipulates that the internal audit section must, on a continuous basis, audit all performance and this must include an assessment of the following:

- (i) The *functionality* of the municipality's performance management system.
- (ii) Whether the municipality's performance management system *complies* with the Act.
- (iii) The extent to which the municipality's performance measurements are *reliable* in measuring the performance of municipalities by making use of indicators.

Each of the aforementioned aspects will now be looked at briefly.

Functionality

To function can be defined as a proper or expected activity or duty or to perform or operate as expected (Chambers Handy Dictionary). This could also be applied to the operation of any system such an OPMS. The internal audit section must therefore on a regular basis audit whether the OPMS of the Municipality is functioning as intended and described in this framework.

Compliance

To comply can be defined as to act in the way that someone else has commanded or intended (Chambers Handy Dictionary). In this respect it is clear that the intention of the legislature is for the Municipality's OPMS to comply strictly with the requirements of the Systems Act, Regulations and the MFMA. This compliance check would require that the Municipality's internal audit unit, on at least an annual basis, verifies that the Municipality's OPMS complies with the said legal requirements.

Reliability

To rely can be defined as to trust or depend upon with confidence. Reliability in the context of OPMS refers to the extent to which any performance measures reported upon can be seen as being reliable, e.g. if the performance target was to build 500 houses and it is reported that the target has been met or exceeded, it must be established whether the information is factually correct or only an estimation or, even worse, purposeful misrepresentation. Undertaking a reliability audit will entail the continuous verification of performance measures and targets reported upon. This will require that the Municipality sets in place a proper information management system (electronically or otherwise) so that the internal audit section is able to access information regularly and to verify its correctness (see section 9.2 for more on data management).

The Municipality's internal auditors must submit quarterly reports on the audits undertaken to the Municipal Manager and the (performance) Audit Committee.

8.2 Audit Committee

The MFMA and the Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations require that the Municipal Council establish an audit committee consisting of a minimum of three members, where the majority of members are not employees of the municipality. No Councillor may be a member of an audit committee. Council shall also appoint a chairperson who is not an employee.

The Regulations give municipalities the option to establish a separate performance audit committee whereas the MFMA provides only for a single audit committee. The operation of this audit committee when dealing with performance management is governed by Section 14 (2-3) of the Regulations, which require that the audit committee must:

- Review the guarterly reports submitted to it by the internal audit unit
- Review the municipality's OPMS and make recommendations in this regard to the Council of the Municipality
- At least twice during a financial year submit an audit report to the Municipal Council

In order to fulfill their function a (performance) audit committee may, according to the MFMA and the Regulations,

- Communicate directly with the Council, Municipal Manager or the internal; and external auditors of the municipality concerned;
- Access any municipal records containing information that is needed to perform its duties or exercise its powers;
- Request any relevant person to attend any of its meetings, and, if necessary, to provide information requested by the committee; and

• Investigate any matter it deems necessary for the performance of its duties and the exercise of its powers.

The Umtshezi Municipality has already established a (performance) Audit Committee and it is recommended that their responsibility in terms of performance management be as set out in the MFMA. Regulations and this framework.

8.3 Performance Investigations

The (performance) Audit Committee should also be able to in consultation with Council commission in-depth performance investigations where there is either continued poor performance, a lack of reliability in the information being provided or on a random ad-hoc basis. The performance investigations should assess:

- The reliability of reported information
- The extent of performance gaps from targets
- The reasons for performance gaps
- Corrective action and improvement strategies

While the internal audit section may be used to conduct these investigations, it is preferable that external service providers, who are experts in the area to be investigated, be used. Clear terms of reference will need to be adopted by the Council for each such investigation.

9. General issues relating to performance management

The following general issues related to performance management need to be considered in implementing the OPMS of the Municipality:

9.1 Managing performance related data

Monitoring and reporting on municipal performance requires the collecting, collating, capturing and recording of a multitude of performance related data. This includes information on key performance indicators, baselines and backlogs, the targets set against each indicator and the actual performance of the municipality over a period of time against each key performance indicator and related target.

The data must be easily accessible for the purpose of extracting it for local, provincial and national reporting requirements as well as for the compilation of the municipal annual performance report which forms part of the municipal annual report compiled in terms of the MFMA. It is also required to facilitate the auditing of performance measures as per the Municipal Systems Act and the 2001 Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations.

Data management systems vary from basic proper record keeping, making use of spreadsheets and/or databases to implementing advanced data management and information systems that integrate data across various platforms and extract it as and when required. In **Annexure "E"** recommendations are provided on how best the Umtshezix Municipality could go about managing performance related data.

9.2 Annual review of the Performance Management System

As stated earlier, one of the functions of the (performance) Audit Committee is to on at least an annual basis, review the OPMS of the Municipality. It is envisaged that after the full cycle of the annual review and reporting is complete and the (performance) Audit Committee has met as required; the internal audit section will compile a comprehensive assessment/review report on whether the Municipality's OPMS meets the systems' objectives and principles as set out in this framework and whether the system complies with the Systems Act, OPMS Regulations and the MFMA. This report then need to be considered by the (performance) Audit Committee and any recommendations on amendments or improvements to be made to the OPMS should be submitted to the Council for consideration.

The Municipal Systems Act also requires the Municipality to evaluate its OPMS annually. The review undertaken by the audit committee and its recommendations could serve as input into this wider municipal review of the OPMS and it is proposed that after the full cycle of the annual review is complete, the Municipal Manager should initiate an evaluation report, taking into account the input provided by departments and the outcome of the review undertaken by the (performance) Audit Committee. The report will then be discussed by the Management Team and finally submitted to the Council for discussion and approval.

9.3 Amendments to key performance indicators and targets

The Municipality will have to adopt a policy on amendments to indicators and targets. It is recommended that such amendments may be proposed but be subject to the approval of the Executive Committee in consultation with the Municipal Manager before being adopted.

9.4 Integrating OPMS with the Council's existing management cycle

International best practice indicates that an OPMS has the best chance of succeeding if it is integrated with the current management cycle of a Municipality. The purpose of such an approach would be to ensure and guide the integration of important processes such as the strategic planning or development process in terms of the IDP methodology, the annual budget process and the formal process of evaluating and assessing Council's performance in terms of the approved OPMS and this framework. An example of such an integrated cycle is attached as **Annexure "F"** and it is recommended that the Umtshezi Municipality develop and adopt a similar cycle that suits its own circumstances and requirements.

9.5 Institutional arrangements

Implementing the OPMS according to this framework will require proper co-ordination and administrative support. It is therefore important to designate an official to be responsible for managing and oversee the process at organisational level on behalf of the Municipal Manager.

This official should ideally be situated in the Office of the Municipal Manager and in the same unit responsible for overseeing and managing the IDP process. To assist the Umtshezi Municipality in identifying and appointing an official responsible for OPMS **Annexure** "G" provides a profile for the position as well as suggested job description.

It is very important to note that it would not be the designated official's responsibility to monitor, measure, analyse and report on performance but only to facilitate and support the process and to ensure that the required data is collated and available for analysis and review as per this framework on behalf of the Municipal Manager.

At an individual level the responsibility for co-ordination, administration and record keeping should be the responsibility of the Manager responsible for human resource management.

The Municipality also needs to ensure that its internal audit section is capacitated to deal with the additional responsibilities it has in terms of performance management over and above its traditional financial audit responsibilities.

10. Requirements for the implementation of the framework

In order to facilitate the implementation of this Framework **Annexure "H"** provides in table format a summary of the various requirements set out herein inclusive of the relevant responsibilities and timeframes.

11. Conclusion

In conclusion it must be emphasised that there are no definitive solutions to managing municipal performance. The process of implementing a performance management system must be seen as a learning process, where the Umtshezi Municipality must continuously improve the way the system works to fulfill the objectives of the system and address the emerging challenges arising from a constantly changing environment.



UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALIY

ORGANISATIONAL SCORE CARD 2011/2012

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|----|---------------|----|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/001 | | To provide efficient and effective Human | Approved organogram | Date approved | n/a | 30/05/2012 | n/a | 30/04/2011 | 0 | - | 30/05201 2 | - | Corporate services | In-house | n/a |
| IDP 2011/002 | nent | Resource Services | Employment of staff in terms of Umtshezi Municipality's Equity Plan | Number of staff employed in terms of the Plan | 425 | 347 | 78 | 20 | 30/08/2012 | - | 10 | 10 | Corporate services | Salary Budget | |
| IDP 2011/003 | and Institutional Development | | Number of black staff to be employed in management | Number of staff | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | Corporate services | Salary Budget | |
| IDP 2011/004 | itutiona | | Women employed by the municipality | Number of women employed | 100 | 95 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Corporate services | Salary Budget | |
| IDP 2011/005 | and Inst | | Youth employed by the municipality | Number of youth employed | 70 | 65 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Corporate services | | |
| IDP 2011/006 | Municipal Transformation | | Disabled staff employed by the municipality | Number of staff | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | • | 1 | - | - | Corporate services | Salary Budget | |
| IDP 2011/007 | nsfor | | Approved Workplace Skills Plan | Yes/No | n/a | Y | n/a | Y 30/05/2012 | - | - | - | Y | Corporate services | In-house | |
| IDP 2011/008 | oal Tra | | Budget spent on Workplace Skills Plan | Percentage spent | n/a | To be done in-house | n/a | 30/05/2012 | | - | - | - | Corporate services | Skills Levy | |
| IDP 2011/009 | Municip | To conform to the Principles of Batho Pele | Customer satisfaction survey to be conducted | Number of surveys | n/a | 0 | n/a | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | All | None | All |
| IDP 2011/010 | | | Displaying of required legislation (Batho Pele, BCEA, OHS Act, EE) | Number of Acts displayed | n/a | 5 | n/a | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Corporate services / All | None | |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/011 | | To develop a credible IDP | Adopted IDP | Date adopted | n/a | 30/05/2012 | n/a | 30/06/2011 | - | - | - | 30/05/201 2 | PECS | 100000 | All |
| | | | Timeous adoption of a budget | Yes/No date | Yes | Yes 31/05/2011 | None | Yes 30June 2012 | | | | 30June 2012 | FIN | | All |
| | | | Timeous adoption of SDBIP | Yes/No date | Yes 30 June | Yes 30 June 2010 | None | 30 June 2012 | | | | 30 June 2012 | FIN | | All |
| | | | Timeous submission of annual financial Statements | Yes/No date | Yes 30 June | Yes 30 June 2010 | None | Yes 31/08/2011 | 31/08/2011 | | | | FIN | | All |
| IDP 2011/012 | | | Review Spatial development framework | Y/N | n/a | Y | n/a | Review of SDF by 30/05/2012 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 30/05/201 2 | PECS | 10000 | All |
| IDP 2011/013 | | | Disaster Management Framework Review | Date | n/a | N | n/a | 30/05/2012 | - | - | - | 30/05/201 2 | PECS | 53000 | All |
| IDP 2011/014 | | | Approved Mid Term Expenditure Framework | Date approved | n/a | Y | None | 28/02/2012 | - | - | 28/02/201 | | Finance | None | All |
| IDP 2011/015 | | | Environmental Management Plan Review | Y/N | n/a | Y (part of SDF) | n/a | 30/05/2012 | - | - | - | 30/05/201 2 | PECS | None | All |
| IDP 2011/016 | | | Land use management system | Y/N | n/a | Y | n/a | Awaiting comments from COGTA | - | - | 30/04/201 1 | - | PECS | | All |
| IDP 2011/017 | | | Functioning shared service for Development Planning | Chief planners reports | n/a | n/a | Y | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | PECS | None | All |
| IDP 2011/018 | | To implement an effective Performance | OPMS system in place | Y/N | n/a | Y | n/a | Υ | - | - | - | - | Office of the MM | None | |
| IDP 2011/019 | | Management System | S57 Performance agreements signed (2010/11) | Number of agreements | n/a | 3 | n/a | 4 | 30/08/2012 | n/a | n/a | 1 | Office of the MM / Mayor | | |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---|--|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/020 | | | Quarterly performance reports to be finalised within 30 days of the end of the quarter | Date | n/a | 0 | n/a | 30 days after end of quarter | 31/07/2011 | 31/10/201 1 | 31/01/201 2 | 30/04/201 2 | Office of the MM | | |
| IDP 2011/021 | | | Draft Annual performance report 2010/11 submitted to the AG | Date submitted | n/a | Y | None | 31/10/2012 | | 31/10/201 2 | - | - | Office of the MM | None | |
| IDP 2011/022 | | | Adopted Annual report 2010/11 | Date adopted | n/a | 31/01/2010 | n/a | 31/01/2013 | - | - | 31/01/201 3 | - | Office of the MM / Corporate Services | None | |
| IDP 2011/023 | | | Adopted Oversight report 2010/11 | Date | n/a | Not achieved | Yes | 31/03/2012 | - | - | 31/03/201 1 | - | Office of the MM | None | |
| IDP 2011/024 | | To ensure effective telecommunicati ons | Communicatio n strategy Review | Final reviewed document | n/a | Y | n/a | 30/04/2012 | | - | - | 30/04/201 2 | PECS | None | |
| IDP 2011/025 | | To ensure an effective IT service | IT Strategy Review | Final reviewed document | n/a | Y | n/a | 31/07/2012 | 31/07/2012 | - | - | - | Corporate services | None | |
| IDP 2011/026 | | To develop and implement the | Implementatio n of the | Date adopted | n/a | 28/05/2010 | n/a | 01/07/2011 | 01/07/2011 | - | - | - | Office of the MM | None | |
| IDP 2011/027 | | Municipal Turnaround strategy | National Municipal Turnaround Strategy | Date achieved | n/a | n/a | n/a | 31/12/2011 | - | 31/12/201 1 | - | - | Office of the MM | None | |
| IDP 2011/028 | | To ensure an effective administration service | Adoption of an approved file plan (registry) | Date adopted | n/a | n/a | n/a | 30/04/2012 | - | 31/12/201 1 | - | 30/04/201 2 | Corporate services | None | |
| IDP 2011/029 | itruct | To provide access to roads | Kilometres of tarred roads established | Kilometres | 211.4 2 | 107.88 | 103.54 | - | - | - | - | - | Technical services | | All |
| IDP 2011/030 | and Infrastruct | | Kilometres of gravel roads established | Kilometres | | 27,50 | 37 | 37 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 | Technical services | 7250000 | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/031 | | | Kilometres of roads maintained | Kilometres (tarred) | 107.8 8 | 21 | 86,88 | 30 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | Technical services | 3100000 | All |
| IDP 2011/032 | | | maintained | Kilometres (gravel) | 103.5 4 | 35 | 68,54 | 21 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | Technical services | (part of 7250000) | All |
| IDP 2011/033 | | To provide access to community and | New facilities provided | No of crèches | 11 | 2 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | - | Technical services | 1450000 | 6, 7 |
| IDP 2011/034 | | public facilities | | No of community halls | 11 | 7 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | Technical services | 2405000 | 6 |
| IDP 2011/035 | | | | No of parks / play areas / recreational areas | 27 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | PECS | external | 1,2 |
| IDP 2011/036 | | To provide access to electricity | Households with access to electricity | Number of households | | 8000 | | As and when | required | | | • | Technical services | | |
| IDP 2011/037 | | · | New connections | Number of new connections (ESKOM) | | 0 | 6000 (estim ate) | - | - | - | - | - | Technical services | | All |
| IDP 2011/038 | | | | Number of new connections (Municipality | | 100 | 6000 (estim ate) | As and | when re | quired | | | Technical services | | |
| IDP 2011/039 | - | To provide access to solid waste disposal services | Households with access to solid waste disposal services | Number of households | 12000 | 7343 | 5000 | 8500 | 8500 | 8500 | 8500 | 8500 | PECS | | All |
| IDP 2011/040 | - | | New households with access to weekly waste disposal | Number of new households | | 7343 | | 1,243 | - | - | - | 1,243 | PECS | | 1,2 |
| IDP 2011/041 | | | Total volume of general waste collected | Tonnes of waste collected | n/a | No. of Trucks & Vans | n/a | 10,000 tonnes | 125x8 ton truck; 312x4 ton van | 125x8 ton truck; 312x4 ton van | 125x8 ton truck; 312x4 ton van | 125x8 ton truck; 312x4 ton van | PECS | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|--|---|------------|---|--|--------|------|------|------|------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/042 | | To facilitate access to water | Households with access to water | Number of households | 15,23 2 | 12,238 | 2,994 | | | | | | Uthukela DM / Technical services | | All |
| IDP 2011/043 | | | New households with access to water | Number of new households | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | Uthukela DM / Technical services | | All |
| IDP 2011/044 | | To facilitate access to sanitation | Households with access to sanitation | Number of households | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | Uthukela DM / Technical services | | All |
| IDP 2011/045 | Development | | New households with access to sanitation | Number of new households | N/a | N/a | na | na | na | na | na | na | Uthukela DM / Technical services | | All |
| IDP 2011/046 | | To provide access to free basic services | Households with access to free basic electricity | Number of households | у | 3103 | 5000 | 5000 | 1250 | 1250 | 1250 | 1250 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/047 | structui | | Households with access to free basic water | Number of households | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | DC23 | | All |
| IDP 2011/048 | and Infrastructure | | Households with access to free basic sanitation services | Number of households | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | N/a | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/049 | e Delivery | | Households with access to free basic waste disposal | Number of households | у | 3103 | 5000 | 5000 | 1250 | 1250 | 1250 | 1250 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/050 | Basic Service | To provide access to housing | New houses constructed | Number of units | 13,96 1 | 7089 (Per human settlement plan) | 8450 (per huma n settle ment plan) | - | - | - | - | - | Departme nt of Human Settlemen ts / PECS | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|--|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/051 | | | Application to DoHS for approval of subsidy allocation | Number of subsidies approved | 8,450 | 0 | 8,450 | 2,000 | - | 1,000 | - | 1,000 | Departme nt of Human Settlemen ts / PECS | 110 000 000 | 3,4 |
| IDP 2011/052 | | | Turnaround time for approval of building plans | Number of days taken to approve building plan | n/a | 28 days | n/a | 28 days | 28 days | 28 days | 28 days | 28 days | PECS | | All |
| | | | No. of building plans to be approved /annum | Number of building plans approved | n/a | 0 | n/a | 240 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | PECS | | |
| IDP 2011/053 | | To manage health facilities | Primary healthcare | Number of patients treated/cons ulted | n/a | 29,187 | n/a | 31,000 | 7,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | PECS | | |
| IDP 2011/054 | | | HIV strategy | Date of review | n/a | Y | n/a | 30/04/2012 | - | - | - | 30/04/201 2 | PECS | | |
| IDP 2011/055 | | To provide a safe and secure environment | Community policing forums | Number of meetings held | n/a | 3 | n/a | 4 | | | 2 | 2 | Corporate services | n/a | |
| IDP 2011/056 | | | Law enforcement | No of fines issued | n/a | 3341 | n/a | 13,000 | 3250 | 3250 | 3250 | 3250 | Corporate services | | |
| | | | | Number of Roads repainted | 15 Road s | - | - | 12 Roads | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Corporate Services | | |
| | | | | Number of signs repaired | 40 signs | - | - | 20 signs | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | Corporate services | | |
| | | | | Number of robots repaired/upg raded | 8 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Corporate Services | | |
| IDP 2011/057 | ပ | To stimulate economic growth | Promotion of new investment and expansion through the | Number of new investments in the municipality | n/a | 0 | n/a | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | PECS | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/058 | | | municipality's incentive policy | Number of new expansions in the municipality | n/a | 3 | n/a | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | PECS | | |
| IDP 2011/059 | | To alleviate poverty | Programmes undertaken | Number of projects | n/a | 2 | n/a | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | PECS | | All |
| IDP 2011/060 | | To promote tourism development | Tourism strategy | Date approved | n/a | N | n/a | 30/06/2012 | - | - | - | 30/06/201 2 | PECS | | All |
| IDP 2011/061 | - | To promote the development of prioritised | Capacity building initiatives | Number of trained SMMEs | n/a | 17 | n/a | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | PECS | | All |
| IDP 2011/062 | | groups | undertaken | Number of trained Co- | n/a | 20 | n/a | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | PECS | | All |
| IDP 2011/063 | | To promote the local economy | Jobs created through the municipalities LED initiatives | Number of jobs created | n/a | 47 | n/a | 120 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | PECS | | All |
| IDP 2011/064 | - | | Jobs created through the municipalities capital projects | Number of jobs created | n/a | 72 | n/a | 200 | | | 100 | 100 | PECS | | 1,2 |
| IDP 2011/065 | Participation Committee | To ensure an effective internal audit function | Financial and Performance audit committee | Y/N | n/a | Y | n/a | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Office of the MM | | |
| IDP 2011/066 | Participatic Committee | | Audit committee meetings | Number of meetings held | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Office of the MM | | |
| IDP 2011/067 | Community P and Ward C | | Internal audit activity | % Achievement of the internal audit plan | n/a | 80% | n/a | 90% | 25% | 45% | 70% | 90% | Office of the MM | | |
| IDP 2011/068 | Cor | | Risk assessment | Approved risk profile | Y | Y | None | 30/06/2012 | - | - | - | 30/06/201 2 | Office of the MM | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|----|----|----|----|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/069 | | | Risk management strategy | Quarterly reports | Y | Y | None | 3 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | Office of the MM | | All |
| IDP 2011/070 | | To actively involve the community and | Awareness campaigns | Number of campaigns | n/a | 2 | n/a | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | Office of the MM | | All |
| IDP 2011/071 | | stakeholders | Mayoral imbizo's | Number of Imbizo's | n/a | 3 | n/a | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | Office of the MM | | All |
| IDP 2011/072 | | | Budget and IDP roadshows | Number of roadshows | n/a | 5 | n/a | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | Office of the MM | | All |
| IDP 2011/073 | | | IDP representative forums | Number of meetings held | n/a | 1 | n/a | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | PECS | | |
| IDP 2011/074 | | | Sector department meetings | Number of meetings held | n/a | 1 | n/a | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | PECS | | |
| IDP 2011/075 | | | Ward Committees to be re- established | Number of Ward Committees | n/a | 6 | n/a | 9 | 9 | | | | Corporate services | | |
| IDP 2011/076 | | | Ward Committee meetings | Number of meetings held | n/a | 0 | n/a | 9 | 9 | | | | Corporate services | | |
| IDP 2011/077 | | | Business Forum / Chamber of Commerce meetings | Number of meetings held | n/a | 4 | n/a | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | PECS | | |
| IDP 2011/078 | | | Adverts in local media | Number of adverts placed | n/a | 10 | n/a | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | All | | |
| IDP 2011/079 | | To actively participate in IGR structures | Mayoral Forum | Number of meetings held | n/a | 0 | n/a | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Office of the MM | | |
| IDP 2011/080 | | | Municipal managers' Forum | Number of meetings held | n/a | 0 | n/a | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Office of the MM | | |
| IDP 2011/081 | | | IDP managers Forum | Number of meetings held | n/a | 2 | n/a | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | PECS | | |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|------------|---|-------------|------------|------|------|------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/082 | | | CFO Forum | Number of meetings held | n/a | 1 | n/a | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Finance | | |
| IDP 2011/083 | | To develop, review and implement policies, procedures, by- laws and sector plans | Develop new policies, procedures, by-laws and sector plans where necessary | % Compliance | n/a | Refer to Appendix A of 2010/11 IDP | n/a | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | All | | All |
| IDP 2011/084 | | | Review existing policies, procedures, by-laws and sector plans where necessary | % Compliance | n/a | Refer to Appendix A of 2010/11 IDP | n/a | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | All | | All |
| IDP 2011/085 | | | Effectively implement policies, procedures, by-laws and sector plans | % Compliance | n/a | Refer to Appendix A of 2010/11 IDP | n/a | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | All | | All |
| IDP 2011/086 | | To implement an anti-corruption strategy | Anti- corruption Strategy/Frau d Prevention Plan | Y/N | n/a | Y | n/a | Y | Y | Y | Y | 30/06/201 | Office of the MM | | All |
| IDP 2011/087 | ם לבי | To maximise the revenue collections of | Cash collected from customers | R value of revenue collected | n/a | | n/a | | | | | | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/088 | Viability and Management | the municipality | Amounts invoiced/billed to customers | R value invoiced/bille d | n/a | | n/a | | | | | | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/089 | Financial Viability and Financial Management | | Maintenance of the valuation roll | Number of supplementa ry rolls | n/a | 2 | n/a | 2 | - | | 1 | 1 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/090 | Financial Financial | | Review of tariffs 2012/13 | Date | n/a | 31/05/2010 | n/a | 30/06/2012 | • | - | - | 30/06/201 2 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/091 | i 글 i 글 | | Review of Rates Policy for 2012/13 | Date | n/a | 28/05/2010 | n/a | 30/06/2012 | • | - | - | 30/06/201 2 | Finance | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|------|--|------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/092 | | | Review of Credit Control Policy 2012/13 | Date | n/a | 28/05/2010 | n/a | 30/06/2012 | - | - | - | 30/06/201 2 | Finance | | All |
| | | | Quarterly collection rate on billings | % collection rate | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | % growth in revenue collected by the municipality as a % of projected revenue taget | % growth in revenue collected | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | % of budgeted revenue for property rates colected | %property rates collection | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Grants as a % of revenue recieved | % achieved | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IDP 2011/093 | - | To ensure compliance with all financial | Debt service payments | R value paid | n/a | 141,905 | n/a | | | | | | Finance | 735000 | All |
| IDP 2011/094 | | management requirements | Loan repayments | % Compliance to terms of loan | n/a | 100% | n/a | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/095 | | | Total revenue received from grants and subsidies | R Value | n/a | | n/a | | | | | | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/096 | | | Total grants and subsidies spent | % spent | n/a | 100% | n/a | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/097 | | | Audit opinion 2009/10 | Unqualified | n/a | Unqualified , with other matters | n/a | Unqualified with other matters | • | Unqualifi ed with other matters | - | - | Finance | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/098 | | To ensure realistic budgeting and | Total operating budget | R Value | n/a | 191,780,000 | None | 240,578,000 | 60,144,500 | 60,144,5 00 | 60,144,5 00 | 60,144,5 00 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/099 | | timeous reporting | Total salaries and wages budget (including benefits) | R Value | n/a | 43,292,000 | None | 46,756,000 | 11,689,000 | 11,689,0 00 | 11,689,0 00 | 11,689,0 00 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/100 | | | Adopt 2012/13 municipal budget | Date | n/a | 28/05/2010 | None | 31/05/2012 | - | - | - | 31/05/201 2 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/101 | | | SDBIP for 2012/13 approved by Mayor 28 days after approval of Budget | Date | n/a | 30 June 2010 | None | 30 June 2010 | - | - | - | 30 June 2010 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/102 | | | Table mid- year budget and performance report 2011/2012 | Date | n/a | 31/02/2011 | None | 25/01/2012 | - | - | 25/01/201 2 | - | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/103 | | | Table 2010/11 adjustment budget | Date | n/a | 28/02/2010 | None | 28/02/2012 | - | - | 28/02/201 2 | - | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/104 | | | Budget process plan 2012/13 | Date | n/a | 29/09/09 | none | 31/08/2011 | 31/08/2011 | - | - | - | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/105 | | | Monthly report submitted to mayor within 10 working days after month end | Date | n/a | 10 days after month end | n/a | 10 days after month end | 10 days after month | 10 days after month | 10 days after month | 10 days after month | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/106 | | | Quarterly reports to Mayor within 30 days of the quarter end. | Date | n/a | n/a | n/a | 30 days after quarter | 30 days after quarter | 30 days after quarter | 30 days after quarter | 30 days after quarter | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/107 | | | Monthly report submitted to Provincial Treasury | Number of reports submitted | n/a | 12 | n/a | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Finance | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP 2011/108 | | | Compile and submit Annual Financial Statements to the Auditor General | Date | n/a | 31/08/2010 | n/a | 31/08/2011 | 31/08/2011 | - | - | - | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/109 | | | Compliance with MFMA requirements | % compliance | n/a | 100% | n/a | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/110 | | To ensure effective expenditure | Total operating expenditure | R value | n/a | 171,598,000 | n/a | 19,5963,000 | 4,899,075 | 4,899,07 5 | 4,899,07 5 | 4,899,07 5 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/111 | | control | Budget vs. Actual | Monthly report | n/a | 12 | n/a | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/112 | | | Maintain Fixed assets register | Monthly updates | n/a | Annual | n/a | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Finance | | All |
| | | | Updated and credible indigent register | Yes/ No | | Yes | None | 30 June | | | | 30 June 2012 | | | |
| | | | Financial controls applied to ensure usage is monitored / limited to Indigent Policy | Yes/No | | Yes | None | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| IDP 2011/113 | | | Schedule of expenditure submitted to Council monthly | Number of reports submitted | n/a | 12 | n/a | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Finance | | All |
| | | | Quarterly operational expenditure as a % of planned expenditure | % achieved | | 100 % | | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | All | | |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | | | Quarterly capital expenditure as a % of planned capital expenditure | % achieved | | 100% | | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | All | | |
| | | | % of operational budget spent on repairs and maintenance | % achieve | | 100% | | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | All | | |
| | | | Quarterly reports and maintenance expenditure | Rand value | | 100% | | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | All | | |
| | | | MIG expenditure as a % of annual allocation | % achieved | | 100% | | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % | Civil/ Technical Services | | |
| IDP 2011/114 | | To ensure compliance to SCM prescripts | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IDP 2011/115 | | Scim prescripts | Procurement Plan 2011/12 | Date approved | n/a | n/a | None | 30/06/2011 | 31/08/2011 | - | - | 30/06/201 1 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/116 | | | SCM performance reporting | Monthly | n/a | 12 | None | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/117 | | | SCM Policy reviewed | Y/N | n/a | Y | None | 30/06/2012 | - | - | - | 30/06/201 2 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/118 | | | Targeted spend achieved | Total rand value of contracts awarded | n/a | 35,400,000 | n/a | 47,399,000 | 11,849,750 | 11,849,75 0 | 11,849,7 50 | 11,849,7 50 | Finance | | All |
| IDP 2011/119 | | | | Total points of contracts awarded to SMMEs | n/a | 80/20= 90/10= | n/a | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Finance | | All |

| IDP Indicator No. | Nati onal KPA | Strategic objective | Measurable Objective/Out put | Performance Measure/Indi cator (Unit 0f Measure) | Dema nd | Baseline (2009/10 estimates) | Backl og | Target | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Responsi ble Departme nt | Financial Implicatio ns | War ds |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|------|------|------|------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| IDP | | | | Total rand | n/a | 80/20 | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | Finance | | All |
| 2011/120 | | | | value of contracts awarded to BEE | | 90/10 | | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | |
| | | | | suppliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IDP 2011/121 | | | | Total rand value of | n/a | 80/20 | n/a | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Finance | | All |
| | | | | contracts awarded to | | 90/10 | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | |
| | | | | women | , | 20/20 | , | | | _ | _ | | _, | | |
| IDP 2011/122 | | | | Total rand value of | n/a | 80/20 | n/a | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Finance | | All |
| | | | | contracts awarded to youth | | 90/10 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| IDP 2011/123 | | | | Total rand value of | n/a | 0 | n/a | | | | | | Finance | | All |
| | | | | contracts awarded to | | 80/20 | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | |
| | | | | people with disabilities | | 90/10 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| IDP 2011/124 | | | Compliance with SCM regulations | % compliance | n/a | 100% | n/a | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Finance | | All |

SECTION J



ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE : A – DISASTER

MANAGEMENT

PLAN

<u>ANNEXURE : B – TURN AROUND</u> STRATEGY

UMTSHEZI LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

"DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK" 2010



"PROVINCE OF KWAZULU NATAL"

KZ235

UMTSHEZI LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

"DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK".

INDEX

PAGE NO.

| 1. (| OVERVIEW OF THE MUNICIPALITY |
|-------------|---|
| 1.1 | Geographic Profile |
| 1.2 | Demography |
| 1.2.1 | |
| 1.2.2 | |
| 1.2.3 | Age |
| 1.2.4 | |
| 1.2.5 | |
| 1.2 | Economy |
| 1.3 | Society |
| 1.4 | Administration System |
| | · |
| 2. DI | SASTER RISK IN THE MUNICIPALITY |
| 2.1 | Introduction |
| 2.2 | Prevalent Hazards and Disasters |
| 2.2.1 | Drought |
| 2.2.2 | Floods |
| 2.2.3 | Thunderstorms |
| 2.2.4 | |
| 2.2.5 | |
| 2.3 | Possible Impact |
| 2.4 | Exposure & Vulnerability |
| 2.4 | Capacities and Resources |
| | • |
| 3. CI | HALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES |
| 3.1 | Challenges |
| 3.1 | Opportunities |
| | |
| 4. \ | VISION, MISSION & OBJECTIVES |
| 4.1 | Vision |
| 4.2 | Mission |
| 4.3 | Objectives |
| | |
| 5. F | PRIORITY STRATEGIES & INTERVENTIONS |
| 5.1 | Introduction |
| 5.2 | Principles governing disaster risk management in the Municipal sector |

| 6. (| Objectives of the NDRMF |
|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 6.1 | |
| 6.2 | • • |
| 6.3 | · |
| 6.4 | |
| 6.5 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 6.6 | <u>o</u> |
| 6.7 | , 0,1 |
| 7. | INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS |
| 7.1 | |
| 7.2 | ı e v |
| 7.3 | |
| 7.4 | 1 8 |
| 7.5 | v 1 |
| 7.6 | • |
| | |

1. OVERVIEW OF THE MUNICIPALITY

1.1 Geographic Profile

The Umtshezi Local Municipality occupies thepart of the Province of KwaZulu Natal stretching latitudinal from.... to.... and longitudinally from ... to ... with a surface are of of km It has common boundaries with the Emnambithi, Mbabazane and the Okhahlamba Municipalities. The surface area of the Umtshezi has two distinct features, namely: an interior plateau, and the land between the plateau and the coast.

Estcourt is located at the confluence of the Bushman's and the Little Bushman's River. It is also on the main <u>Durban</u> - <u>Johannesburg</u> railway line some 160 km north of Durban and 25 km south of the <u>Tugela River</u> crossing. In earlier years the main road, later to become the N3, passed through the town. The town itself is 1196 m above sea level and lies in the hilly country that dominates most of the Natal Midlands. The <u>Drakensberg</u> lies some 40 km to the west of the town.

Umtshezi Municipality is comprised by the former Wembezi/Estcourt and Weenen TLC's.It is located approximately 165km North-west of Durban and 400km South-East of Johannesburg. The National Road N3 also traverses the Municipality on its western portion linking the tow major cities i.e. Durban and Johannesburg. Estcourt Town is the main urban centre for the Municipality. Umtshezi Municipality is bordered on its South-Eastern portion by the Mooi Mpofana Municipality, Msinga Municipality on its Eastern portion, Indaka Municipality on its North-Eastern portion, both by Emnambithi and Okhahlamba Municipalities on its North-Western portion and Mbabazane Municipality on its South-Western Portion.

1.2 Demography

1.2.1 Population Size and Distribution

During the <u>Apartheid</u> era, Estcourt was a predominantly white and Asian town. The nearby Wembezi Township was home to a large black population. In 1995 these two areas were incorporated into a transitional local council prior to the setting up of the Umtshezi Municipality. In 2008, the estimated population of the Umtshezi Municipality was 59 922 blacks, 1726 coloureds, 6155 Asians and 3244 whites.

Population Distribution per racial groups

| Description | 2008 |
|-----------------|--------|
| Black African | 59 922 |
| Coloured | 1726 |
| Indian or Asian | 61554 |
| White | 3244 |

Population Distribution per Ward

The Municipality is also comprised of 13 951 households, spread unevenly in seven (7) municipal wards. The majority of the people are concentrated in urban areas (±29 934) and in farming areas (±19 950), but there are a few patches of high-density settlements within informal areas. The figure below indicates population distribution per municipal ward: .The above figure indicates that the most populated areas within Umtshezi Local Municipality are wards 6 and 1. These wards record better service infrastructure and in the past decade have experienced huge influxes of people attracted by better service provision and proximity to Estcourt town, which is a major economic hub presenting employment opportunities within the Municipality.

Ward 7 is the least populated within the Municipality. This is not surprising since the ward is mainly comprised of farming settlements around Weenen.

1.2.2 Gender

Umtshezi Municipality's population is slightly imbalanced with females outnumbering their male counterparts. Approximately 52% (31 455) of the total population is comprised of women while males account for only 48% (28 467) of the total population (refer to Figure 3 below).

Gender Distribution

Figure 4 below indicates male absenteeism throughout the municipal wards, with the exception of Ward 3. This can be attributed to a number of males going outside of the municipal boundaries to seek work at major economic hubs within the country, mainly in Durban and the Gauteng province.

Gender

| Sender | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | 2008 | | | | | |
| Female | 31455 | | | | | |
| Male | 28 467 | | | | | |
| Household Gender | | | | | | |
| Description | 2008 | | | | | |
| Male | 7638 | | | | | |
| Female | 6316 | | | | | |

Given that most of the municipal area is dominated by farming settlements, male absenteeism is an indication that most farmers are no longer engaged in conventional commercial agricultural activities, which tend to utilize males for labour purposes, hence most males seeking employment elsewhere in the country.

1.2.3 Age

The age composition or structure also determines the kind of economic activities within the locality. Different age groups have different economic needs and different spending patterns.

Age Differentiations

Approximately 71% of the total population in Umtshezi Local Municipality area is below the age of 35. Children, below the pre-school enrolment age (that is, 0-4 years of age), constitute 11% of the population, with those who are at school-going age, including pre-school constitute 23% of the entire Municipal population. Approximately 4% of the total population is over the age of 65 years. This scenario indicates the high dependency ratio incident within the municipal area, an event which might have a negative impact on the overall socio-economic development of the area as it impedes on the ability of the individuals to save and invest. Figure 5 above indicates that the largest age group in the municipality is between the ages of 15-34 constituting 37% of the entire population. This is followed by ages 35-64 (25%) and by 5-14 age group (23%). This trend obliges the government and the local municipality to allocate a large percentage of their budget to social development facilities such as schools, child grant, pension and clinics instead of on capital Facilities such as roads, water and electricity infrastructure and municipal marketing activities.

| Age Description | 2008 |
|-----------------|------|
| 0-4 | 6430 |
| 5-9 | 6661 |
| 10-14 | 7206 |
| 15-19 | 7158 |
| 20-24 | 5609 |
| 25-29 | 5265 |
| 30-34 | 4204 |

| 35-39 | 3795 |
|-------------|------|
| 40-44 | 3081 |
| 45-49 | 2572 |
| 50-54 | 2372 |
| 55-59 | 1547 |
| 60-64 | 1400 |
| 65-69 | 874 |
| 70-74 | 802 |
| 75-79 | 418 |
| 80 and over | 519 |

1.2.4 Employment Profile

Table 1: Employees Occupation

Occupation

| Description | 2008 |
|-----------------------|------|
| Senior Officials | 559 |
| Professionals | 535 |
| Tech/Assoc Prof | 1293 |
| Clerks | 1092 |
| Service workers | 1112 |
| Skilled agric work | 416 |
| Other | 1039 |
| Elementary occupation | 2536 |
| Occupations NEC | 778 |
| Plant Operators | 963 |

Employment Status

| Description | 2008 |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Employed | 10134 |
| Unemployed | 12297 |
| Not Economically Active | 14815 |

Employee Occupation in Percentage%

- Legislators & Senior Officials 0.2%
- Corporate & General Managers 5.0%
- Engineering Science Professionals 2.2%
- Life Science & Health Professionals 3.1%
- Teaching Professionals 9.0%
- Other Professionals 4.0%
- Clerks 11.0%
- Services related 6.5%
- Retail related 4.0%
- Commercial Agriculture & Fishery 4.0%
- Skilled 10.0%
- Plant Machinery Operators/ Drivers 9.0%
- Elementary 25.0%
- Undetermined 7.0%
- TOTAL 100%

Table 1 above indicates that the number of employees per occupation in Umtshezi Local Municipality area. Approximately 10% of the total working force in the municipality is skilled. Only 18.3% of the workforce is professional. Trade and craft constitute 10% of the workforce. This could also be used to boost the tourism sector within the municipality. An approximate number of 25% of the entire workforce is employed as elementary.

1.2.5 Income Profile

Table 2: Individual and Household Income

Household Income

| Description | 2008 |
|--------------------|------|
| No income | 3763 |
| R1 - R4 800 | 1332 |
| R4 801 - R 9 600 | 2728 |
| R9 601 - R 19 200 | 1762 |
| R19 201 - R 38 400 | 1648 |
| R38 401 - R 76 800 | 1281 |
| R76 801 - R153 600 | 866 |
| R153601-R307200 | 381 |
| R307201-R614400 | 106 |
| R614401-R1228800 | 38 |
| R1228801-R2457600 | 35 |
| R2 457 601, more | 10 |
| Not Applicable | 23 |

ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME PERCENTAGE (%)

No income 27%

R1 - R4 800 10%

R4 801 - R9 600 20%

R9 601 - R19 200 13%

R19 201 - R38 400 11%

R38 401 - R76 800 9%

R76 801 - R153 600 7%

R153 601 - R307 200 2%

R307 201 - R614 400 0.7%

R614 401 - R1 228 800 0.1%

R1 228 801 - R2 457 600 0.2%

The above figures indicate that on average 27% of the households are surviving on less than R12 per day. This indicates high dependency ratios in that most of the households may be surviving on government grants ranging from old-age pension grants, disability grants etc. Even if households employ informal or illegal survival strategies it is unlikely that they generate substantial income given the general lack of viable economic base within rural areas. This situation indicates a need for development of local economic development activities that will ensure thathouseholds do manage to have access to the bare minimum of household's amenities.

1.3 Economy

Industry, Commerce and Infrastructure

Umtshezi occupies the ideal spot for any manufacturing industry. It is adjacent to the N3 national highway, and lies on the Johannesburg-Durban electrified main railway line, facilitating the transportation of goods to and from the town. Umtshezi has a highly efficient, reliable and cost effective electrical infrastructure, which supplies its large industries with all the power they need to run their plants. An abundance of water, from the Bushman's River, which flows into the nearby Wage drift Dam, ensuring that the industrialists are suitably served in terms of their water requirements.

Estcourt's industrial area is well situated in relation to the labour sources of the town. A well-maintained aerodrome services Estcourt for any executive who needs to fly in to town. The possible expansion of the industrial area has been suitably planned for and there is much land available in the Broomcliffe area to accommodate this. The larger established industries are:

- Nestle(SA)(Proprietary)Limited
- Eskort Bacon
- Masonite (Africa)Limited
- SASKO
- Narrowtex
- Glamosa Glass
- Clover(SA)
- Karbotek

Established residential properties are available for rental or for sale through local estate agents and serviced sites for the erection of houses are available or can be made available. Industrial land is also obtainable.

Estcourt central business district is a busy hub of commercial activity with many chain retail stores as well as local businesses doing a roaring trade. Estcourt is now the largest commercial and service centre in the Midlands region and is also important to the nearby Mooi River, Winterton, Bergville, Colenso and Weenen, due to the fact that Estcourt now has a greater variety of shops. The town of Estcourt has become the home of some of the well-established industries in the country. These progressive manufacturing industries serve both the South African market as well as being significant exporters in the industry.

Over the number of years Estcourt and its surrounding areas has undergone a drastic change in terms of tourism development. Estcourt /Wembezi is the gateway to the central Drakensberg area and the environment offers unmatched lifestyle and leisure opportunities. Midway between Estcourt/Wembezi, the Wage drift Dam and surrounding ground are situated. Estcourt is ideally situated with easy access to various towns, which also make up the well known tourist attractions, namely the Drakensberg Region, The

Midlands Meander, Ladysmith, Weenen, and Colenso which also form part of the world renowned Berg, Bush and Battlefields Route.

| Industry Description | 2008 |
|----------------------|-------|
| Agric relate work | 901 |
| Mining, Quarrying | 14 |
| Manufacturing | 1685 |
| Elec,gas,water | 86 |
| Construction | 435 |
| Wholesale, Retail | 1829 |
| Transport, Comm | 340 |
| Business Services | 623 |
| Community Services | 3369 |
| Private Household | |
| Undetermined | 49590 |
| ExtraTerrit Orgs | |
| Rep Foreign Gov | 0 |
| | |

1.4 Society

Estcourt previously comprised of a population of approximately 3 407 whites, 710 coloureds, 5 432 Asians and 1 296 blacks as per the census taken in 1991. The population of Estcourt is now 13 650. The population of nearby Wembezi is approximately 25 000. It is estimated that the area immediately surrounding Estcourt/Wembezi is home to approximately a quarter million people, mostly living in the rural environment.

There are also other black homelands in the vicinity of Estcourt from which the town draws a great deal of its labour. Queen Victoria gave the area to the Black people during her reign. In the past there were certain areas allocated to the different race groups, the black and some Indian people lived in Rensberg and the colored and Some Indian people lived in Zaailaager. The white people lived in town and the Wage drift area.

After years of fighting on the Rensberg and Zaailaager farms, an Indian area was allocated. Black people now occupy Rensberg only and many farms still do not have electricity or water. Zaailaager is now a colored area called Trench town. Estcourt was served with two hospitals, one black hospital and a white hospital. The white hospital has recently opened its doors to all races.

Estcourt previously had two hotels, the Plough Hotel and Sunrise Hotel. Estcourt now has just one hotel, The Plough Hotel that is now called Val-U-Lodge. Over the past number of years Estcourt and its surrounding areas has a wide variety of accommodation facilities.

1.5 Administration System

Estcourt/Wembezi was incorporated into a Transitional Local Council in February 1995 with the town of Estcourt and nearby Wembezi coming together to form one town with well established industrial, commercial and residential areas.

These two areas linked-up with Weenen to become known as Umtshezi which is administered by a combined Council consisting of 14 Councillors. This area is also the heart of the large surrounding agricultural area.

2. DISASTER RISK IN THE MUNICIPALITY

2.1 Introduction

This section presents the findings of the disaster risk analysis and assessment of the Umtshezi Municipality. The chapter begins by presenting the key findings of the disaster risk analysis & Assessments on the prevalent disasters, followed by the impact these hazards have as well as the hazards that have the potential to cause catalyst disasters. The Umtshezi Municipality's vulnerability analysis and adjustment is also presented and finally the section concludes with the overall analysis of capacity and resources for the Umtshezi Municipality. It should be noted that that these assessments distinguishes between the disaster risks faced by the rural dwelling households as well as the urban dwelling households.

What are disasters?

Disaster in terms of the Disaster Management Act, 2002, means a progressive or sudden, widespread or localized, natural or human-caused occurrence which:

(a) Causes or threatens to cause:

- (i) Death, injury or disease;
- (ii) Damage to property, infrastructure or the environment;
- (iii) Disruption of the life of a community; and

(b) Is of a magnitude that exceeds the ability of those affected by the disaster to cope with its effects using only their own resources.

A disaster is also described as an event or hazard that overwhelms the capacity of a household, community, city, business or area, to resist or recover from the impacts without external assistance. Disasters are also social phenomena that occur when a community suffers exceptional, non-routine levels of disruption and loss.

What are hazards?

Hazards are normally classified in the following broad categories namely:

Natural hazards: Atmospheric, geologic and hydrologic hazards like storms, earthquakes and Floods, as well as the spread of infectious diseases. There is mounting evidence that worldwide

Environmental changes, especially climate change, will exacerbate the probability of natural hazards. This is also a sign that large-area hazards, as opposed to site-specific threats, will become more prominent. (Note: Drought is defined as a slow-onset environmental hazard).

Technological hazards: Major accidents, industrial failures, hazardous materials threats to human life and unsafe public buildings. The dependence on electricity supplies and mass communications to support the key functions of large cities and modern economies means that power failures and computer viruses can quickly disable urban life.

Context hazards: (global environmental change). International air pollution deforestation, desertification, loss of natural resources, intensive urbanization - climate change.

Super hazards: catastrophic earth changes, impact from near earth objects.

New-concern threats: The ongoing spread of technology and urbanization, together with growing social diversity and political tension creating opportunities for international violence and terrorism.

2.2 Prevalent Hazards and Disasters

Based on the desktop disaster risk review, analysis and assessments conducted on the prevalent disasters within the Umtshezi Municipality ,the following hazards have been identified and classified as key hazards prevalent the within the municipality .The key prevalent hazards and disasters include but not limited to the following :

- Rural & Urban Fires
- Thunderstorms and Lightening
- Floods
- Droughts
- Tornado
- Soil Erosion
- Environmental Degradation
- Snow
- Technological Hazards

It is common knowledge that these hazardous events not only destroy and slow down years of hard work and development but will result in severe social and economic losses both at the local, provincial and national level where these various structures of government and emergency & disaster management

organisations are required to provide emergency relief for the victims. These findings will be later followed by a detailed account of the impacts that can be sustained in the event that measures are not in place to prevent, mitigate or reduce the risk of the hazards happening in the near future.

It is generally acknowledged that there are a host of risks and hazards which result in disasters; it is however for a number of reasons not the intention of this exercise to discuss each and every hazard in this framework. Therefore given there is substantial evidence from the findings of the data review assessment and analysis suggesting that fire, flooding, thunderstorm and drought appears to be the most prevalent disasters, it has been deemed appropriate to discuss these prevalent hazards in much more detail

2.2.1 Drought

Drought appears to be a major feature of the climate of South Africa and has had devastating impact nationally, provincially and locally respectively. Drought is also now viewed as the normal condition of almost all the climates on earth. Drought can therefore be described as a condition of climate dryness that is severe enough to reduce the soil moisture and water levels below minimum necessary for sustaining plant, animal and the overall economic system. It is commonly referred to as a creeping hazard because it develops slowly and have prolonged existence and because of the fact that droughts are not constrained to a particular area or setting their impact can extend over very extensive areas. Finally the impact of drought will vary according to climatic conditions.

Famine can be perceived to be the most serious potential outcome of drought and unlike most hazards it can be difficult to recognize especially in the early stages and will therefore be defined in terms of effects rather than causes.

The simplest definition that we can assign the drought is the unusual dry period which results in the shortages of water. Rainfall deficiency is therefore the trigger but it is the shortage of useful water in soil, rivers or reservoirs which creates this hazard.

Types of Droughts

Hydrological Drought

This drought refers to the shortages of surface and subsurface water supplies, this happens when natural streams flow of ground water levels are sufficiently reduced to impact adversely on water resources. This type of drought is measured by relating shortfall of water supplies to water demand. Water in hydrological storage systems such as reservoirs are often used for multiple purposes such as flood control, irrigation, recreation. Therefore completion for water in these storage systems escalates during drought resulting in conflict between water users increasing significantly.

Meteorological drought

The South African weather service defines drought on the basis of the degree of dryness in comparison to "normal" or average amounts of rainfall for a particular area or place as well as the duration of the dry period. Meteorological drought is therefore linked to the average rainfall in a certain area. A deviation from normal measure rainfall could indicate a meteorological drought. This type of drought is therefore regions specific and is monitored by the SA Weather Service.

Agricultural drought

Agricultural drought occurs when there isn't enough soil moisture to meet the needs of a particular crop at a particular time. Rain –fed crops are dependent on adequate rainfall during the specific season. The Department of Agriculture has previously defined 4 types of agricultural drought namely:

- Drought: Prolonged abnormally dry period when there is insufficient water for users' normal needs. Agriculture suffers first and eventually everyone feels the impact.
- Seasonal drought: This is a predictable drought and an annual event e.g. dry winter in a summer rainfall region or dry summer in a winter rainfall region. Other seasons may also be much drier than normal. Where overgrazing prevails a seasonal drought may be mistaken for a severe drought which qualifies for assistance, it therefore becomes critical that the assessment is done thoroughly. Seasonal drought does not qualify for assistance unless the preceding seasons were disastrously dry.
- Periodic drought: This occurs at more or less regular intervals and is largely the result of normal fluctuations in rainfall below the expected average. Overgrazing aggravates such droughts and periodic droughts must be provided for in the form of veld and fodder reserves.
- Disaster drought: Disaster drought tends to develop gradually in grazing lands usually from chronic lower rainfall over many months and seasons. This type of drought is not predictable and occurs at uneven intervals of years.

2.2.2 Floods

A flood refers to excessive water run-off or the rise in water level in a particular area which is more than the particular environment can absorb or carry. Floods are caused by either too much rain in a short space of time (for example cloud bursts), continuous rain in the same area blockages in rivers and streams(such as rubble or landslides) or failure in dam walls, levies, storm surges or the excessive release of water from dams and lakes. It is normally the prolonged period of rainfall which gives us a good indication of possible

floods. In summary the two elements which contributes to flooding is rainfall intensity which is the rate of rainfall and the duration that is how long the rain lasts.

2.2.2.1 Types of Floods

River Floods

Flooding along the rivers is a natural and inevitable part of life. Some floods occur seasonably with summer or winter rainfall which causes the river basins to fill with too much water, too quickly. Torrential rains from tropical cyclones also called hurricanes can also provide river flooding.

Urban floods

Our urban development creates a number of areas which cannot absorb natural rainfall e.g. parking lots, roads, buildings etc). Urbanisation increases runoff 2 to 6 times over what would occur on natural terrain. During periods of urban flooding, streets can become swifts moving rivers and houses and buildings can sustain damages.

Flash Floods

Flash floods occur then an excessive amount of rain falls within a short period of time (in dried up streams and wetlands, river valleys and also urban areas) or when a massive amount of water is suddenly released (by dams or the release of blockages in rivers). Rain water causes a small but fast moving river which can gain velocity n a matter of minutes.

Flood Warning Signs

- It is normally in the rainy season
- There are severe inland thunderstorms or storms
- Previous Rains have saturated the soil and another storm is threatening
- There is excessive rainfall over a short period of time
- Moderate rainfall occur from slow moving storms resulting in lot of rain over the same area
- The ground cannot absorb the amount of water
- The water levels in rivers and dams rise suddenly
- There might be snow in the mountains which could melt once spring approaches
- More and more green areas are developed into urban centres which decrease the environment's absorption capacity.

2.2.3 Thunderstorms

Thunderstorms, the large cauliflower-like clouds commonly seen in the rainfall areas

Are violent, local atmospheric disturbances accompanied by lightening, thunder, heavy rain often by strong gusts of wind or tornadoes and sometimes by hail. A storm normally lasts for about 30 minutes, but severe may last longer. Rural KZN which includes the Umtshezi Municipality experiences very high incidences of thunderstorms, particularly in the summer rainfall regions. The key element which contributes to thunderstorms is warm weather which creates a significant amount of updrafts and a considerable amount of noticeable cumulus clouds.

2.2.3.1 Types of Thunderstorms

• Single cell (cloud) Storm

Single cloud thunderstorm has life spans of 20-30 minutes. They are usually not strong enough to produce severe weather. A true single cell storm is actually quite rare.

• Multi-cell cluster Storm

Multi-cell storms are the most common type of thunderstorm. It consist of group of cells, moving as one unit, with each cell in a different phase of the thunderstorm life cycle. The mature cell is usually found at the centre. Although each cell may last only 20 minutes, the cluster may last several hours. These can produce heavy rainfall, down bursts, moderate-sized hail and occasional weak tornadoes.

The Multi-cell line Storm

This type of storm consists of al line of storms without continuous, well developed gust front (or winds) at the edge of the line of storms. This line of storms can be solid or it can have gaps. The main threats with these storms are golf ball-sized hail, heavy rainfall, and weak tornadoes. However, they are best known for their down bursts that manifests in strong wind gusts at ground that can be very damaging to structures and houses.

• The Super cell Storm

The super Cell storm is highly organised thunderstorm. Although these are rare, they pose a great threat to life and property. This is pretty much like a single cell storm in that it has one updraft. However this one is extremely strong had has the ability to produce severe weather. This storm can produce large hail and strong down bursts and strong violent tornadoes.

2.2.3.2 Storm Warning Signs

- Normal rain season, particularly in the summer rainfall regions
- Observation of big grey clouds formations with a normally flat base
- The wind suddenly changes and blows cooler air
- Lighting within the clouds of the storm and far-off rumbling or thunder
- Down bursts of rain.

2.2.4 Rural & Veld Fires

Veld fires are forest fires that are dealt with under the National Veld and Forest fires Act. No.101 of 1998. This law defines the veld fire as a veld, forest or mountain fire, where veld means the open countryside beyond the urban limit or homestead boundary. Veld fires are therefore any fire which occurs outside the boundaries of urban build areas and pose the potential of running out of control.

It is also important to note that 90% of veld fires are started by humans the other 10% is started by natural occurrences such as lightning. There are 3 necessary components to start a veld fire: oxygen, fuel and heat. At least 16% oxygen must be in the air for a fire to start (the atmosphere contains 21%). Fuels such as dead plants, dry leaves, pine needles and grass burns more readily than moist green plants because the dead material contains less moisture. Heat is usually supplied by a lightning strike to a tree or dry grass. People normally start veld fires through carelessly (not properly disposing of cigarette butts) or malicious (intentionally starting a fire) behaviour.

2.2.4.1 Rural & Veld Fire warnings

- It is normally your dry season
- There are very hot conditions
- There are lot of potential fuel (e.g. dry leaves, wood, dead plants and grass).
- You can clearly see long dry grass and plants
- There are moderate to strong winds present.

2.2.4.2 The importance of Veld Fires

- Environmentally veld fires can be important to local ecosystems e.g. smoke and heat are sometimes needed for seeds to germinate
- Veld fires can lead to regeneration of local plant life
- Veld fires can have a good economic and emotional effect on people and property directly affected.
- Having a better understanding of veld fire causes can help with better preparations and perhaps minimise or prevent veld fire damage.

2.2.5 Lightning

Lightning is one of the most deadly natural phenomena known to humans. With bolt temperatures hotter than the surface of the sun and shock waves beaming out in all directions, lightning is a lesson that commands the respect of humankind.

Lightning occurs with every thunderstorm and must be expected as thunderstorm forms. Lightning results from the build up and discharge of electrical energy between positively and negatively charged areas in the atmosphere and clouds. The most lightning occurs between clouds but it is the ground strikes that are dangerous.

2.2.5.1 Types of Lightening

• Cloud to Ground

Cloud-to-ground lightning is the most damaging and dangerous form of lightning. It is the most common type, but it is the one that is the best understood. The cloud to ground lightning bolts strikes the highest object in the lightning bolts path; the lightning may strike the person. Lightning strikes can cause severe injury or death. Cloud-to-ground lightning is the best understood type of lightning because it leaves so much more evidence behind.

• Intra Cloud

Intra-cloud lightning is the most common type of lightning. It usually takes place within the cloud and looks like a bright flash of light which flickers. This bright flash may leave the cloud and the flash can be visible for many kilometres.

Inter Cloud

A less common lightning strikes occurs between oppositely charged areas of different clouds. This means that there are positive and negative charges within different clouds and the strikes travels in the air between them.

2.2.5.2 Lightning Warnings

- Observation of the thundercloud formation
- A rumble in the clouds can be heard and flashes can be noticed when intra-cloud lightning occurs.
- You can count the time it takes to hear the thunder after seeing the flash.

2.3 Possible Impact

| Hazard | Aspect | Hazard Effect | Possible Consequences |
|-------------|---|--|--|
| 1. Droughts | ■ Crops | Reduced crops & Total crop Failure | Reduced income, food shortages, reliance on shops, unemployment & Evictions |
| | ■ Water | Reduction &Contamination | Human diseases, human health, livestock diseases, absence and deaths, crop failure and loss |
| | Employment | Layoffs & Evictions | Loss of income, food shortages, increased unemployment etc. |
| | Livestock | Weakened, disease & death | Reduced health, food shortages and sales, slaughter and reduced income |
| | ■ Grazing | Reduced reproductive capacity of land. | Livestock weakening and death, livestock sales & slaughter, impounding, conflict, land degradation |
| | ■ Food Prices & Tenure | Increased evictions, closure of farms & food shortages | Food Shortages homelessness and migrations. |
| | ■ Fuel | Reduced | Disruption of domestic activities & conflict |
| 2. Flooding | Physical structures | Damaged to the physical structures of dwelling | Loss of physical structure resulting in large displacements of families and homelessness. |
| | Personal Assets & Economic Assets | Damaged and loss of personal assets & belongings | Sustained damages to assets , food shortages and |

| | EmploymentHousehold IncomeHealth | Increased rate of absenteeism. Increased rate of absenteeism resulting in the loss of household income. Disease and death | shortages, loss of livestock and loss Increased Human illnesses, human health, livestock |
|--|--|---|---|
| 3. Thunderstorms, Lightning, Winds, Hail and Flooding | Physical structures | Loss of economic & social physical structures of dwelling. | death and crop failure and loss. Damage to houses due directly to severe winds, hail or storm water, or indirectly by windblown debris; Loss of physical structure resulting in large displacements of families and homelessness |
| | Personal Assets & Economic & Social Assets | , , | Disruption of power supply (and the subsequent knock-on effect on water supply, etc) from lightning strike or downed power lines; Road access disruption because of flash flooding, traffic accidents or fallen vegetation and/or power lines; Damage to houses due directly to severe winds, hail or storm water, or indirectly by windblown debris; Significant insurance losses to both buildings and possessions such as cars; |

| | Annual & Perennial Crop losses | Loss of crops and damage to crop quality and crops and the biodiversity | Income loss for farmers due to damaged crop and poor crop quality. |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| | | | Increased and sustained food shortages |
| | | | Decline in food production and disrupted food supply. |
| | | | injury or death from both direct and indirect causes |
| | Human Life | Sustained injures and fatalities | Fires and/or fatalities caused by lightning strikes. |
| | | | Increased medical cost to the state as a result of an immediate increase to multiple injuries. |
| | | | |
| 4. Veld Fires | Annual & Perennial Crop losses | Loss of crops and damage to crop quality and crops and the | Income loss for farmers due to damaged crop and poor crop quality. |
| | | biodiversity | Increased and sustained food shortages. |
| | | Loss of farming and crop land | Unemployment from fire related declines in production and financial losses due to damaged plant. |
| | | | Reduced reproductive capacity of crop land and soil fertility. |
| | Land Degradation | Loss of wildlife and livestock | Increased soil erosion and soil compaction, increasing surface run –off resulting in the decrease of infiltration. |
| | | | Reduced water required to recharge ground water resources resulting in reduced water for irrigation. |
| | | | |
| | | | Permanent loss of habitat and species and high |
| · | | | Page 224 of 405 |

| | | livestock mortality rates. |
|--|--|--|
| ■ Livestock & Wildlife | Loss of grazing land necessary for restocking | Disruption of reproduction cycles (delayed breeding and more miscarriages) |
| | | Forced reduction of foundation stock and Reduced milk production. |
| | | Declined production of milk and meat. |
| | | Loss of grazing land necessary for restocking |
| | | Unavailability of feed for livestock resulting in increased high cost of feed |
| ■ Grazing Land | Loss of veld, forest and plantation resources such as timber and pine mealies etc. | Decline in food production and disrupted food supply. |
| | | |
| | | |
| ■ Human Life | Increased mortality rates in humans | Loss of human life. |
| Income Generating Forests & Plantation | | Impaired productivity of forest and plantation land resulting in financial losses due to damage. |
| | | Availability of plantation resources such as timber, pine, mealies, etc. |
| | | Revenue losses to national, provincial and local governments due to reduced taxes. |
| | | Reduction of economic development and increased unemployment from fire related declines in production. |

| ■ Biological Resources | Loss of economic and social assets such as property resulting in a depressed economy Increased unemployment |
|------------------------|--|
| ■ Economic Property | Loss of physical structure resulting in large displacements of families and homelessness |

2.4 Exposure & Vulnerability

Vulnerability in this case means the degree to which an individual, a household, a community or an area may be adversely affected by a disaster. Vulnerability, like the risk and hazard is a possible future state that has implications of high risks which are combined with an inability to cope with those risks at a particular given point in time.

Vulnerability is can also be defined as the conditions determined by physical social, economic and environmental factors or processes which increases the susceptibility of a community to the impact of the hazard. Vulnerability, like risk and hazard, is a possible future state that implies high risk combined with an inability to cope. Human vulnerability is a more complex term.

Communities and households are exposed to different forms of vulnerability that include:

- Weather-related shocks and natural calamities: drought, earthquakes, hurricanes, tidal waves, floods, heavy snow, early frost, extreme heat or cold waves
- Pest and disease epidemics: insect attacks, predators and diseases affecting crops, animals and people
- **Economic shocks:** drastic changes in the national or local economy and its insertion in the world economy, affecting prices, markets, employment and purchasing power
- Seasonal stresses: hungry season food insecurity
- Environmental stresses: land degradation, soil erosion, bush fires, pollution
- Idiosyncratic shocks: illness or death in family, job loss or theft of personal property
- Structural vulnerability: lack of voice or power to make claims

Vulnerability to the various types of natural hazards is not homogeneous across geographical areas or within communities. Some communities and some households within given communities will be more vulnerable than others.

Vulnerability context: Key issues and questions to help assess the vulnerability context will include:

i. Assessing the overall vulnerability context

- What is the size of the population? How is it distributed? How many households are there in the village, by ethnic group if relevant?
- How often do hazards/disasters hit the community? Is the incidence growing?
- What are the main causes of vulnerability?

 What are the local perceptions of the risk of natural hazards/disasters differentiated, if appropriate, by socio-economic category or geographical location?

The vulnerability context frames the external environment includes:

- Population Trends
- Resource Trends
- Shocks (Natural hazards & disease outbreak)
- Seasonality in market prices and Employment opportunities.

ii. Hazard Exposure of the most vulnerable group

- Identification of the most vulnerable groups in the community and where are they located
- Where do the different ethnic groups live?
- Where are the female-headed households located
- To which natural hazards are they particularly vulnerable and why?

iii. Hazard exposure of livelihood assets

- What are the main natural resources and productive assets (e.g land, water, pasture, trees, tree nurseries, fish ponds, animal shelters, machinery, irrigation systems, wells, inputs/fodder/food storage facilities etc.)
- Where are they located within the community's geographical area?
- Are they available to the community only or are they also used by others (government, corporations and local private sector companies)?
- Which group in the community have access to them and why?
- To what degree are the resources and or productive assets exposed to hazard impacts differentiated by a hazard?

iv. Disaster preparedness, rescue and emergency response infrastructure and facilities?

- What community infrastructure and equipment (e.g schools, stores, wells, fire fighting equipment, power station, hospital or health clinics) are available to save lives and livelihoods during disaster
- Or to provide temporary shelter and emergency supplies: where are they located. E.g. a hazard vulnerability map.
- What formal and informal community facilities are available for the Disaster Risk Management?

v. Seasonal Vulnerability hazard risk planning

· When do hazards occur?

- Do hazards coincide with peak working seasons
- Do hazards threaten peak production periods or the harvest

Cropping Calendar

| Key Crops | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | De c |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| Mealies | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corn | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soy Beans | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Potatoes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cabbages | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Seasonal calendar of natural hazards

| Hazard Risk | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | De c |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| Hailstorm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Veld fires | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drought | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Floods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Strong Winds | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Seasonal Calendars: Are valuable tools to assess seasonal vulnerability patterns and hazard implications. They can be used in meetings to help identify the key hazard risks facing the community and to stimulate and focus discussions on existing and potential local coping strategies.

vi. Local coping mechanisms and adaption strategies

- What coping strategies exist for each hazard type?
- Which organizations and institutions, if any, support existing coping strategies or promote new strategies? Who has access to/ uses these supporting services.

This approach captures the dynamic, complex nature of people's vulnerability. While trends capture the temporal continuum of vulnerability in positive or negative directions, shocks capture largely external, unexpected events such as natural disasters. More importantly this framework does not look at the vulnerability context in isolation, but links it with transforming structures and processes. Practical application of such a framework means that it not only describes the different aspects of people's vulnerability but also points to social, political and economic structures and processes, transformations which would help reduce vulnerability and thus help insure sustainable livelihood for the poor.

Frequently disasters adversely affect the livelihoods of poor people by damaging their means of earning (destruction of the factory, loss of land due to erosion in flooding, destruction of the shop) and/or tools (loss of draught animals, plowing tools, etc). Mainstream disaster management responses frequently do not focus on rehabilitation of peoples' means of livelihood. Families, who lose their means of livelihood during a disaster, find their recovery from adverse effects become more unlikely and their vulnerability to future disasters more increased. It is also assumed that if people will have better sources of livelihoods and higher incomes, they will spend more on disaster risk management in order to save their property, because due to higher incomes they have savings to spend for this purpose. But if they do not have any savings then spending on disaster management, becomes the least priority in comparison to the chronic issues of survival.

Diversity in the sources of livelihoods is very important for increasing people's capacity to cope and recover. For example, a family that has two different sources of income including a tract of land and a shop. If this family loses the crop and one draught animal due to a severe flooding event, it still has the shop. This family will be in a better position to sustain after damage to crops and to recover by buying another animal by mobilizing the savings from the shop in comparison to a family which has only one tract of land and loses the standing crop and one animal, and does not have any other source of income. Thus, investment on strengthening and diversifying the sources of livelihoods of the people of disaster prone areas can be an effective strategy for disaster risk reduction in the long run.

2.5 Capacities and Resources

Enabling mechanisms:

2.5.1 Policy:

The Disaster Management Act, 2002 in conjunction with the National Disaster Management Framework provides firstly the platform for disaster risk management, secondly, the Key Performance Areas and Enablers provides the guidelines to the activities to be followed in the disaster risk management cycle.

2.5.2 Structures:

The well-organized structures and systems of the municipality can facilitate and ensure coordination of stakeholders' action and contributions to be in place. This involves the establishment and strengthening of focal points and coordination bodies for disaster reduction and response activities

2.5.3 Capacity:

The enhancement of national and local capacity to establish and implement disaster reduction and response measures, especially for vulnerable sectors and communities, is a constant undertaking.

2.5.4 Resources:

The identification and provision of resource requirements, including funds and trained human resources, are important. This includes means to access and use authorized fund appropriations for disaster reduction and response.

3. CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

3.1 Challenges

- Rapid and Poorly controlled urbanisation and underdevelopment in the rural areas
- Widespread rural and urban poverty
- Inactive public policy
- Increasing construction of municipal and infrastructure production in hazard prone areas
- Minimal or non-involvement of the private sector, civil society and urban and rural communities in the prevention and risk management.
- Environmental degradation leading to loss of ecological values such as those provided by forests which buffers against natural hazards events.
- Failed Development approaches
- Perception that emergency preparedness and post disaster response which addresses only effects not causes constitutes an adequate approach.
- Unavailability of appropriate disaster risk information
- Political paralysis to integrate the prevention and mitigation processes of disaster risks
- Weak overall technical and operational capacity of the disaster risk management unit
- Poorly Planned development which compromises the protection of vulnerable populations, safeguarding infrastructure, bolstering the local stability and sustainability and shielding of valuable economic, social and environmental assets from devastation
- Dense population in flood plains and settlements below potential hazard areas
- Inability to implement urban and rural disaster risk reduction process of land use planning, physical planning and urban and rural development
- Reduction of vulnerability in the existing social and economic infrastructure and building stock.
- Building the social and economic infrastructure stock e. g schools, hospitals which constitutes a life safety threat to the occupants, studies show that the cost of making buildings safe from hazards such as storms and fire etc is no more than 5-10% of the construction cost.
- Lack of awareness by the community on disaster risk management
- Lack of multi-stakeholder co-operation such as Private, National, Provincial, civil society and communities in disaster management and reduction strategies.
- Inadequate financial and human resources essentially compromising the disaster risk management and reduction given the fact that these processes are long term, low visibility with little guarantee of tangible rewards in the short term for politicians yet, inaction brings further complications and further accumulates the risks creating the need for future investments that are significantly higher than the those required now.

3.2 Opportunities

- Community education and awareness on the disaster risk management and reduction strategies
 which will foster and enhance a culture of community welfare, safety, preparedness and self
 reliance.
- Engagement of the multi-stakeholders such as national, provincial, private sector, civil society and communities on public policy and debate on disaster risk management
- Generation of resources and incentives through budgetary allocations for hazard and vulnerability studies that are geared towards long term sustainability
- Development of the human potential for disaster risk management and reduction through training of practitioners and competency building of professionals, decision makers and communities.
- More robust land use planning
- Environmental management through land degradation and unsustainable agricultural practices
- Encourage pre-disaster , vulnerability and reduction and mitigation measures
- Promotion and insisting on sound and use planning, environmental management and construction standards in all new developments
- Integration of disaster risk management into the planning processes.
- Providing of skills and competences to urban planners in risk sensitive land use planning and incorporating these tools into zoning and other land use regulation.
- Promoting urban and rural development as a powerful tool to reduce urban and rural risk through the introduction of both structural and non structural mitigation measures
- Enforcing life-safety construction standards for every new building
- Development of enforceable implementation policy processes which can be achieved through training, education and practice legislative processes.
- Development of a culture of prevention which can be achieved through multi-stakeholder cooperation.

4. VISION, MISSION & OBJECTIVES

4.1 Vision

Substantial reduction of disaster losses in the lives of the people residing in the Umtshezi Municipal area of jurisdiction as well as the social, economic and environmental assets of the community and the municipality at large.

4.2 Mission

- Integrations of disaster risk reduction into sustainable development policies and planning
- Development & strengthening of institution mechanisms and capacities to build resilience to hazards
- Incorporation of risk reduction approaches into the implementation of emergency, preparedness, response and recovery programmes.

4.3 Objectives

The following objectives in broad terms, as prescribed in the National Disaster Risk Management Framework (NDRMF), are applicable in this pro forma:

- To address holistically and comprehensively the various concerns and gaps in the different phases of the disaster management cycle by considering the underlying causes of disasters (i.e. he conditions of disaster risks) and the broader set of issues and contexts associated with disaster risk and its risk management.
- To prevent, mitigate, prepare for, and respond effectively to the occurrence of disasters through the
 enhancement of local capacity and capability, especially in disaster risk management (i.e.
 recognizing, managing and reducing disaster risks, and ensuring good decision-making in disaster
 reduction and response based on reliable disaster risk information);
- To promote multilevel, multidimensional and multidisciplinary coordination and collaboration among stakeholders in disaster reduction and response as they ensure the participation of the community, the integration of stakeholders' action, and the best use of limited resources.
- Insuring that appropriate enabling mechanisms are in place, including policy, structure, capacity building, and resources.

OBJECTIVES: MUNICIPAL DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

| Objectives Description Of Municipal Disaster Risk Management Framework | Description of Municipal Disaster Risk Management Framework | Activities To Accomplish Objectives |
|--|---|--|
| Institutional capacity for disaster risk management (Key performance area 1) | ☐ Establishment of institutional arrangements for implementing disaster risk management within the municipal sphere of government. | Capacity building and liaison with stakeholders ☐ all levels of Government |
| | ☐ The application of the principle of co-operative governance for the purpose of disaster risk management. | □ all levels of Organized Community structures |
| | ☐ The involvement of all stakeholders in strengthening the capabilities the municipality to reduce the likelihood and severity of disasters. | ☐ Establishment of a focal point within NCO's to communicate with other stakeholder e.g. DWAF/DMC'sat different levels |
| | ☐ Describes processes and mechanisms for establishing co-operative Arrangements with provincial and national role players and within the Municipality | |
| Disaster Risk | | Risk Assessment |
| Assessment (Key Performance Area 2) | ☐ To establish a uniform approach to assess and monitor disaster risks that will inform disaster risk | □ Collect relevant information |
| | management planning and disaster risk reduction undertaken by organs of State and other role players. | □ Establish the risk context. |
| | payora | ☐ Identify the risks. |
| | | □ Analyze the risks. |
| | | □ Assess and prioritize the disaster risks. |
| | | ☐ Identification of risks and hazards likely to result in disasters |
| | | ☐ Estimation of the risk of such events |

| | | ☐ Evaluation of social and economic consequences of derived risk |
|--|--|---|
| | | ☐ Treat the disaster risks |
| | | □ Monitor, review and communicate |
| Disaster Risk Reduction (Key Performance Area 3) | ☐ To ensure all disaster risk management stakeholders develop and implement integrated disaster risk management plans and risk reduction | Risk reduction ☐ Protect or reduce the risk and the intensity of a hazard not becoming a disaster |
| | programmes in accordance with approved frameworks. | □ Influencing the cause of the risk |
| | nameworks. | ☐ Modifying the risk |
| | | □ Develop plans and training to reduce the risk |
| | | ☐ Dissemination of information e.g. early warning, flood warnings |
| | | ☐ Measures to, respond and manage a hazard |
| | | ☐ Measures to hazard alert to minimize severity or consequences of disasters and vulnerability of communities or areas |
| | | ☐ Compiling of a Inventory of the NCO's capacity to deal with vulnerability and risks |
| Response and Recovery (Key Performance Area 4) | ☐ To ensure effective and appropriate disaster response and recovery by: | Recovery/rehabilitation – post disasters Develop and implement appropriate contingency plans |
| | ☐ Implementing a uniform approach to the dissemination of early warnings. | □ Participate in processes to fully restore disaster affected communities or areas to pre disaster level functioning to become even more disaster resistant |
| | □ Averting or reducing the potential impact in respect of personal injury, health, loss of life, property, infrastructure, environments and government services. | |

| | □Implementing immediate integrated and appropriate | □ Coordinate with relevant organizations in the advisory |
|--|---|---|
| | response and relief measures when significant events or disasters occur | forums |
| | Or are threatening to occur. | |
| | ☐ Implementing all rehabilitation and reconstruction strategies following a disaster in an integrated and developmental manner. | □ Apply appropriate measures to restore capacity(Note: It is important that NCO's develop their specific hazard tracking mechanisms e.g. early warning in collaboration with other role players e. g. D o A, SAWS, NDMC, PDMS's, MDMC's.) |
| Information management and communication (Enabler I) | ☐ To guide the development of a comprehensive information management and communication system and establish integrated communication links with all disaster risk management role players. | Information management and communication ☐ Participation in information management and communication system of Organs of State – at all levels |
| Education, training, public awareness and research (Enabler 2) | ☐ To support the education, training, public awareness and research enabler, the following functionalities are required: | Education, training and information programmes □ Participation in programmes of organs of State at all levels |
| | ☐ Education and training programmes pertaining to disaster risk management in all spheres of the education system need to be recorded and monitored. | ☐ Disseminate education, training and information programmes to communities |
| | ☐ The content of education and training programmes as well as records of participants (professionals, volunteers, communities, learners), and the Education and training programmes they attended must be recorded. | Create Awareness on- Prevention Preparedness Response |
| | ☐ A register and records need to be kept of all accredited service providers as well as accredited facilitators to ensure that minimum standards set by Sector Education and Training Authorities (SETA's) are met. | |
| | □ Research programmes and projects need to be registered and monitored and the information | |

| | disseminated to relevant stakeholders. Initiatives related to an integrated awareness programmes by all spheres of government need to be recorded to minimise duplication and to ensure synergy among stakeholders | |
|---|---|--|
| Funding arrangements for disaster risk management in South Africa | ☐ To provide a database that contains data relating to all funding matters. | Inputs on funding requirements ☐ All levels of Government |
| (Enabler 3) | ☐ The funding mechanisms for different aspects of disaster risk management, budgets, applications for funding, approvals and spending, need to be recorded to ensure proper usage and management of available | ☐ All levels of Organized Stakeholders |
| Align and update of objectives and activities | □ Review and update | ☐ Align and review activities ☐ Align strategies |

5. PRIORITY STRATEGIES & INTERVENTIONS

5.1 Introduction

To complete the plan it was deemed necessary to include a pro forma. Further research on this topic will be done in conjunction with Municipal Disaster Committee as well as Academics in the field of disaster risk management during the second phase.

5.2 Principles governing disaster risk management in the Municipal sector Key principles applied in disaster risk management

The principles applied in managing municipal disasters are guided by the disaster risk management cycle model described in the National Disaster Risk Management Framework and seeks to do the following:

- · Address important human needs;
- · Be driven at all tiers of government;
- · Be transparent and inclusive;
- · Ensure community involvement;
- · Accommodate local conditions;
- · Have legitimacy;
- · Be flexible and adaptable;
- · Be efficient and effective;
- · Be affordable and sustainable:
- Be needs oriented and prioritized;
- Involve other actors;
- Have a multi-disciplinary and integrated approach;
- Focus on key issues;
- · Be practical;

Basic Elements of Disaster Risk Management


The following are the basic elements that will be followed:

 Disaster assistance must encourage and provide incentives water-dependent sectors or groups to adopt appropriate and efficient management practices that help to alleviate the effects of disasters especially drought.

- Disaster assistance must be provided in an equitable, consistent and predictable manner without regard to economic circumstances, industry or geographic region.
- Disaster assistance must be provided in the form of technical and relief measures, financially or otherwise.
- All disaster -relief agencies should co-ordinate their efforts to establish an accessible pool of knowledge and experience on disaster relief.
- Effective communication must be promoted amongst all parties concerned. It is of utmost importance
 that all parties involved are committed to co-operation and the promotion of confidence in each other.
- In cases of severe disaster conditions, prompt relief must be readily available and should be implemented with utmost speed and efficiency.
- Those at risk must know what to expect from government during disaster or other in order to be better prepared to manage risk.
- The commitment towards the conservation and protection of the natural resource base must be pursued with long-term and comprehensive conservation programmes.
- The creation of permanent structures (including infrastructure and human resources) capable of providing streamlined procedures and effective co-ordination, and to ensure continuity in the ongoing process of reducing vulnerability to recurrent disaster-related crises.
- The strengthening of rural community capacity through an education-, extension- and research scheme, thereby reducing their vulnerability to disasters especially drought, flooding and fire.
- Promotion of a general acceptance of a disaster management strategy for the agricultural sector so as to minimize resource degradation and the vulnerability of the sector to drought.
- Disaster management strategies should be co-coordinated amongst all sectors provincially and nationally. It is essential to co-ordinate individual actions, to be aware of each other's management strategies, and if possible strive towards a common disaster management strategy.

- The development and updating of procedures for the effective communication between all role-players, of information on the nature of available relief schemes and the procedures for the accessing thereof by all members of target communities
- The establishment and updating of sustainable systems through optimal resource utilization
- Improving the relevant household security conditions, creating capacity for self-reliance and ensuring access to effective relief rendering services must reduce the vulnerability of developing communities.
- Integrated information systems must be established on all levels ranging from communities to provincial and national structures. Effective management and co-ordination of information will ensure that probable disaster impacts are identified prior to the actual relief needs and that follow-up relief actions are monitored for adequacy.
- All necessary resources and support systems that can be used for disaster relief actions should be made available. The whereabouts and procedures of accessing these resources should be known to all the relevant relief organizations.
- Efficient communication and co-operation must be established between all the parties in the province to address disaster conditions. Relief actions in the municipality should be planned and co-coordinated in consultation with relief organizations in the municipality, district and province.
- Improving the relevant household security conditions, creating capacity for self-reliance and ensuring
 access to effective relief rendering services must reduce the vulnerability of developing communities.
- Existing infrastructure must be extended and reconstructed where needed, to enable utilization thereof
 for immediate relief actions. Procedures to access resources, activate operations and second personnel,
 must be specifically defined for disaster relief actions with emphasis given to the speed of
 implementation

THE MUNICIPAL DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK



6. Objectives of the MDRMF

The Municipal Disaster Risk Management Framework as prescribed by the Disaster Management Act, 2002, drives the following Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and Enablers:

6.1 Key performance area 1: Institutional capacity for disaster risk management

6.1.1 Objective

Establish integrated institutional capacity within the municipal sphere to enable the effective implementation of disaster risk management policy and legislation.

Intergovernmental Committee on Disaster Management (ICDM)

The ICDM consists of the provincial district and municipal members involved in the management of disaster risk, or the administration of other national legislation aimed at dealing with an occurrence defined as a disaster in terms of section I of the Act. It includes members from the following departments.

Agriculture and Land Affairs

Defence

Education

Environmental Affairs and Tourism

Health

Home Affairs

Housing

Minerals and Energy

DLGTA

Public Works

Safety and Security

Social Development

Transport

Water Affairs and Forestry.

Municipal Disaster Management Centre (MDMC)

The MDMC is the principal functional unit for disaster risk management in the local sphere. In essence, the MDMC is responsible for guiding and developing frameworks for the municipality's disaster risk management policy.

Disaster Management Advisory Forums

Municipal Disaster Management Advisory Forum (MDMAF)

In terms of disaster risk reduction, the local sphere of government is the first line of defence and, in the event of a disaster occurring or threatening to occur; the communities are in reality the first responders. The primary responsibility for the co-ordination and management of local disasters rests with the local sphere. Thorough disaster risk management planning and effective co-ordination is key to saving lives and limit damage to property, infrastructure and the environment.

This forum is responsible for:

- Give advice and make recommendations on disaster-related issues and disaster risk Management.
- Contribute to disaster risk management planning and co-ordination.
- Establish joint standards of practice.
- Implement response management systems.
- Gather critical information about the municipality's capacity to assist in disasters and
- To access resources.
- Assist with public awareness, training and capacity building.

Objective

The primary purpose of the MDMAF is to provide a mechanism for relevant role players to

Consult one another and to co-ordinate their activities with regard to disaster risk management issues.

The Forum must make recommendations concerning the Municipal Disaster Risk Management

Framework

Representation

The representation:

- The Head of the Municipal Centre;
- A senior representative of each provincial department ;
- Representatives of other disaster management role-players may include
 - Organized business;
 - The Chamber of Mines;
 - o Organized labour;

- The insurance industry;
- Organized agriculture;
- o Traditional leaders;
- Religious and welfare organizations;
- o Medical, paramedical and hospital organizations;
- o Organizations representing disaster management professions in South Africa;
- o Other relevant non-governmental and international organizations and relief agencies;
- o Statutory bodies regulating safety standards in particular industries;
- Institutions of higher education;
- Institutions that can provide scientific and technological advice or support to disaster management;
- o Experts in disaster management designated by the Minister; and
- o Persons co-opted by the Forum for a specific period or specific discussions.
- o The Head of the Municipal Centre is the chairperson of the Forum.

Disaster risk management committees

The local municipality will establish the inter-departmental disaster risk management committees for their areas. In addition, the municipality will establish its own disaster risk management committees and ensure the establishment of disaster risk management committees or forums in all municipal wards.

Community participation

The community is at the coalface of disaster risk management. It is from the conditions of risk that exist in communities that all other disaster risk management activities evolve. It is in the community where all the operational activities related to disaster risk management take place. All disaster risk reduction planning, the development of projects and programmes and the allocation of responsibilities must be founded on the needs and priorities of communities. Disaster risk reduction is a community-driven process.

Participation of volunteers in disaster risk management

Every effort should be made to establish units of volunteers trained in special skills in communities at risk, in accordance with the national inclusive approach to the participation of volunteers in disaster risk management.

Arrangements for national and provincial co-operation for disaster risk management

Constitutionally, the Government bears primary responsibility for disaster risk management (Schedule 4, Part A, Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, Act No. 108 of 1996). However, political commitment, legal imperatives and institutional processes are not always sufficient to ensure success. An effective and comprehensive disaster risk management strategy cannot be achieved without participative decision making, involving a wide range of role players.

Disaster risk management is a shared responsibility, which must be fostered through partnerships between the various stakeholders and co-operative relationships between the different spheres of government, the private sector and civil society. Furthermore, disaster risk management is an intergovernmental process, with each sphere of government playing a unique role and performing a specific set of responsibilities in the process.

Co-operation between national, provincial and municipal spheres

- The ICDM provides the political mechanism for the application of the principle of cooperative governance, by bringing together political representatives from the three spheres of government.
- The NDMAF provides a further mechanism for co-operative governance by providing a forum for input, including technological and specialist input, by a wide range of stakeholders from, amongst others, civil society and the private sector.

Mutual assistance agreements


In accordance with the Act, national departments, provinces and municipalities must establish their level of capacity to deal with disaster risk reduction, response and recovery. Where necessary, and to strengthen this capacity, they must enter into mutual assistance agreements with their neighbours, the private sector, other organs of State and communities.

Provincial co-operation

As specified in the Act, provincial co-operation for the purpose of disaster risk management is essential, and the appropriate mechanisms must be initiated to establish a forum in which such co-operation can be achieved. Accordingly, a consultative process must be undertaken to establish a provincial forum for the purpose of disaster risk management co-operation in the province.

National co-operation

The local municipality supports and actively participates in the strategies and efforts of the national community to reduce disaster risk. It must associate itself with selected national development protocols, agendas and commitments.



6.2 Key Performance Area 2: Disaster Risk Assessment

6.2.1 Objective

To establish a uniform approach to assess and monitor disaster risks that will inform disaster risk management planning and disaster risk reduction undertaken by organs of State and other role players.

Disaster risk assessment and risk reduction planning

Disaster risk assessment is the first step in planning an effective disaster risk reduction programme. It examines the likelihood and outcomes of expected disaster events. This would include investigating related hazards and conditions of vulnerability that increase the chances of loss. Disaster risk assessment planning also requires identification of key stakeholders, as well as consultation with them about the design and/or implementation of the assessment and the interpretation of the findings.

Situations requiring a disaster risk assessment

Disaster risk assessments must be undertaken to:

- Anticipate and plan for known hazards or disasters to prevent losses and limit endangering impacts.
- Ensure that development initiatives maximize their vulnerability reduction outcomes.

Undertaking a disaster risk assessment

The general process for assessing disaster risk involves the following stages, namely:

Stage 1: Identify the specific disaster risk(s)

- Identify and describe the hazard with respect to its frequency, magnitude, and speed of onset, affected area and duration.
- Describe and quantify vulnerability to determine susceptibilities and capacities.
- Estimate the likely losses resulting from the action of the hazard on those that are vulnerable, to evaluate likely consequences or impacts.
- Identify relevant capacities, methods and resources already available to manage the risk. Assess the
 effectiveness of these, as well as gaps, inconsistencies and inefficiencies in government departments
 and other relevant agencies.

Stage 2: Analyze the disaster risk(s)

Estimate the level of risk associated with a specific threat or hazard to determine whether the resulting
risk is a priority or not.

Stage 3: Evaluate the disaster risk(s)

 This stage involves the further prioritisation of disaster risks when there are multiple threats or hazards to assess.

Stage 4: Monitor disaster risk reduction initiatives and update and disseminate disaster risk assessment information

 This stage involves ongoing monitoring to measure the effectiveness of disaster risk reduction initiatives, identify changing patterns and new developments in risk profiles, and update and disseminate information for the purpose of disaster risk management planning.

Link with disaster risk management planning

The finding of stages 1 and 2 directly informs the development of a Level 1 Disaster Risk management Plan (the first level of the planning process), as well as components of a Level 3 Disaster Risk Management Plan, by identifying:

- Known priority risks for the purpose of contingency planning.
- Priorities for vulnerability reduction planning.
- High-risk areas, communities and households exposed to multiple risks, and high-risk developments requiring further evaluation and prioritisation through focused comprehensive disaster risk assessments. (The outcomes of Stage 3 will directly inform the development of a Level 2 Disaster Risk Management Plan as well as components of a Level 3 Disaster Risk Management Plan. The results of Stage 4 will inform the development of a Level 3 Disaster Risk Management plan). (Note: Levels of Disaster Risk Management Plans to be discussed under KPA 3.)

Community - based disaster risk assessment

In accordance with the Act's intent to increase local capacity so as to minimise the risk and impact of disasters, disaster risk assessment efforts must actively include the participation of vulnerable communities and households, including physically isolated communities and female headed and child-led households.

Monitoring, updating and disseminating disaster risk information

Just like other risks, disaster risks are not static. They change seasonally and over time. To recognize such changes, and to strategically adjust programmes accordingly, all government departments are required to have monitoring systems in place that are relevant to their specific functional responsibilities. These systems form the basis for sounding timely warnings of, or alerts for, impending significant events or disasters. They are also essential for monitoring the effectiveness of ongoing disaster risk reduction efforts. Risk monitoring systems involve:

- Hazard tracking systems to monitor the physical phenomena that can trigger disaster events, for example, systems that track the seasonal build-up of grass fuels over large areas provide critical warning information on potential veld fire conditions.
- Vulnerability monitoring systems to track the ability of areas, communities, households, critical services and natural environments to resist and withstand external threats.
- Disaster event tracking systems monitoring changing patterns in disaster risk.

6.3 Key Performance Area 3: Disaster Risk Reduction

6.3.1 Objective

To ensure all disaster risk management stakeholders develop and implement integrated disaster risk management plans and risk reduction programmes in accordance with approved frameworks. The successful implementation of the Act critically depends on the preparation and alignment of disaster management frameworks and plans.

Disaster risk management planning

The MDMC must ensure that all institutional role players undertake coherent and relevant disaster risk management planning.

Disaster management framework and disaster risk management plan

The Disaster management framework and disaster risk management plan are the strategic mechanisms through which disaster risk management action is co-coordinated and integrated across all spheres.

Level 1: Disaster Risk Management Plan

A Level 1 The disaster Risk Management Plan focuses primarily on establishing institutional arrangements for disaster risk management.

Level 2: Disaster Risk Management Plan

A Level 2 Disaster Risk Management Plan applies to national, provincial and municipal organs of State that have established the institutional arrangements, and are building the essential supportive capabilities needed to carry out comprehensive disaster risk management activities.

Level 3: Disaster Risk Management Plan

A Level 3 Disaster Risk Management Plan applies to national, provincial and municipal organs of States that have established both the level 1 and level 2 disaster risk management plans. The level 3 plan must specify clear institutional arrangements for co-coordinating and aligning the plan with other governmental initiatives and plans of institutional role players. It must also show evidence of informed disaster risk assessment and ongoing disaster risk monitoring capabilities, as well as relevant developmental measures that reduce the vulnerability of disaster prone areas, communities and households.

Strategic integrating role of disaster management centre

The national, provincial and municipal disaster management centres play important strategic roles in integrating disaster management frameworks, plans and actions between the three spheres of government and across sectors and other role players within spheres.

Setting priorities for disaster risk management planning

Effective disaster risk management planning by all bodies of State as well as other role players requires careful identification of priority disaster risks and the most vulnerable areas, communities and households to these risks.

Identifying municipal priority disaster risks

Municipal disaster priority setting is informed by three important considerations:

- The expected magnitude for specific disaster types (variously referred to as 'impact',
- 'severity' or 'consequences' of a disaster).
- The expected frequency of specific types of disasters (variously referred to as 'the probability' or 'likelihood' of a disaster).
- The expected manageability of specific types of disaster at the municipal level (which refers to 'how difficult' it is to manage a disaster event, including the level of cross-sectoral management effort involved to reduce the risk).

Identifying the most vulnerable areas, communities and households

Not all areas, communities and households face the same disaster risks. In undertaking disaster Risk management planning, priority will be placed on those areas, communities and households that are exposed to natural or other threats, and have the least capacity to resist and recover from the resulting impacts. These are called at -risk areas, communities or households.

Priorities for focusing disaster risk protection efforts

For disaster risk management planning purposes, the municipality will in accordance to its functional area or area of jurisdiction, give priority to protecting:

- Strategic infrastructure or lifeline services whose damage or disruption in disaster events would result in serious and widespread consequences.
- Critical economic, commercial, agricultural and industrial zones or sites whose damage or disruption would have serious and widespread consequences.
- Fragile natural ecosystems and environmental assets that offer protective environmental services and which, if damaged or destroyed in a disaster event, would result in serious natural and economic losses.

- Communities in areas exposed to extreme weather and/or other natural and technological hazards and which are therefore likely to sustain serious human and property losses in the event of a disaster.
- Poor and underserved rural and urban communities, including informal settlements, especially those
 located in fragile ecological areas, which sustain repeated losses from recurrent small, medium, and
 large disaster events and that lack insurance coverage to facilitate recovery.
- Highly vulnerable households in at-risk areas with limited capacity to resist or recover from external shocks, particularly child-headed households or those headed by the elderly or households affected by chronic illness.(Note: Where possible, this process must take place in consultation with those most at risk).

Strategic planning: disaster risk reduction

In keeping with the Act's emphasis on vulnerability reduction and the use of national best practice in this regard, strategic planning will focus efforts on reducing disaster risks. This includes the identification of strategies and measures that lessen the likelihood of harmful losses by avoiding endangering hazards or reducing vulnerability, as well as those that increase capacity to prepare for and enable timely response and recovery.

Disaster risk management involves a wide range of role players, especially since it requires both developmental efforts that reduce the risk of disasters as well as strengthen capabilities for preparedness, response and recovery. In this context, the disaster risk management plans of different organs of State will necessarily differ in their emphasis on disaster risk reduction or on more operational response issues, depending on their respective functional areas.

Core disaster risk reduction principles of disaster prevention and mitigation

All disaster risk management plans will give explicit priority to the core principles of disaster prevention and mitigation. Nationally, disaster prevention, mitigation and preparedness are referred to as disaster risk reduction measures, because they lessen the likelihood of harmful losses by avoiding endangering hazards or reducing vulnerability. In this way, prevention and mitigation are central to achieving the goal of disaster risk reduction, in which vulnerabilities and disaster risks are reduced and sustainable development opportunities strengthened. It is often difficult to decide whether an intervention is preventive or mitigating. For this reason, it is more practical to refer to them jointly as disaster risk reduction measures, because both minimise the risk of disasters.

Disaster prevention

Disaster prevention refers to actions that provide 'outright avoidance' of the adverse impact of hazards and related environmental, technological and biological disasters. Many disasters can be prevented through

effective land-use planning, basic public works and effective municipal services that factor in the frequency and severity of natural or other hazards as well as human actions. Examples will include:

- Replanting indigenous grasses or trees on a recently burned slope near roads or dwellings to stabilize the soil and prevent damaging land subsidence.
- Locating critical rail, road and telecommunications structures behind a coastal 'setback' line in areas
 exposed to storm surges to prevent disruption to critical services during violent summer or winter
 storms.
- Careful positioning of storm-water drainage and its ongoing maintenance, along with protection of natural wetlands, to prevent destructive flooding during heavy rain.

Disaster mitigation

Disaster mitigation refers to structural and non-structural measures that are undertaken to limit the adverse impact of natural hazards, environmental degradation and technological hazards on vulnerable areas, communities and households. These efforts will target the hazard or threat itself (for example, a fire break that stops a fire spreading close to residential areas). This is often referred to as 'structural mitigation', since it requires infrastructure or engineering measures to keep the hazard away from those at risk. Disaster mitigation efforts will also target people who are at risk, by reducing their vulnerability to a specific threat (for instance, promoting community responsibility for controlling fire risk in an informal settlement). This is often called 'non-structural mitigation', as it promotes risk avoidance behaviors and attitudes.

Operational planning: preparedness, response and recovery

The Disaster risk management plan will also incorporate elements of preparedness, response and recovery appropriate to the respective functional areas of different organs of state.

Preparedness

Preparedness contributes to disaster risk reduction through measures taken in advance to warnings, including the timely and temporary evacuation of people and property from threatened locations. Preparedness enables the municipality, organs of State and other institutions involved in disaster risk management, the private sector, communities and individuals to mobilise, organise, and provide relief measures to deal with an impending or occurring disaster, or the effects of a disaster. Preparedness differs from prevention and mitigation as it focuses on activities and measures taken in advance of a specific threat or disaster.

Preparedness actions include:

- Planning for seasonal threats, such as heavy rainfall, flooding, strong winds, veld or rural fires, and communicable disease outbreaks.
- Anticipating and planning for the potential dangers associated with large concentrations of people at sporting, entertainment or other events.
- Establishing clear information dissemination processes to alert at -risk communities of an impending seasonal threat, such as a potential outbreak of cholera during the rainy season.
- Specifying evacuation procedures, routes and sites in advance of expected emergencies, including the evacuation of schools in areas exposed to flash floods.
- Defining in advance clear communication processes and protocols for different emergency situations, including the dissemination of an early warning for an impending extreme weather threat to isolated or remote communities.(Note: These actions are key components of the contingency plans that should be developed for specific threats as part of a municipal disaster risk management plan).

Disaster response

Disaster response refers to the provision of assistance or intervention during or immediately after a disaster to meet the life preservation and basic subsistence needs of those people and animals affected. It can be of an immediate, short-term or protracted duration. (See KPA 4.)

Disaster recovery

Disaster recovery (including rehabilitation and reconstruction), focuses on the decisions and actions taken after a disaster to restore lives and livelihoods, services, infrastructures and the natural environment. In addition, developing and applying disaster risk reduction measures at the same time reduce the likelihood of a repeated disaster event.

Disaster recovery includes:

- Rehabilitation of the affected areas, communities and households.
- Reconstruction of damaged and destroyed infrastructure.
- Recovery of losses sustained during the disaster event, combined with the development of increased resistance to future similar occurrences. (Note: Disaster recovery initiatives present excellent opportunities to incorporate disaster risk reduction actions. Following a disaster event, there are usually high levels of awareness about the risk factors that increased its impact. These present opportunities to introduce disaster risk reduction efforts consultatively with the affected communities and key stakeholders in order to reduce the likelihood of future loss). (See KPA 4.)

Eight key planning points for disaster risk reduction projects or programmes

There are eight key planning points or requirements that must be applied and documented when planning disaster risk reduction initiatives. These enhance the established principles and approaches detailed in existing guidelines for integrated development planning.

- Planning point 1: Use disaster risk assessment findings to focus planning efforts.
- **Planning point 2:** Establish an informed multidisciplinary team with capacity to address the disaster risk and identify a primary entity to facilitate the initiative.
- Planning point 3: Actively involve communities or groups at risk.
- Planning point 4: Address multiple vulnerabilities wherever possible.
- **Planning point 5:** Plan for changing risk conditions and uncertainty, including the effects of climate variability.
- **Planning point 6:** Apply the precautionary principle to avoid inadvertently increasing disaster risks.
- Planning point 7: Avoid unintended consequences that undermine risk-avoidance behavior and ownership of disaster risks.
- Planning point 8: Establish clear goals and targets for disaster risk reduction initiatives, and link monitoring and evaluation criteria to initial disaster risk assessment findings

Research

Disaster risk reduction initiatives will be preceded by transparent research and careful planning and will provide evidence of the relevance or likely effectiveness of the planned intervention(s). (See Enabler 2.)

Monitoring effectiveness and disseminating results

As part of the annual reporting requirements specified in the Act, the municipal disaster management centre will include documented accounts of the disaster risk, reduction projects, programmes and initiatives planned and implemented, including those aimed at reducing vulnerability and loss for defined priority disaster risks.

Integration of disaster risk reduction with spatial development planning

Disaster risk is driven by both hazard and vulnerability factors reflected in spatial development frameworks.

Incorporation of disaster risk reduction planning into integrated development planning

As disaster risk reduction efforts are medium- to long-term multi-sectoral efforts focused on vulnerability reduction, they will be incorporated into ongoing IDP projects, processes, programmes and structures.

Risk - avoidance enforcement mechanisms

Critical components of effective disaster risk reduction are regulations, standards, by-laws and other legal enforcement instruments that discourage risk-promotive behavior and minimise the potential for loss. The municipality will assess the disaster risk management component of their existing policies, regulations, by-laws and other relevant legal instruments for their functional areas, and introduce measures to ensure alignment with the requirements specified in the Act. This will involve:

- Amendment of urban planning standards.
- Amendment of land-use regulations and zoning.
- Amendment of minimum standards for environmental impact assessments.
- Introduction of standards for 'risk-proofing' lifeline services and critical facilities from known priority disaster risks.
- Introduction of by-laws to implement extraordinary measures to prevent an escalation of a disaster or to minimise its effects. Implementation and monitoring of disaster risk reduction programmes and initiatives

Effective implementation of disaster risk reduction programmes:

The eight planning points outlined above will also be applied when implementing disaster risk reduction programmes and initiatives. The monitoring processes and evaluations for disaster risk reduction initiatives specifically targeted communities at risk and must include both qualitative and quantitative vulnerability reduction outcomes. In addition, projects will demonstrate close compliance with the goals, objectives, timeframes and resource requirements identified in the planning process. Mechanisms must

also be established to allow for project adaptation and adjustment for unforeseen conditions and opportunities. The Municipal disaster management centre will include in the annual report documented accounts of the disaster risk reduction projects, programmes and initiatives planned and implemented. This include reports documenting the effectiveness of disaster risk reduction pilot projects and research initiatives, as well as initiatives that aim to reduce vulnerability and loss for defined priority disaster risks.

Measurable reductions in short-, medium - and large - scale disaster losses


The Act specifies that national, provincial and municipal disaster management centres must incorporate in their respective annual reports, as well as in a disaster management information system, a report on disaster risk reduction initiatives undertaken. They are also required to report on any disasters that occurred within their specific areas of jurisdiction. In this context the municipal disaster management centre will report on the frequency and severity of small-, medium- and large-scale disaster events, especially those in communities and areas identified as high risk through disaster risk assessment processes. Significant changes in frequency and severity, type or location of occurrences must also be reported, including systematic accounts of recorded losses. (Note: Liaison with communities to be observed.)

Reduced need for social relief in disaster-prone and economically vulnerable communities

While effective social relief is an important component of disaster response and recovery, the Act explicitly gives priority to vulnerability reduction in disaster -prone areas, communities and households. Annual reports generated by the national Department of Social Development and its provincial counterparts must include an account of the number of households receiving social relief assistance. This information must be further differentiated by location, date, disaster type and amount provided. An important benchmark for monitoring the effectiveness of disaster risk reduction initiatives in the most vulnerable communities will be changing demands for social relief assistance.

Generation and dissemination of case studies and best-practice guides in disaster risk reduction

The promotion of a 'culture of prevention' is practically enabled by access to examples of best practice in disaster risk reduction. In addition to the adoption of measures outlining training and capacity-building strategy, mechanisms for disseminating information on best practice in disaster risk reduction. This includes the development of learning materials and support guides for different risk scenarios and contexts. (See Enabler 2)



6.4 Key Performance Area 4: Response and Recovery

6.4.1 Objective

To ensure effective and appropriate disaster response and recovery by:

- Implementing a uniform approach to the dissemination of early warnings.
- Averting or reducing the potential impact in respect of personal injury, health, loss of life, property, infrastructure, environments and government services.
- Implementing immediate integrated and appropriate response and relief measures when significant events or disasters occur or are threatening to occur.
- Implementing all rehabilitation and reconstruction strategies following a disaster in an integrated and developmental manner.

Early warnings

Early warnings are designed to alert areas, communities, households and individuals to an impending or imminent significant event or disaster so that they can take the necessary steps to avoid or reduce the risk and prepare for an effective response.

Assessment, classification, declaration and review of a disaster

When significant events or disasters occur or are threatening to occur, clear guidelines for the measures that have to be taken need to be established. Organs of State are in terms of section 25 of the Disaster Management Act, 2002, tasked with primary responsibility for dealing with disasters as a result of a particular hazard or significant event. To ensure immediate and appropriate response and relief actions the municipality will prepare operational guidelines for initial assessments, the extent of the area affected and the damage to critical infrastructure, lifeline facilities, property and the environment.

Disaster reviews and reports

Comprehensive reviews will be conducted routinely after all significant events and events classified as disasters. The findings will directly influence the review and updating of disaster risk management plans and will also serve as valuable training aids.

Integrated response and recovery

Co-ordination of response and recovery efforts

Responsibility for co -coordinating response to specific known rapid- and slow-onset significant events and disasters must be allocated to specific role players. For example:

• Flood response and recovery efforts would involve the combined efforts of many stakeholders, but the primary responsibility must be allocated to a specific stake holder with other stakeholder other stakeholders assuming supportive responsibilities. In the case of riverine floods, for example, the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry will bear primary responsibility. In the case of drought, the Department of Agriculture will be the primary agency, and in the case of extreme weather events, the NDMC could assume primary responsibility.

Resources

Mechanisms for the activation and mobilisation of additional resources for response and recovery measures must be clearly set out in operational plans.

Volunteers

Mechanisms for the deployment of volunteers will be outlined in operational plans.

Municipal standard response management system

Each agency identified in the response management system must establish Standard Operating Protocols or procedures (SOPs) for co-coordinating response and recovery operations, and for ensuring government/business continuity. The SOPs must be consistent with the requirements of relevant legislation, regulations and standards. The response management system must include common terminology for the identification of stakeholders responsible for direction, control and co-ordination of an event at the operational, tactical and strategic level, as well as for the title used for each level. For example, the tactical level (field operations), from where the event is being co-ordinated could be referred to as the Joint Operations Centre (JOC). Where strategic intervention is also required, for example in the case of a significant event, the head of the disaster management centre will be responsible for activating the Disaster Operations Centre (DOC) located in the centre of the relevant sphere. (Note: The involvement of organised agriculture at all levels of the integrated institutional capacity for disaster risk management is of vital importance.)

The system must take into account conditions in the province of KZN where frequent significant events occurring on a daily basis require extraordinary measures, but do not necessarily justify the declaration of a local state of disaster. The system must provide for a mechanism to track escalation of incidents and facilitate the reporting of 'trigger' indicators. 'Trigger' indicators must be clearly identified and must be reported to the disaster management centres in the various spheres. Examples include the routine reporting of all veld and forest fire incidents to the disaster management centre when fire danger rating indices are at certain levels, or the reporting of all incidents that require a predetermined level of response.

Emergency communication system

In view of the critical role of inter-agency communication in the management of incidents, significant events and disasters, the MDMC will give priority attention to the development of an emergency communication system for this purpose. (See Enabler 1)

Media relations

Responsibilities and protocols for media liaison, including press releases and media interviews, in the event of a national disaster occurring or threatening to occur, will be determined by the MDMC.

Regulations and directives for response and recovery Operations


The MDMC will ensure the development of regulations and directives to standardize and regulate the practice and management of response and recovery operations.

Regulation of relief measures

Relief operations following significant events and/or events classified as disasters will be coordinated and relief assistance and donations equitably distributed.

Rehabilitation and reconstruction

In order to ensure a holistic approach to rehabilitation and reconstruction in the aftermath of a significant event or disaster, the organ of State tasked with primary responsibility for a known hazard, will facilitate the establishment of project teams for this purpose. Checks and balances must be affected to ensure that projects and programmes maintain a developmental focus. Project teams established for this purpose will determine their own terms of reference and key performance indicators and will report on progress to the MDMC.



6.5 Enabler 1: Information Management and Communication

6.5.1 Objective

To guide the development of a comprehensive information management and communication system and establish integrated communication links with all disaster risk management role players. It requires systems and processes that will:

- Provide an institutional resource database, including a reporting and performance measurement facility.
- Facilitate information exchange between primary interest groups.
- Facilitate risk analysis, disaster risk assessment, mapping, monitoring and tracking.
- Guide and inform focused risk management, development planning and decision-making.
- Facilitate timely dissemination of early warnings, public awareness and preparedness, especially for at-risk people, households, communities, areas and developments.
- Enable timely and appropriate decision making to ensure rapid and effective response and recovery operations.
- Facilitate integrated and co-ordinated multi-agency response management.
- Record and track real-time disaster response and recovery information.
- Facilitate education, training and research in disaster risk management.
- Facilitate funding and financial management for the purpose of disaster risk management.

6.6 Enabler 2: Education, training, public awareness and research 6.6.1 Objective

To support the education, training, public awareness and research enabler, the following functionalities are required:

- Education and training programmes pertaining to disaster risk management in all spheres of the education system need to be recorded and monitored.
- The content of education and training programmes as well as records of participants (professionals, volunteers, communities, learners), and the education and training programmes they attended must be recorded.

- A register and records need to be kept of all accredited service providers as well as accredited
 facilitators to ensure that minimum standards set by Sector Education and Training Authorities
 (SETA's) are met.
- Research programmes and projects need to be registered and monitored and the information disseminated to relevant stakeholders.
- Initiatives related to an integrated awareness programme by all spheres of government need to be recorded to minimise duplication and to ensure synergy among stakeholders.

6.7 Enabler 3: Funding arrangements for disaster risk management 6.7.1 Objective

To provide a database that contains data relating to all funding matters. The funding mechanisms for different aspects of disaster risk management, budgets, applications for funding, approvals and spending, need to be recorded to ensure proper usage and management of available funding.(Note: It is important to look at the activities provided for under this enabler where all spheres of Government must adhere to the funding arrangements for their own organs. These will include the following costs:

- Development of plans,
- The sensitization of all concerned and the review of plans;
- Dissemination, coordination and implementation of early warning systems and issuing of advisories;
- Awareness campaigns and education; and
- Research initiatives.

The municipality will provide for disaster in their annual budget or Medium Term Expenditure Framework projections. If the disaster is of such a magnitude that a provincial municipality cannot handle it, assistance may be requested from the NDCM. The latter will then approach National Treasury for post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation. Funding will ultimately depend on the approval of assistance schemes).

7. INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

Composition, Key Functions & Constitution

Objective

The key and major objective of this process is to primarily establish integrated institutional capacity within the local municipality in order to enable the effective implementation of the disaster management risk management framework.

7.1 Municipal Disaster Management Advisory Forum

In Terms of the disaster risk reduction the local sphere of government is the first in line of defence and in the event of a disaster occurring or threatening to occur the communities are in reality the first respondents. The primary responsibility for co-ordination and management of the local disaster rests with the local sphere of Government. Thorough disaster risk management planning and effective co-ordination is key to saving lives, limiting the possible damages to property and infrastructure as well as the environment

Key Functions and responsibilities

- Give advice and make recommendations on the disaster related issues and disaster risk management
- Contribute to disaster risk management planning and co-ordination
- Technological and specialist input
- Establish joint standards of practice
- Implement response management systems
- Gather critical information about the municipality's capacity to assist in Disasters and access to resources
- Assist with public awareness, training and capacity building and training.

Constitution

The ICDM consists of members of various sector departments who in one way or another are involved in the management of the disaster risk. It includes members or representatives from the following sector departments:

Head of the municipal disaster management centre

- Senior representatives of each of the following provincial departments designated by the manager of the department concerned:
 - o Agriculture and Land Affairs
 - Defence
 - Education
 - Environmental Affairs & tourism
 - Foreign Affairs
 - Health
 - Home Affairs
 - Housing and Human settlement
 - Minerals & Energy
 - Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs
 - Public Works
 - Safety & Security
 - Social Development
 - Transport
 - Water Affairs & Forestry
 - o Eskom
 - o Telkom
- Head of the District Disaster Management Centre
- Representatives of organised local government in the Province
- Head or representative of the Provincial Disaster Management Centre
- Representative from organised business
- · Representative from organised agriculture
- Representative from organised Traditional leaders
- Representative from organised religious organisations
- Representative from organised welfare organisations dealing directly with disaster related matters.

7.2 Disaster Risk Management Committee

The local municipality will establish the internal disaster risk management committee as well as to ensure the establishment of the disaster management committees in all wards.

Key functions and responsibilities

Constitution

The disaster risk management committee shall consist of the administration and political structure that are in one way or another directly or indirectly involved in the management of disaster risk. Those members will include members from the following departments:

- Head of the municipal disaster management center
- Health
- Fire & Protection Service
- Social & Community Service
- Planning & Development
- Environmental Health
- · Engineering Services.
- Chairperson of the respective portfolio committees
- Municipal Manager

7.3 Municipal Disaster Management Centre

The MDMC and the DDMC are the principal functional units for disaster managements in the Local sphere. In essence the MDMC & DDMC are responsible for guiding and developing the framework for the municipal disaster risk management framework and policy as well as the facilitating, monitoring and implementation of the disaster risk management activities.

7.4 Community Participation

The community is at the coalface of disaster risk management. It is from the conditions or risks that exist that all other disaster risk management activities evolve. It is in the community where all the operational activities related to disaster risk management take place. All disaster risk reduction, planning and development of projects and programmes including the allocation of responsibilities must be founded on the needs and priorities of communities. It is therefore important to note that disaster risk reduction is a community process. An effective and comprehensive disaster risk management strategy cannot be achieved outside participative discussions involving a wide range of role players. DRM is a shared responsibility, which must be fostered through ongoing partnership and co-operation between the various role players and the community.

7.5 Participation of Volunteers in DRM

In terms of the Disaster Management act no 57 of 2002, every effort should be made to establish units of volunteers trained in special skills in communities at risks based on the disaster hazards prevalent in those respective communities. This process must be undertaken in accordance with a national inclusive approach to the participation of volunteers in disaster risk management.

7.6 Mutual Assistance Agreements (ANNEXURE 1)

Sections of the Disaster Management Act 57 of 2002 states that the various spheres must establish their level of capacity to deal with disaster risk reduction, response and recovery. In an effort to strengthen the municipal capacity to adequately deal with risk reduction response and recovery the municipality will enter into mutual assistance agreements with their neighbouring municipalities, the private sector, other organs of state and communities. Accordingly a consultative process must be undertaken for the signing of the MAA.

Table 7.8 Primary roles and functions of various organizations at different levels by DRM elements (illustrative example)

| | | Pre-Disaster | | | | Post-Disaster | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Level | Actors | Prevention | Mitigation | Preparedness | Response | Recover | Development |
| National | National Agencies | Raise awareness on DRM | Ensure quality in donor funded infrastructure projects | Treat DRM as an inclusive activity | Mobilize financial aid as grants and long term loans | Fund food for work and rehabilitation programmes | mainstream DRM Activities ir development Planning |
| National | National Government | Establish early warning systems, infrastructure, legal and policy framework for DRM | Promulgate construction code and safety regulations | Prepare national disaster relief Plan | Declare a disaster and state of emergency | Set up emergency fund and recovery fund | Prepare Codes of Conduct in relief and Development |
| Province/District Municipality | Provincial Government | Set local administration rules, Provide incentives for promotion of risk reduction technology | Promote multi-sectoral integrated approaches in DRM | Provide agro- ecological data for Disaster relief Plan | Co-ordinate and mediate actions between national and local level | Implement food for work or other rehabilitation programmes | Protect infrastructure; promote risk reducing technologies |
| | Technical line agencies | Develop risk reducing technologies | Test risk- reducing technologies and sector specific forecast systems | Prepare sectoral risk management and response plans | Assist in needs assessment and distribution of sector specific inputs | Promote sector specific recovery processes | Develop risk reducing technologies |
| | Intermediary-level NGO's | Provide Training to local NGO's | Undertake watershed/river basin planning | Provide Skills Training to local NGO's | Mediate between National and Local Level | Set Up rehab projects to restore lost assets | Promote loca institutional development |
| | Local Government | Develop local disaster prevention plan | Undertake watershed/river basin planning | Prepare evacuation and contingency plan | Provide shelter to displaced households | Set up rehabilitation projects for public goods | Prepare local risk maps and disseminate information |
| Community | Local Leaders & Representatives | Plan/Implement awareness raising campaigns | Solicit external technical Assistance on DRM | Carry out awareness raising campaigns | Act as advisory Focal Points | Promote improved technologies | Facilitate links and co-ordination between organizations |
| Community | Local Emergency Committees | Undertake hazard risk diagnosis | Undertake household vulnerability assessments | Prepare evacuation plans | Deploy search and rescue teams | Deploy food aid and committee /teams | Advise how to reduce loca vulnerability |
| | Local Level NGP's | Undertake hazard risk diagnosis | Undertake household vulnerability assessments | Conduct Awareness raising campaigns | Deploy trainers on hygiene & Health | Provide psychological counseling & Support | Define loca priorities to reduce vulnerability |
| | Micro-Financial Intermediaries | Undertake hazard risk diagnosis | Promote mitigation practices | Spread risks across portfolio | Undertake client damage assessments | Arrange loan rescheduling and other special activities | Integrate DRM in development activities |
| | Community based Organizations | Undertake hazard risk diagnosis | Maintain Public Infrastructure | Construct infrastructure to protect property | Tap customary solidarity networks | Mobilise communities for joint action | Provide mora support and advice |

UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY



TURN AROUND STRATEGY – 2011/2012 (draft)

| | | | | | | Unblocking | | | | | Budget (,00) | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| No. | Priority Turn Around Focal Area | Capacity Assessme nt Findings | (Current Situation/ Baseline) | Target for June 2012 (Changed Situation) | Municipal Action | Action Needed from other Spheres and Agencies (e.g. intervention or technical support) | Start Date | End Date | Means of Verification for each activity/ process | Human Resource allocated | Allocated | Projected |
| | | | | | 1. BASIC S | SERVICE DELIVERY | (EXPECTED O | UTCOME) | | | | |
| 1.1 and 1.2 | Access to water and sanitation, managem ent and maintena nce | 1. Lack of access to portable water in the rural areas. 2. Poor sanitation | 20% backlog of water which equates to 3888 houses 6887 houses receiving poor sanitation | Reduce by 50% | Engage with UDM and DWAF | UDM, DWAF | 01 July 2011 | End June 2012 | Number of houses with water and sanitation | Office of MM, Technical Services | N/A | N/A |
| 1.3 | Access to electricity, managem ent and maintena nce | Lack of Capacity for Proposed projects. | 6000(estimate) houses without electricity in municipal area, with higher % in ESKOM area | 100 houses to be electrified | Provide electricity to 100 houses Eskom, Esigodlwei Thembalihle 139 | ESKOM, DME & Private Funding ni – 258 | 01 July 2011 | End June 2012 | Number of houses with electricity | Consultants, MM office,(In consultations with Ward committees) | 660,000 | 30 Mill |
| 1.4 | Refuse removal and solid waste disposal | 1. The landfill site is imposing health hazards to surrounding areas due to the fact that its not fenced, fires resulting from combustion. 2. Aging vehicles and new projects can not be reached. | 1. Collecting 8000 per year of waste. 2. Ageing vehicles - breakdown of vehicles (due to old age) 3. Expansion in development | 1. Replace vehicles 2. Revive mechanical workshop 3. Improve collection to 10 000 tons | Action to source fleet vehicles Privatisation / Recycling plant | 1. Application has been approved by MIG for fencing but there is no funding allocated DBSA | 01 July 2011 2.Mechanic al Workshop – 01 July 2011 | End June 2012 Mechanical Workshop – End Dec 2012 | Increased tonnage of waste removed. New vehicles purchased | PECS | Replace Vehicles - 2,25 million | Mechanical S |

| | | | | | | Unblocking | | | | | Budget (,00) | |
|-----|---|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--------------|
| No. | Priority Turn Around Focal Area | Capacity Assessme nt Findings | (Current Situation/ Baseline) | Target for June 2012 (Changed Situation) | Municipal Action | Action Needed from other Spheres and Agencies (e.g. intervention or technical support) | Start Date | End Date | Means of Verification for each activity/ process | Human Resource allocated | Allocated | Projected |
| 1.5 | Access roads (new) and maintena nce of municipal roads | 1. Lack of access roads in rural areas. 2. Lack of maintenanc e for existing gravel roads. 3. Lack of | 37.1km 10km black top | 27.1km to be completed 5.5km to be completed | Apply for more MIG funding, province and National | MIG | 01 July 2011 | End June 2012 | Completed roads | Technical services | R 8739 000 | N/A |
| | | maintenanc e e of Black Top roads. | | | Continous maintenance from maintenance vote | Internally | 01 July 2011 | End June 2012 | Completed roads | Technical services | R26000.00 | R3150 000.00 |
| 1.6 | Formalisa tion of informal settlement s (What is required i.r.o. township formalizati on, basic services and housing) | No significant support from the district or Provincial govt apart from COGTA. | Application to Human Settlements for 2000 subsidies | 1000 subsidies were approved by December 2010 – Rensbergdrift | Engage with DOHS and Implementing Agents. | KZN Human Settlement | 01 July 2011 | End June 2012 | Final Approval letter from the MEC | PECS | 1000 subsidies approved Received allocation of 78 000 000 from Human settlements | N/A |
| - | | Dolloise and | | | | | | | | I | 1 | |
| 2.1 | Public Communi cation strategy | Policies and Plans are not fully implemente d due to lack of capacity | Lack of human resources | Finalise organograme and start employing | After finalisation of organogramme employ speedily | To be done internally | Adopt organogram 1 July 211 | ongoing End of | Completed document and warm bodied | Corporate services | Staff allocation | N/a |

| | | | | | | Unblocking | | | | | Budget (,00) | |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| No. | Priority Turn Around Focal Area | Capacity Assessme nt Findings | (Current Situation/ Baseline) | Target for June 2012 (Changed Situation) | Municipal Action | Action Needed from other Spheres and Agencies (e.g. intervention or technical support) | Start Date | End Date | Means of Verification for each activity/ process | Human Resource allocated | Allocated | Projected |
| | | Communica tion Strategy needs to be reviewed. | Approved communication strategy in place. | Implementati on of the reviewed communicati on strategy | Review the communication strategy and submit it to council for approval. | COGTA | 01 July 2011 | September 2012 | A completed and adopted communication strategy. | Corporate Services | N/a | |
| 2.2 | Broader public participati on policies and plans (Impleme nted framework | Dysfunction al Ward Committees Insufficient CDW Personnel | Ward Committees established in all 7 Wards. 3 CDWs | Revive and re-launch all Ward Committees | Revive and re constitute the Ward Committees Request COGTA to finance more CDWs. | COGTA | June 2011 | End of August 2011 | 7 Established Ward Committees | Corporate Services | N/a | 20,000 |
| 2.3 | Complaint s managem ent system and Front desk interface. | 1. No customer care 2. Community satisfaction survey not conducted | Infrastructure available (Office Space & Equipment). | Implement functional Customer Care Centre Conduct customer satisfaction survey | Appointment of personnel, Networking with municipality's who have conducted these surveys | COGTA | 01 September 2011 | End of December 2011 | An established Customer Care Centre | M.M. | N/a | 250,000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1 | Political Managem ent and Oversight | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Councillor Oversight Framewor k (functionin g scopa) | No formal oversight Framework adopted. Systems and procedures | SCOPA and Councillor Oversight Committee constituted in March 2010 | Fully functional scopa and Councillor Oversight Committee | The SCOPA and Oversight Committee to meet quarterly | COGTA | 1 July 2011 | On going | Portfolio of evidence – Minutes | M.M & Office of the Speaker | N/a | N/a |

| No. | Priority Turn Around Focal Area | Capacity Assessme nt Findings | (Current Situation/ Baseline) | Target for June 2012 (Changed Situation) | Municipal Action | Unblocking Action Needed from other Spheres and Agencies (e.g. intervention or technical support) | Start Date | End Date | Means of Verification for each activity/ process | Human Resource allocated | Budget (,00) Allocated | Projected |
|-------|--|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| | | in place for reporting | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 | Administr ation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2.2 | Vacancies (S57) Status of filling of vacant positions | Director: Corporate Services and Director Technical Services vacant | Three Section 57 employees filled | To fill Director Corporate Services. | Advertise, Interview & Appoint Director Corporate Services | N/A | 1 July 2011 | August 2010 | Appoint a Director | ММ | R550 000 | N/A |
| | | | | | | FINANCIAL VI | ABILITY | | | | | |
| 4.1 | Revenue enhancem ent strategies | Strategies and policies are in place | Incentive Policy, business Retention and Expansion Programme | Improved revenue by 5% Review Lease Agreements for all municipal properties. | Invitation by municipality to potential investors | N/A | 01 June 2011 | End Dec 2012 | Increased Rates Income | CFO | N/A | N/A |
| 4.2 | Debtors managem ent | Credit Control and Debt collection Policy in place | Increased debt levels | Reduction of outstanding debt by 25% | 1.Educate community to establish payment culture. 2. Train credit control staff 3. Obtain councillor buy in to collect debt | | 01 June 2011 | End Dec 2012 | Age analysis | CFO | N/A | N/A |
| 4.3 | Cash flow managem ent | Cash flow budgets are in place | Cash flow under pressure | Increased cash flow by 25% | Realistic budgeting Increase debt collection Regular cash flow monitoring | N/A | 01 June 2011 | On going | Bank Statements | CFO | N/A | N/A |

UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY 2011/2012



INTERGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN PROCESS PLAN

SECTION ONE: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

| 1.1 INTRODUCTION | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.2 PREPARING FOR THE 2011/2012 IDP PLAN | | | | | |
| 1.2.1 IDP Process Plan | | | | | |
| 1.2.2 IDP Review Plan | | | | | |
| 1.2.3 Systematic IDP Approach | | | | | |

SECTION TWO: ORGANISATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

| 2.1 | IDP Steering Committee | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2.1.1 | 2.1.1 Terms of Reference of the IDP Steering Committee | | | | | |
| 2.2 | THE IDP MANAGER AND RESPONSIBILITIES | | | | | |
| 2.3 | IDP REPRESENTATIVE FORUM | | | | | |
| 2.3.1 | 2.3.1 Composition of the IDP Representative Forum | | | | | |
| 2.3.2 | Terms of Reference for the IDP Representative Forum | | | | | |

SECTION THREE: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

| 3.1 ROLE PLAYERS | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 3.2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES | |

SECTION FOUR

| 2201101(10011 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.1 FUNCTIONS AND CONTEXT OF PUBLIC | | | | |
| PARTICIPATION | | | | |
| 4.2 MECHANISMS FOR PARTICIPATION | | | | |
| 4.2.1 IDP Representative Forum (IDP Rep Forum) | | | | |
| 4.2.2 Media | | | | |
| 4.2.3 Information Sheets | | | | |
| 4.3 PROCEDURES / PROCESS FOR PARTICIPATION | | | | |
| 4.3.1 Representative Forum | | | | |
| 4.3.2 Council Approval | | | | |
| 4.3.3 Newspapers | | | | |
| 4.3.4 Information Sheets | | | | |
| 4.3.5 District Municipality | | | | |

SECTION FIVE: 2011 / 2012 IDP ACTION PROGRAMME

| 5.1 GENERIC CORE ELEMENTS AND ALIGNMENT OF THE | |
|--|--|
| IDP | |
| 5.1.1 First quarter (July to September) | |
| 5.1.2 Second Quarter (October to December) | |
| 5.1.3 Third Quarter (January to March) | |
| 5.1.4 Fourth Quarter (April to June) | |
| 5.2 IMPORTANT ELEMENTS FOR THE 2009/2010 IDP | |
| 5.2.1 Focus areas for the 2009 / 2010 IDP | |
| 5.2.2 Quality Improvement Aspects | |
| 5.3 FOCUS AREA ACTION PLANS, COST AND ANNEX. | |

SECTION ONE: INTRODUCTION AND

BACKGROUND

1.1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1 According to the legislative requirements set out in the Municipal Systems Act of 2000(MSyA) as well as the Planning and Performance Management Regulations, all municipalities, both district and local have to prepare an IDP and subsequently submit the document to the MEC for Local Government. The assessment was completed in terms of Chapter 5, Section 25, of the Municipal Systems Act (MSyA) by the MEC.
- 1.1.2 In terms of Section 34 of the MSyA:

A municipal council -

- (a) must review it's integrated development plan -
- (i) annually in accordance with an assessment of it's performance measurements in terms of section 41; and
- (ii) to the extent that changing circumstances so demand; and
- (b) may amend it's IDP in accordance with a prescribed process

In order to effectively develop and review the Municipal IDP the municipality must prepare and adopt an annual IDP Process Plan to guide the review process.

- 1.1.3 The 2011/2012 IDP Process Plan focuses on strengthening the municipal IDP as the municipalities principle planning and management tool, through ensuring the seamless integration of especially the performance management system (PMS) and budgeting processes with the IDP Process.
- 1.1.4 Taking the above into consideration the 2011/2012 IDP Process will address, amongst others, the following:
 - Comments received from the various role players in the assessment of the 2010/2011 IDP documentation; interalia
 - 1. Areas requiring additional attention in terms of legislative requirements not addressed during the previous years of the IDP Process;
 - 2. The inclusion of the most current Census data;
 - 3. Consideration, review, and inclusion of any relevant and new information;
 - 4. Shortcomings and weaknesses identified through self-assessment;

- 5. The preparation and review of relevant sector plans and it's alignment with the IDP;
- 6. Finalisation of a Performance Management System (PMS), in terms of Chapter 6 of the Municipal Systems Act;
- 7. The update of the 5 year Financial Plan as well as the list of projects, inclusive of a three year capital investment framework;
- 8. Finalisation of the annual municipal budget in terms of the relevant legislation i.e. Municipal Systems Act and Municipal Finance Management Act.
- 9. There must be alignment of the various important municipal processes such as the IDP Review, Performance Management and Budget Process.

1.2 PREPARING FOR THE 2010/2011 IDP PLAN REVIEW

1.2.1 IDP REVIEW PROCESS PLAN

In order to ensure certain minimum quality standards of the IDP Process, and a proper co-ordination between and within spheres of government, the preparation of the Process Plan has been regulated in the MSyA. The preparation of a Process Plan, which in essence the IDP Review Process set in writing, requires adoption by council. This plan has to include the following:

- A programme specifying the time frames for the different planning steps;
- Appropriate mechanisms, processes and procedures for consultation and participation of local communities, organs of state, traditional authorities, and other role players in the IDP review process;
- An indication of the **organizational arrangements** for the IDP process;
- Binding plans and planning requirements, i.e. Policy and legislation; and
- Mechanisms and procedures for vertical and horizontal alignment.

1.2.2 IDP REVIEW FRAMEWORK PLAN

There exists a need for the preparation and adoption of a Framework Plan by Umtshezi Municipality. The Framework Plan provides the linkage and binding relationship to be established between Umtshezi Municipality and local municipalities in the region. In doing so, proper consultation, co-ordination and alignment of the review process of the District Municipality and various local municipalities can be maintained.

The Uthukela District Municipality and its family of municipalities jointly develop the IDP Framework Plan for the Municipality. The Framework plan provides the backbone of this 2011/2012 IDP Process Plan to ensure alignment and co-ordination between all municipalities and District municipality.

1.2.3 SYSTEMATIC IDP REVIEW APPROACH

The IDP Process 2011/2012 builds on the 2010/2011 IDP Process in a systematic way as to ensure the systematic and continuous improvement of the municipal IDP to a complete and a useful strategic management tool for municipality.

SECTION TWO: ORGANISATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

2.1 IDP STEERING COMMITTEE

As part of the 2012/2013 IDP Review Process, council should resolve to establish an IDP Steering Committee, which will act as support to the IDP Representative Forum.

The composition of the IDP Steering Committee will have to take the manageability of a working committee into consideration and should preferably be chaired by the Municipal Manager.

2.1.1 TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE IDP STEERING COMMITTEE

The summarized terms of reference for the IDP Steering Committee is as follows:

- Provides terms of reference for the various planning activities
- Commissions research studies
- Considers and comments on:
 - Inputs from sub-committee/s, study teams
 - Inputs from provincial sector departments and service providers
- Processes, summarises and document outputs
- Make content recommendations
- Prepares, facilitates and documents meetings.

2.2 THE IDP/LED MANAGER AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The following responsibilities have been allocated to the IDP/LED Manager for the IDP Review Process:

- To ensure that the Process Plan is finalized and adopted by council
- To adjust the IDP according to the recommendations of the MEC, COGTA's Credible IDP Framework
- To identify additional role players to sit on the IDP Representative Forum
- Align the IDP to OPMS
- Address the principles of ASGISA aligned to the PGDS,NSDP and other National and Provincial Government Programmes

- To ensure the continuous participation of role players
- To monitor the participation of role players
- To ensure appropriate procedures are followed
- To ensure documentation is prepared properly
- To carry out the day to day management of the IDP Review process
- To respond to comments and enquiries
- To ensure alignment of the IDP with other IDP's within the District Municipality
- To co-ordinate the preparation of the Sector Plans and their inclusion into the IDP document.
- To submit the reviewed IDP to the relevant authorities.

2.3 IDP REPRESENTATIVE FORUM

2.3.1 COMPISITION OF IDP REPRESENTATIVE FORUM

The IDP Representative Forum (IDP Rep Forum) will constituted as part of the preparation phase of the IDP and will continue its functions throughout the annual IDP Review Processes. The proposed composition of the IDP Rep Forum could be as follows:

- EXCO members - Advocates of unorganized groups

- Councillors - Resource persons

-Traditional leaders - Other community representatives

- Ward committee Representatives - National and Provincial departments

Regional representatives

- Senior Municipal officials - NGO's

- Stakeholder Representatives of organized groups
- Parastatal organizations

2.3.2 TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE IDP REPRESENTATIVE FORUM

The summarized terms of reference for the IDP Representative Forum could be based on the composition of the constituencies interests in the IDP Process, and is proposed to be as follows:

- Represent the interest of the municipality's constituency in the IDP Review Process
- Provide an organizational mechanism for discussion, negotiation and decision making between the stakeholders inclusive of municipal government
- Ensure communication between all the stakeholder representatives inclusive of government
- Monitor the performance of the planning and implementation process

SECTION THREE: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 ROLE PLAYERS

3.1.1 The following internal and external role players have been identified to ensure a successful and useful IDP Review Process within the municipality. Each of these role players will contribute immensely to the success of the 2011/2012 IDP Review Process.

Internal Role Players

- Council and Executive Committee
- Mayor
- Municipal officials
- Municipal manager
- IDP/ LED Manager
- IDP Steering Committee

External Role Players

- Government sector departments
- Planning professionals/facilitators (Consultants)
- District Municipality
- Civil Society e.g. NGO's, CBO's, FBO's, Estcourt Chamber of Commerce, Farmers Associations, etc.

3.2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1.1 The main roles and responsibilities allocated to each of the internal and external role players are set out in table 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 respectively. The tables provide an indication of the various roles and responsibilities of the different groups relating to specifically the IDP Review Process, PMS and Budgeting Process.

TABLE 3.2.1: INTERNAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

| ROLE PLAYER | ROLE | S AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---------------------|------------|---|
| Council | IDP | > Final Decision making |
| | | > Approval of the reviewed IDP Documentation |
| | | > Council must approve the budget before the start of |
| | the fina | ancial year |
| | | >Council to consider draft budget |
| | | > Council to approve unforeseen and unavoidable |
| ~ | expens | |
| Councillors | IDP | > Linking the IDP Process with their constituencies |
| | | > Organising the public participation |
| | DMC | Development of DMC linked to IDD |
| Mayor | PMS IDP | * |
| Mayor | IDF | Decide on the process planBe responsible for the overall management, co- |
| | ordinat | ion and monitoring of the process and drafting of the |
| | | cumentation, or delegate this function |
| | 151 40 | cumentation, or delegate this function |
| | Budge | t > Table budget to council at least 90 days before the |
| | start of | the financial year |
| | | > Table budget timetable to Council |
| | | > Report authorization of unforeseeable and |
| | | dable expenses at Council meeting after having |
| | authori | zed such expenses |
| | | > Approval of budget implementation plan (SDBIP) |
| | o orroom | > Ensure conclusion of management's performance |
| | agreem | |
| | agreem | > Ensure that the management's performance tents are made public |
| | agreem | > Submit to Council an annual report within 7 months |
| | after th | e end of the financial year. |
| Municipal Officials | | Provide technical/sector expertise |
| | > | Prepare selected Sector Plans |
| Municipal Manager | IDP > 1 | Decide on planning process |
| | > 1 | Monitor process |
| | | |
| | _ | t > Give notice of bank account to National Treasury |
| | (NT) an | nd Auditor General (AG). |
| | | > Supply NT and AG with list of bank accounts |
| | hank a | > Table consolidated report of all withdrawals from count to Council within 30 days after the end of each |
| | quarter | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 4 | > Submission of Service Delivery Implementation |
| | Budget | Plan to Mayor within 14 days after approval of the |
| | budget | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | > Perform mid-year performance assessment of the |
| | munici | pality and the submission of the report to the Mayor. |

| | > The submissions of the annual Financial statements to |
|---------------------|--|
| | the AG within two months after the end of the Financial year. |
| | > Submission of annual oversight reports to the |
| | Provincial Legislature within 7 days after adoption by |
| | Council. |
| IDP Manager | IDP > Day to day management of the process. Co-ordination |
| | and facilitation of IDP Review Process. |
| IDP Steering | > Assist and support the Municipal Manager/ IDP |
| Committee | Manager and Representative Forum |
| | > Information 'GAP' identification |
| | > Oversee the alignment of the planning process |
| | internally with those of the local municipality areas. |
| | |
| Representative | > Representing interest and contributing knowledge and |
| Forum/Civil | ideas |
| Society | > Also inclusive of the terms of reference of the IDP |
| | Representative Forum |
| The District | IDP > Co-ordination roles for local municipalities |
| Council | > Ensuring horizontal alignment of the IDPs of the |
| | municipalities in the district council area. |
| | > Ensuring vertical alignment between the district and |
| | local planning |
| | > Facilitation of vertical alignment if IDPs with other |
| | spheres of government and sector departments |
| | > Provide events for joint strategy workshops with local |
| | municipalities, provincial and national role players and other |
| | subject matter specialists. |

TABLE 3.2.2: EXTERNAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

| ROLE PLAYER | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|-------------------|--|
| Planning | > Methodological guidance |
| Professionals | > Facilitation of planning workshops |
| | > Sector plans |
| | > Performance Management |
| | > Documentation |
| Representative | > Representing interest and contributing knowledge and |
| Forum/Civil | ideas |
| Society | |
| Sector Department | > Provide Data and information |
| Officials | > Budget guidelines |
| | > Alignment of budgets with the IDP |
| | > Provide professional and technical support |
| | > Provide technical/sector expertise |
| | > Prepare draft progress proposals |

SECTION FOUR: MECHANISMS AND PROCEDURES FOR PARTICIPATION AND ALIGNMENT

4.1 FUNCTIONS AND CONTEXT OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Four major functions can be aligned with the public participation process namely:

- Needs orientation
- Appropriateness of solutions
- Community ownership, and
- Empowerment

The public participation process in the IDP Review Process has to be institutionalized in order to ensure all residents have an equal right to participate. The public participation process during the IDP Review Process is closely linked to the activities of developing the municipal IDP, such as contributing to the reviewing of municipal issues, reviewing of important objectives, ensuring the appropriateness of municipal strategies and forming part of project development task teams.

The development of a fully-fledged Performance Management System (PMS) for the municipality as part of the 2011/2012 IDP Review Process will contribute to the public participation process. Focus will fall on the development of the PMS and ensuring appropriate public participation in this regard.

The importance of alignment is crucial as part of the 2011/2012 IDP Review Process. Alignment in terms of Spatial Development Framework, Land Use Management Systems (LUMS) and cross border alignment forms part of the 2011/2012 IDP Process and special effort will be made, such as alignment meetings, bi-laterals and ensuring the discussion of alignment issues during district and local Rep Forum meetings.

4.2 MECHANISMS FOR PARTICIPATION

Umtshezi Local Municipality will confirm the following mechanisms for participation:

4.2.1 IDP REPRESENTATIVE FORUM (IDP REP FORUM)

This forum will represent all stakeholders and will be as inclusive as possible. Efforts will be made to bring additional organizations into the Rep Forum and ensure their continued participation throughout the process.

4.2.2 MEDIA

Local newspapers and radio will be used to inform the community of the progress of the 2011/2012 IDP Review where applicable.

4.2.3 INFORMATION SHEETS

At the completion of the IDP Plan, an information sheet will be prepared in English and translated into isiZulu (IDP – Executive summary). It will be distributed via Councillor and local info centers. (Finance permitting)

4.2.4 WARD COMMITTEES

The Ward committees will be engaged and participate in the IDP Processes.

4.3 PROCEDURES/ PROCESS FOR PARTICIPATION

4.3.1 REPRESENTATIVE FORUM

In order to ensure maximum participation in the Rep Forum meetings of the public representatives and relevant government officials, it is recommended that Rep Forum meetings are scheduled as and when required during the key stages of the IDP Review Process to ensure focused and meaningful contributions by participants:

- The first Rep Forum meeting will involve a presentation of the Process Plan focusing on identifying areas to be addressed during the IDP Review Process.
- Second Rep Forum will be held to provide feedback on the IDP Review Process, acquire input from Rep Forum members and to ensure participation in all activities such as PMS, LUMS and Budget Development of the 2011/2012 IDP Process.

4.3.2 COUNCIL APPROVAL

The Council will approve and adopt the 2011/2012 Reviewed IDP document by May 2011. Council will also approve the various Sector Plans necessary during the IDP Process upon their completion.

4.3.3 NEWSPAPERS

A progress report will be submitted to the local newspapers on the completion of the IDP Review Process Plan, as well as on the completion of any Sector Plans.

4.3.4 INFORMATION SHEETS

The Council members will distribute the information sheets, which will be in English and isiZulu version (Executive Summary).

4.3.5 DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY

An IDP Technical Committee has been set up for the District by the District and Local Municipalities to ensure holistic alignment of the IDP. Alignment meetings will take place on a monthly basis through the IDP Technical Committee.

4.4 ALIGNMENT OF IDP, PMS, AND BUDGET


The alignment of the Integrated Development Plan, the Performance Management System and the Budget will be dealt with extensively at the Steering Committee meetings and during the Representative Forum meetings. Please refer to Action Programme below.

SECTION FIVE: 2011/2012 IDP REVIEW ACTION PROGRAMME (PLEASE REFER TO ANNEXTURE A: ACTION PLAN WITH FIMEFRAMES)

5.1 GENERIC CORE ELEMENTS AND ALIGNMENT OF THE OF THE IDP

The core elements and alignment of the Reviewed IDP are incorporated in the diagram below.

The process is divided into three quarters, or three-month periods of the financial year of the municipality. Each quarter and it's **major activities** in terms of **PMS, IDP and Budgeting** are included in the diagram. The **outside circle** relates to **PMS activities**, the **middle circle** to **the IDP activities** and **the inside circle** relates to the **budgeting process.** This format is determined by the different relevant policy and legislation governing the business processes of the municipality, as well as other spheres of government.



5.1.1 FIRST QUATER (JULY TO SEPTEMBER)

The first quarter of the municipal financial year serves as the commencement of the implementation of the new budget and reviewed IDP. The most significant actions relates to the closure of the financial year that has lapsed. This refers to the annual audit report that needs to be submitted to the Auditor General. The Mayor should also during the early stages of the 1st quarter approve the budget implementation plan for the new financial year as well as provide the timetable for the new budgetary process during the later stages of the 1st quarter.

As part of the financial audit report, or as a separate report, the municipality, will need to prepare and submit an annual performance report. This report, or a similar report will need to be tabled at a public meeting as per Municipal Systems Act regulations during this period.

During this period the provincial and national government departments will be embarking on drafting their budgets for the next financial year. The 3 year Capital Investment Framework and the 5 year financial plan of the municipality will inform this process, as per liaison on the relevant fiscal considerations with the government departments. The reviews of the Medium Term Expenditure Framework of these departments will vis-à-vis inform the 3-year capital investment framework of the municipality.

The IDP Review Process Plan will be adopted by the municipality during this period and should for all practical considerations not deviate substantially from year to year.

5.1.2 SECOND QUARTER (OCTOBER TO DECEMBER)

During this period, any new relevant information impacting on the municipality and the IDP will be considered as part of the IDP Review Plan. Although there will be changing circumstances throughout the annual business cycle of the municipality this will inform the current status quo of the municipality and subsequently the revised objectives, strategies and priority projects.

During this quarter, the draft budgets of national and provincial government should be finalized. This could then serve as the principle action, which would inform and initialize the commencement of the municipal budgetary process.

The 3-year capital investment framework and the five-year financial plan of the municipality would have informed the information of the national and provincial draft budget. Both these fiscal planning instruments would be developed in conjunction with the revised medium term expenditure framework of the national and provincial government departments.

Based on these draft budgets the municipality will have a relative degree of certainty about the implications of these budgets on its own budget for the next financial year.

The draft budget of the departments would be applicable to the next governmental financial year, starting in April, when the final budget of these departments will be determined, and the transfers to municipalities gazetted.

5.1.3 THIRD QUARTER (JANUARY TO MARCH)

The initialization of the drafting of municipal budgets should result in the finalisation of a draft budget, which will be submitted to national and provincial government departments, to assist with the confirmation of its own budgets.

Due to the linkage between the IDP Process and the budgeting process, the IDP review, which has been commenced at an earlier stage, could now more relevantly be drawn to a conclusion.

As the final budgets of national and provincial government will be done during this period, and the gazetting of these anticipated, the IDP document for the next financial year could be presented to council and public as a final draft document.

At this stage, the performance management system will have concluding functions into the IDP. Consideration of the previous four quarters, inclusive of the findings of the annual evaluation of the PMS of the previous financial year will inform the finalisation of the draft Reviewed IDP.

5.1.4 FOURTH QUARTER (APRIL TO JUNE)

This quarter will also include refinement and alignment along the lines/recommendations as may be received from services providers. During this period, the final draft Reviewed IDP will therefore be advertised for public comments, for the legislative period of 21 days.

With this information officially available to municipalities, the IDP Review Process could accurately be concluded and adopted by council. The budget, as an integral part of the IDP, could now be finalized as per the relevant legislation.

One of the principle reasons for finalizing the IDP during this quarter, and not the previous quarter, is the time gap between the end of March and the beginning of July (the new municipal financial year). It is anticipated that only minor changes will occur during this fourth quarter, but it will ensure that all the information in the Reviewed IDP is up to date when its implementation starts.

The Reviewed IDP will be submitted to the MEC during the month of June and this will allow the municipality the next three quarters to consider the comments by the MEC as part of it's next review.

The final budget will be adopted at the same time as the Reviewed IDP and it is proposed that the budget and the Reviewed IDP include clear cross-reference to each other.

5.2 IMPORTANT ELEMENTS FOR THE 2011/2012 IDP REVIEW

5.2.1 FOCUS AREAS FOR THE 2011/2012 IDP REVIEW

Various aspects/elements have been identified through different process for inclusion within the 2011/2012 IDP Review Process. In keeping with the incremental IDP improvement approach, these aspects will contribute to the further development and improvement of the municipal IDP and ensure improved integration and implementation of the IDP.

Aspects/elements for the 2011/2012 IDP Process were identified through discussions at the District IDP Technical Team meetings, the Credible IDP evaluation Framework 2010 and the municipal self-assessment of the IDP. The following aspects were identified which will comply with Municipal Finance Management Act:

- (A) Completion of the **Performance Management System (PMS) Development** (entails the review of the municipal strategic elements and forms the basis for IDP Implementation).
- (B) Development of a **Disaster Management Plan**
- (C) Integrated Environmental Programme (IEP) Development.
- (D) **Budget alignment:** (alignment of the municipal budgeting process with the IDP as well as taking cognizance of other government departments budgeting cycles and feeding into these).
- (E) Cross Border Alignment issues (include internal alignment between the Uthukela District, Local municipalities as well as external alignment between the Uthukela District Municipality and the surrounding municipalities such as Umzinyathi, Amajuba District, etc).
- (F) Finalise the Spatial Development Framework and Land Use Management Systems.
- (G) Finalisation and adoption of all other outstanding **Sector Plans**.
- (H) Develop a detailed financial plan and a 5 year Investment plan

5.2.2 QUALITY IMPROVEMENT ASPECTS

Through discussions at the IDP engagements, various other elements were discussed which would contribute to improvement of the quality of the IDP document of municipalities. Aspects mentioned include –

- (i) Ensuring the cascading down of plans prepared at a district municipal level to the local municipal level
- (ii) The improvement of the spatial capturing of project information (GIS location of planned projects),
- (iii) Ensuring the completion of the 2011/2012 IDP Review Process by May 2011 to ensure budget alignment and maximum benefit from other government department programmes
- (iv) The inclusion of uncompleted sector plans as part of the projects listings of municipalities and
- (v) Ensuring cognizance of special programmes such as youth, women, the disabled and HIV/AIDS during the project development phase.
- (vi) Improved Public and Stakeholder consultation and participation

5.3 FOCUS AREA ACTION PLANS

Each of the focus areas for the 2011/2012 IDP Review Process (see 5.2.1) has a specific action plan with the time frame that, when integrated, forms the 2011/2012 IDP Action Programme. Each Action plan for the individual focus areas will be provided individually with the main alignment and integration areas forming part of the overall 2011/201 2IDP Review Action Programme.

Action Plans for both the local municipal and district municipal activities as part of the 2011/2012 IDP Review Process are included as part of this process plan to ensure better alignment and co-operation between these spheres of government.

6. COST ALLOCATION PER PHASE

The estimated costs associated with the I.D.P are outlined below:

Cost Allocation

| TASK | COST | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| | R10 000. 00 | | |
| Phase One | | | |
| Advertising | | | |
| Review Status Quo Report | | | |
| Consultation / Desktop analysis | | | |
| • Printing | D 10 000 00 | | |
| Phase Two | R 10 000.00 | | |
| Review of Issues, Objectives, Vision (Strategies) | | | |
| Consultation Process | | | |
| Printing | | | |
| Phase Three | R 10 000.00 | | |
| Confirmation of Projects | | | |
| Consultations (IDP, PMS, BUDGET) | | | |
| Reports | | | |
| Printing | | | |
| Phase Four | R 20 000. 00 | | |
| Alignment of Sector Plans, Budgets Programmes, and | | | |
| Performance Management Systems. | | | |
| _ | R20 000. 00 | | |
| Phase Five | | | |
| Advertising (PMS, IDP, and Budget) | | | |
| Printing | | | |
| Distribution | | | |
| Disbursements | R10 000. 00 | | |
| TOTAL | R80 000.00 | | |
| | | | |

ANNEXURE A: 2011/2012 IDP Review Action Plan

| ACTIVIT Y NUMBER | KPA | KPI | TIME FRAME | RESOURCES REQUIRED | TARGET | STATUS |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | -Advertise for the update of the IDP Stakeholders list | Advertisement | 30/07/10 | Finance | 100% | Done - 30 August 2010 |
| | - Advertise Draft Process plan for comments and inputs - Forward Draft Process Plan 11/12 to | Advertisement Draft Process Plan | 30/07/10 | Finance Printing | 100% | Done – 30 July 2010 |
| | DLGTA - Adoption of process plan by Umtshezi Municipal Council/Executive Committee | Adopted Process Plan | 1/09/10 | Finance | 100% with amendments | Done – 29 July 2010 |
| | - Advertise Final Process Plan | Advertisement | 8/09/10 | Finance | 100% | Done – 30 August 2010 |
| | - Submit Process Plan to MEC | Submitted document | 8/09/10 | Finance | 100% | 2 August 2010 |
| 2 | 1 st IDP Steering / Strategic planning committee meeting | Minutes | 29/09/10 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | Done - 20 July 2010 |
| 3 | IDP Workshop: For the new Council | Report | DEPENDING ON THE DATE OF ELECTION | Finance | 90% attendance | |

| 4 | 2nd IDP Steering Committee Meeting: Analyse: Level of existing services (Consider Assessment Report and MEC Comments) >Review Municipal Vision >Review Objectives and Strategies >Review Spatial development Framework >Identify outstanding sector plans >Integrate Finalised Sector plans >Municipal PMS | Minutes | 10/01/11 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | Complete – 12 and 13 January 2011 |
|---|--|---------|----------|---------|----------------------------|---|
| 5 | 1 st Representative Forum Meeting | Minutes | 28/01/10 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | Done – 9 February 2011 |
| 6 | 3 rd IDP Steering Committee Meeting Review Projects and Programmes Review KPI's targets, timeframes, etc, where impacted upon by reproritization. Align with draft budget estimates | Minutes | 11/02/10 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | 28 February 2011 |

| 7 | Service Providers Forum/Sector Departments > Service Providers Alignment Workshop | Minutes | 30/03/11 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | Incorporated into the Representative forum 9 Feb. 2011 |
|-----|---|-----------------|---|---------|---|--|
| 8 | Public Participation Consultative meetings with all stakeholders | Public meetings | To be completed before 4 th Steering Committee | Finance | Decentralised Meetings | |
| 8.1 | Meeting with ward committees | Minutes | To be completed before 4 th Steering Committee | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | Incorporated into the Representative forum 9 Feb. 2011 |
| 8.2 | Meeting with Chamber of Commerce and Industry | Minutes | 08/02/11 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | 10 February 2011 |
| 8.3 | Meeting with Farmers Association | Minutes | 15/02/11 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | Fr meeting 29/2/11 |
| 8.4 | Meeting with Tourism Associations | Minutes | 22/02/11 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | 20 January 2011 |
| 8.5 | Public meetings for all wards Finalisation of IDP Review and Budget | Minutes | Decentrelised Dates to be determined | Finance | To reach out to as many as possible members of public | |
| 9 | 4 th IDP Steering Committee Meeting (Consider Public comments) | Minutes | 4/04/11 | Finance | 60% stakeholder attendance | 21 April 2011 |
| 10 | 2 nd IDP | Minutes | 13/04/11 | Finance | 60% stakeholder | |

| | Representative Forum | | | | attendance | |
|----|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|------------|
| | meeting | | | | | |
| | Presentation of Draft | | | | | |
| | IDP 10/11 | | | | | |
| 11 | Workshops with | Minutes | On going | Finance | 60% attendance | On going |
| | internal staff | | | | | |
| 12 | Council Meeting | Minutes | 30/05/11 | Finance | 100% | 6 May 2011 |
| | Adoption of final IDP | | | | | |
| | 10/11 for submission to | | | | | |
| | MEC for comments | | | | | |
| 13 | Development of all | Completed and adopted | On going | Finance and technical | 70% | On going |
| | outstanding Sector | documents | | support | | |
| | Plans | | | | | |

SECTION K



APPENDIX A – SECTOR PLANS

| Sector Plan | Status | Adopted by Council | Comment | Time-frame |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--|------------------|
| Disaster Management Plan | Framework complete | yes | MAP Funding secured to finalize this plan | December 2011 |
| Spatial Development Framework | Completed | Yes | Current working document | |
| Environmental Management Plan | Completed | Yes | Part of SDF | |
| 4. Financial Plan | Completed | No | To be concluded with budget 2010/2011 budget process | |
| 5. Infrastructure Plan | None | N/A | N/A | |
| 6. Skills Development Plan | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |
| 7. Integrated Transport Plan | None | | District to lead the process | |
| 8. Local Economic | Completed | Yes | Reviewed, awaiting Council adoption | 30 June 2011 |
| Development | | | | |
| 9. Land Use Management Systems | Completed | Yes | Final draft completed and forwarded to DLGTA for comments. DLGTA comments received and incorporated in the document but for compliance, the document will be updated to include the proposed and new development applications. | 30 June 2011 |
| 10. Organizational Performance | PMS | Yes | Current working document | Reviewed |
| Management System | Completed | | | annualy |
| 11. Special programme plans | _ | | | |
| Youth Policy | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |
| Gender Policy | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |
| Older persons / pensioners policy | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |
| People with disability policy | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |

| • | HIV/AIDS Plan | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |
|---|---|------------------------|-----|---|---------------------|
| • | Human Settlement Plan | Completed | Yes | Current working document | |
| • | Workplace Skills Plan | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |
| • | Infrastructure Investment Plan (EPWP compliant) | Completed | Yes | Part of SDF. | |
| • | Area Based Plans (Land Reform) | Underway | | Land affairs to provide. The development process has started. | |
| • | Waste Management Plan | Completed | No | | |
| • | Energy Master Plan (Electricity Master Plan) | | | To be developed | 2012/2013 |
| | Annual report 08/09 | Completed | Yes | Available | |
| | Section 57 agreements | Completed | Yes | Available | |
| | By-laws update | Completed | Yes | Available | |
| | HR Policy | Completed | Yes | To be reviewed | Dec 2011 |
| | Water services Development Plan (WSA) | Uthukela DM to provide | | Not powers and functions of Umtshezi Municipality | |
| | IDP 2011/2012 Process Plan | Complete | Yes | Complying with IDP Process Plan in the development of the IDP 2011/2012 | Ongoing |
| | Land disposal Policy | Completed | Yes | Adopted, working document | |
| | IT Policy | Comlpeted | Yes | Adopted on 29 July 2010 | working document |



UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY

PHYSICAL ADDRESS: VICTORIA STREET

ESTCOURT

3310

POSTAL ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 15

ESTCOURT

3310

WEBSITE: www.mtshezi.co.za

MAYOR: 036 342 7807

www.mayor@mtshezi.co.za

MUNICIPAL MANAGER: 036 342 7801

municipalmanager@mtshezi.co.za

IDP/LED SENIOR MANAGER: 036 342 7811

chotoo@mtshezi.co.za

PREPARED BY:

IDP/LED MANAGER UMTSHEZI MUNICIPALITY VICTORIA STREET ESTCOURT, 3310